

Engagement Report for Service Specifications

Unique Reference Number	1661
Specification Title	Adult Critical Care
Lead Commissioner	Katharine Young
Clinical Reference Group	Adult Critical Care
Which stakeholders were contacted to be involved in service specification development?	<p>The Specification Working Group (SWG) consisted of clinicians with relevant expertise.</p> <p>The CRG was involved to provide wider input including the Adult Critical Care Networks, British Association of Critical Care Nurses, and PPV involvement from relevant patient organisations</p>
Identify the relevant Royal College or Professional Society to the specification and indicate how they have been involved	The SWG included clinical representatives from the Faculty of Intensive Care Medicine and the Royal College of Anaesthetists
Which stakeholders have actually been involved?	As above plus the registered stakeholders for the Adult Critical Care CRG including other CRGs
Explain reason if there is any difference from previous question	Stakeholders were asked to comment on whether the draft specification requires consultation and whether it changes the current service based on the published specification
Identify any particular stakeholder organisations that may be key to the specification development that you have approached that have yet to	All appropriate stakeholders were engaged

be engaged. Indicate why?	
How have stakeholders been involved? What engagement methods have been used?	Sharing the draft, extended working group for comments, sent for two weeks feedback to registered stakeholders
What has happened or changed as a result of their input?	The SWG reviewed and gave due consideration to all comments received as a result of stakeholder testing. The service specification was amended in light of these comments where the SWG agreed it was necessary and/or appropriate. The majority of responses related to nurse education, nursing competencies and pharmacy medicines optimisation – see appendix.
How are stakeholders being kept informed of progress with specification development as a result of their input?	Via email and through the CRG where the relevant societies/colleges are represented
What level of wider public consultation is recommended by the CRG for the NPOC Board to agree as a result of stakeholder involvement?	30 days