

Integrated Impact Assessment Report for Clinical Commissioning Policies	
Policy Reference Number	1827
Policy Title	Ablative surgery, moulage technique brachytherapy and surgical reconstruction (AMORE) for head and neck soft tissue sarcoma in children and young people  Proposal not for routine commission (ref A3.1)

Integrated Impact Assessment – Index		
Section A – Activity	Section B - Service	Section C – Finance
A1 Current Patient Population & Demography / Growth	B1 Service Organisation	C1 Tariff
A2 Future Patient Population & Demography	B2 Geography & Access	C2 Average Cost per Patient
A3 Activity	B3 Implementation	C3 Overall Cost Impact of this Policy to NHS England
A4 Existing Patient Pathway	B4 Collaborative Commissioning	C4 Overall cost impact of this policy to the NHS as a whole
A5 Comparator (next best alternative treatment) Patient Pathway		C5 Funding
A6 New Patient Pathway		C6 Financial Risks Associated with Implementing this Policy
A7 Treatment Setting		C7 Value for Money
A8 Coding		C8 Cost Profile
A9 Monitoring		

About this Imr	pact Assessment: instruc	ctions for completion	and explanatory notes
, 100 or 11110 11111			arra explanately lietee

Each section is divided into themes.

- Each theme sets out a number of questions.
- All questions are answered by selecting a drop down option or including free text.
- Free text boxes are provided to enable succinct relevant commentary to be added which explains the rationale for response or assumption. Please limit responses to 3 sentences of explanatory text.
- Data in this document is either drawn from one of the relevant policy documents or a source for the information is provided.
- Where assumptions are included where data is not available, this is specified.

Section A - Activity Impact		
A1 Current Patient Population & Demography / Growth		
A1.1 Prevalence of the disease/condition.	Soft tissue sarcomas are rare cancers, affecting approximately 100 children per year in the UK. The most common soft tissue sarcoma in children is rhabdomyosarcoma, accounting for almost two thirds of all soft tissue sarcomas in children of which 40% are estimated to occur in the head and neck region. This means that the estimated number of children with newly diagnosed head and neck rhabdomyosarcoma in England is 23 cases per annum.  Source: Policy Proposition, Section 6	
A1.2 Number of patients currently eligible for the treatment according to the proposed policy commissioning criteria.	12 Source: Policy Proposition, Section 6	
	Not all children with head and neck rhabdomyosarcoma would be suitable for surgery and therefore the Policy Working Group (PWG) estimate that no more than 12 children (first line and relapse) would be eligible for AMORE treatment per year.	
A1.3 Age group for which the treatment is proposed according to the policy commissioning criteria.	Other Children and young people	
A1.4 Age distribution of the patient population eligible according to	It is estimated that two thirds of cases of soft tissue sarcoma in children	
the proposed policy commissioning criteria	occur before 6 years of age.	

	Source: Policy Proposition
A1.5 How is the population currently distributed geographically?	Evenly
	Source: Policy Proposition, Section 6
A2 Future Patient Population & Demography	
A2.1 Projected changes in the disease/condition epidemiology, such as incidence or prevalence (prior to applying the new policy) in 2, 5, and 10 years?	Increasing
	The incidence of children's cancer is increasing. However, as this policy is for routine commissioning, no specific modelling has been carried out.
A2.2 Are there likely to be changes in demography of the patient population and would this impact on activity/outcomes?	<u>No</u>
A2.3 Expected net increase or decrease in the number of patients who will be eligible for the service, according to the proposed service specification commissioning criteria, per year in years 2-5 and 10?	Not applicable - as this policy is for routine commissioning, no specific modelling has been carried out.
Are these numbers in line with ONS growth assumptions for the age specific population? If not please justify the growth assumptions made.	
A3 Activity	

A3.1 What is the purpose of new policy?	Confirm non-routine commissioning position of an additional new treatment
A3.2 What is the annual activity associated with the existing pathway for the eligible population?	8
A3.3 What is the estimated annual activity associated with the proposed policy proposition pathway for the eligible population?	8
A3.4 What is the estimated annual activity associated with the next best alternative comparator pathway for the eligible population? If the only alternative is the existing pathway, please state 'not applicable' and move to A4.	8
A4 Existing Patient Pathway	
A4.1 Existing pathway: Describe the relevant currently routinely commissioned:  • Treatment or intervention  • Patient pathway  • Eligibility and/or uptake estimates.	Treatment of children and young people with head and neck soft tissue involves different treatment modalities including chemotherapy, radiotherapy and surgery in order to optimise the chance of cure.  Chemotherapy, usually involving multiple drugs, is given first. This is followed by local therapy which may involve both surgical resection and radiotherapy, but radiotherapy can be given alone. Local therapy components are usually delivered separately (i.e., surgery and radiotherapy treatment are delivered as separate episodes of care) and typically takes up to 12 weeks.

