

Engagement Report for Service Specifications

Unique Reference Number	1767
Specification Title	Adult Highly Specialist Pain Management Services
Lead Commissioner	Helen Morrison
Clinical Reference Group	Specialised Pain Services
Which stakeholders were contacted to be involved in service specification development?	The Specification Working Group (SWG) consisted of clinicians with relevant expertise and PPV involvement from relevant patient organisations
Identify the Royal College or Professional Society relevant to the specification and indicate how they have been involved	The SWG included clinical representatives from the British Pain Society and the Faculty of Pain Medicine (Royal College of Anaesthetists)
Which stakeholders have actually been involved?	As above, plus the Specialised Pain CRG membership and regional commissioning leads
Explain reason if there is any difference from previous question	Regional commissioning leads asked for the draft service specification to be shared with them so that they could see the direction of travel in relation to how it is being revised from the current version and comment if they felt necessary.
Identify any particular stakeholder organisations that may be key to the specification	None

<p>development that you have approached that have yet to be engaged. Indicate why?</p>	
<p>How have stakeholders been involved? What engagement methods have been used?</p>	<p>The draft service specification was circulated to all of the above stakeholders for a period of stakeholder testing lasting 14 days</p>
<p>What has happened or changed as a result of their input?</p>	<p>The SWG reviewed and gave due consideration to all comments received as a result of stakeholder testing. The service specification was amended in light of these comments where the SWG agreed it was necessary and/or appropriate. The majority of changes were in connection with providing more clarity about the care pathway and referral criteria.</p>
<p>How are stakeholders being kept informed of progress with specification development as a result of their input?</p>	<p>Via email and through the CRG where the relevant societies/colleges are represented</p>
<p>What level of wider public consultation is recommended by the CRG for the NPOC Board to agree as a result of stakeholder involvement?</p>	<p>30 days</p>