

**SPECIALISED COMMISSIONING – RESPONSE TO AMENDMENTS REQUESTED TO EVIDENCE REVIEW DURING ENGAGEMENT OR CONSULTATION**

<b>URN</b>	1827
<b>POLICY TITLE</b>	Ablative surgery, moulage technique brachytherapy and surgical reconstruction (AMORE) for head and neck soft tissue sarcoma in children and young people.
<b>CRG:</b>	Children and Young People
<b>NPOC:</b>	Cancer
<b>PUBLIC HEALTH LEAD:</b>	Matt Smith

<b>Description of comments during consultation</b>	<p>NHS England was asked to consider the findings and relevance to the policy of the following study:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>Vaarwerk B, Hol M, et al. AMORE treatment as salvage treatment in children and young adults with relapsed head-neck rhabdomyosarcoma. Radiotherapy and Oncology. 2019;131: 21–26</i></li> </ul>
<b>Action taken by Public Health lead</b>	<p>The paper was reviewed against the original PICO criteria for the evidence review that informed the policy proposal. The paper was published after the search strategy was run for the evidence review and so would not have been considered. The paper also does not meet other PICO criteria used to inform the evidence review as all the patients received AMORE therapy and there was no comparator.</p>

**Outcome**

**Low grade evidence identified by stakeholders that does not materially affect the conclusions of the existing evidence reviews**