A4.2. What are the current treatment access and stopping criteria?	Radiotherapy is typically delivered as conventional external beam radiotherapy, however over recent years the use of proton beam therapy radiotherapy has been increasing.  Some children and young people will require reconstructive surgery a number of years after initial treatment.  Source: Policy Proposition, Section 3  Children and young people with head and neck sarcoma will access treatment via the multi-disciplinary team at a designated Principal Treatment Centre for either Children's Cancer or Teenage and Young
A4.3 What percentage of the total eligible population is expected to:  a) Be clinically assessed for treatment b) Be considered to meet an exclusion criteria following assessment c) Choose to initiate treatment d) Comply with treatment e) Complete treatment?	Adults (TYA) Cancer. Following treatment, patients will continue to be followed up for a number years.  a) 100% b) 0% c) 100% d) 100% e) 100% Source: Policy Proposition, Section 3
A5 Comparator (next best alternative treatment) Patient Pathway  (NB: comparator/next best alternative does not refer to current pathway but to an alternative option)	
A5.1 Next best comparator:	<u>No</u>

Is there another 'next best' alternative treatment which is a relevant comparator?  If yes, describe relevant  Treatment or intervention Patient pathway Actual or estimated eligibility and uptake	As per section A4.1
A5.2 What percentage of the total eligible population is estimated to:  a) Be clinically assessed for treatment b) Be considered to meet an exclusion criteria following assessment c) Choose to initiate treatment d) Comply with treatment e) Complete treatment?	Not applicable.
A6.1 What percentage of the total eligible population is expected to:  a) Be clinically assessed for treatment b) Be considered to meet an exclusion criteria following assessment c) Choose to initiate treatment d) Comply with treatment e) Complete treatment?	Not applicable – this is a not for routine commissioning policy.
A6.2 Specify the nature and duration of the proposed new treatment or intervention.	Not applicable – this is a not for routine commissioning policy.

A7 Treatment Setting	
A7.1 How is this treatment delivered to the patient?	Not applicable - this is a not for routine commissioning policy.
A7.2 What is the current number of contracted providers for the eligible population by region?	Not applicable - this is a not for routine commissioning policy.
A7.3 Does the proposition require a change of delivery setting or capacity requirements?	Not applicable - this is a not for routine commissioning policy.
A8 Coding	
A8.1 Specify the datasets used to record the new patient pathway activity.	Not applicable - this is a not for routine commissioning policy.
*expected to be populated for all commissioned activity	
A8.2 Specify how the activity related to the new patient pathway will be identified.	Not applicable - this is a not for routine commissioning policy.
A8.3 Identification Rules for Drugs: How are drug costs captured?	Not applicable - this is a not for routine commissioning policy.
A8.4 Identification Rules for Devices: How are device costs captured?	Not applicable - this is a not for routine commissioning policy.

A8.5 Identification Rules for Activity: How are activity costs captured?	Not applicable - this is a not for routine commissioning policy.
A9 Monitoring	
A9.1 <b>Contracts</b> Specify any new or revised data flow or data collection requirements, needed for inclusion in the NHS Standard Contract Information Schedule.	<u>None</u>
A9.2 Excluded Drugs and Devices (not covered by the Zero Cost Model)  For treatments which are tariff excluded drugs or devices not covered by the Zero Cost Model, specify the pharmacy or device monitoring required, for example reporting or use of prior approval systems.	Not applicable.
A9.3 <b>Business intelligence</b> Is there potential for duplicate reporting?	Not applicable - this is a not for routine commissioning policy.
A9.4 <b>Contract monitoring</b> Is this part of routine contract monitoring?	Not applicable - this is a not for routine commissioning policy.
A9.5 <b>Dashboard reporting</b> Specify whether a dashboard exists for the proposed intervention?	Not applicable - this is a not for routine commissioning policy.
A9.6 NICE reporting	<u>No</u>

Are there any directly applicable NICE or equivalent quality standards which need to be monitored in association with the new policy?		
Section B	- Service Impact	
B1 Service Organisation		
B1.1 Describe how the service is currently organised? (i.e. tertiary centres, networked provision etc.)	Children and young people with head and neck soft tissue sarcoma are discussed at either the children's or the teenage and young adult multi-disciplinary team meeting at a Primary Treatment Centre. These centres have links to sarcoma MDTs at designated soft tissue sarcoma centres.	
B1.2 Will the proposition change the way the commissioned service is organised?	<u>No</u>	
B1.3 Will the proposition require a new approach to the organisation of care?	No change to delivery of care	
B2 Geography & Access		
B2.1 Where do current referrals come from?	Select all that apply:	
	GP ⊠	
	Secondary care	
	Tertiary care ⊠	
	Other	

B2.2 What impact will the new policy have on the sources of referral?	No impact
B2.3 Is the new policy likely to improve equity of access?	No impact
	Source: Equalities Impact Assessment
B2.4 Is the new policy likely to improve equality of access and/or outcomes?	No impact
	Source: Equalities Impact Assessment
B3 Implementation	
B3.1 Will commissioning or provider action be required before implementation of the proposition can occur?	No action required
B3.2 Time to implementation:	No - go to B3.4
Is a lead-in time required prior to implementation?	
B3.3 Time to implementation:	No - go to B3.4
If lead-in time is required prior to implementation, will an interim plan for implementation be required?	
B3.4 Is a change in provider physical infrastructure required?	<u>No</u>
B3.5 Is a change in provider staffing required?	<u>No</u>

B3.6 Are there new clinical dependency and/or adjacency requirements that would need to be in place?	<u>No</u>	
B3.7 Are there changes in the support services that need to be in place?	<u>No</u>	
B3.8 Is there a change in provider and/or inter-provider governance required? (e.g. ODN arrangements / prime contractor)	<u>No</u>	
B3.9 Is there likely to be either an increase or decrease in the number of commissioned providers? If yes, specify the current and estimated number of providers required in each region.	No change	
B3.10 Specify how revised provision will be secured by NHS	Select all that apply:	
England as the responsible commissioner.	Publication and notification of new policy	$\boxtimes$
	Market intervention required	
	Competitive selection process to secure increase or decrease provider configuration	
	Price-based selection process to maximise cost effectiveness	
	Any qualified provider	
	National Commercial Agreements e.g. drugs, devices	
	Procurement	
	Other	
B4 Place-based Commissioning		

B4.1 Is this service currently subject to, or planned for, place-based commissioning arrangements? (e.g. future CCG lead, devolved commissioning arrangements, STPs)	<u>No</u>		
Section C - Finance Impact			
C1 Tariff/Pricing			
C1.1 How is the service contracted and/or charged? Only specify for the relevant section of the patient pathway	Not applicable - this is a not for routine commissioning policy.		
C1.2 <b>Drug Costs</b> Where not included in national or local tariffs, list each drug or combination, dosage, quantity, <b>list</b> price including VAT if applicable and any other key information e.g. Chemotherapy Regime.  NB discounted prices or local prices must not be included as these are subject to commercial confidentiality and must not be disclosed.	Not applicable		
C1.3 <b>Device Costs</b> Where not included in national or local tariff, list each element of the excluded device, quantity, <b>list or expected</b> price including VAT if applicable and any other key information.  NB: Discounted prices or local prices must not be included as these are subject to commercial confidentiality and must not be disclosed.	Not applicable - this is a not for routine commissioning policy.		
C1.4 Activity Costs covered by National Tariffs	Not applicable .		

List all the HRG codes, HRG descriptions, national tariffs (excluding MFF), volume and other key costs (e.g. specialist top up %)	
C1.5 Activity Costs covered by Local Tariff List all the HRGs (if applicable), HRG or local description, estimated average tariff, volume and any other key costs. Also indicate whether the Local Tariff(s) is/are newly proposed or established and if newly proposed how is has been derived, validated and tested.	Not applicable.
C1.6 Other Activity Costs not covered by National or Local Tariff Include descriptions and estimates of all key costs.	Not applicable.
C1.7 Are there any prior approval mechanisms required either during implementation or permanently?	Not applicable.
C2 Average Cost per Patient	
C2.1 What is the estimated cost per patient to NHS England, in years 1-5, including follow-up where required?	Not applicable – this treatment is not currently available and the policy proposition is for not routine commissioning.
Are there any changes expected in year 6-10 which would impact the model?	
C3 Overall Cost Impact of this Policy to NHS England	

C3.1 Specify the budget impact of the proposal on NHS England in relation to the relevant pathway.	Cost neutral	
	This policy proposition is for not routine commissioning. The intervention is not currently commissioned.	
C3.2 If the budget impact on NHS England cannot be identified set out the reasons why this cannot be measured.	Not applicable.	
C3.3 If the activity is subject to a change of commissioning responsibility, from CCG to NHS England, has a methodology for the transfer of funds been identified, and calculated?	Not applicable.	
C4 Overall cost impact of this policy to the NHS as a whole		
C4.1 Specify the budget impact of the proposal on other parts of the NHS.	Budget impact for CCGs:  No impact on CCGs	
C4.2 Taking into account responses to C3.1 and C4.1, specify the budget impact to the NHS as a whole.	Cost neutral	
C4.3 Where the budget impact is unknown set out the reasons why this cannot be measured	Not applicable.	
C4.4 Are there likely to be any costs or savings for non-NHS commissioners and/or public sector funders?	<u>No</u>	
C5 Funding		

C5.1 Where a cost pressure is indicated, state known source of funds for investment, where identified, e.g. decommissioning less clinically or cost-effective services.	Not applicable.	
C6 Financial Risks Associated with Implementing this Policy		
C6.1 What are the material financial risks to implementing this policy?	None.	
C6.2 How can these risks be mitigated?	Not applicable.	
C6.3 What scenarios (differential assumptions) have been explicitly tested to generate best case, worst case and most likely total cost scenarios?	Not applicable.	
C6.4 What scenario has been approved and why?	Not applicable.	
C7 Value for Money		
C7.1 What published evidence is available that the treatment is cost effective as evidenced in the evidence review?	There is no published evidence of cost-effectiveness	
C7.2 Has other data been identified through the service specification development relevant to the assessment of value for money?	Not applicable.	

C8 Cost Profile	
C8.1 Are there non-recurrent capital or revenue costs associated with this policy?	<u>No</u>
C8.2 If yes, confirm the source of funds to meet these costs.	Not applicable.