

## Engagement Report for Clinical Commissioning Policies

<b>Unique Reference Number</b>	1627
<b>Policy Title</b>	Mechanical thrombectomy for acute ischaemic stroke
<b>Lead Commissioner</b>	Jacque Kemp
<b>Clinical Reference Group</b>	Neurosciences CRG
<p style="font-size: 2em; opacity: 0.1; transform: rotate(-30deg); pointer-events: none;">Draft public consultation</p>	
Which stakeholders were contacted to be involved in policy development?	<p>Stakeholder list of 120 members through Neuroscience CRG</p> <p>Specifically British Association of Stroke Physicians            British Association of Neuroradiologists.            British Society of Interventional Radiology            Health Education England.            UKNG            The Royal College of Radiologists</p>
Identify the relevant Royal College or Professional Society to the policy and indicate how they have been involved	<p>British Association of Neuroradiologists.            British Society of Interventional Radiology            British Association of Stroke Physicians</p> <p>All members of the policy working group. And key organisations for the development of services for this interim policy.</p>
Which stakeholders have actually been involved?	All registered stakeholders plus the list above. Also a stakeholder event was held on for 7 <sup>th</sup> February 2017 regarding the work to date on the specification and full policy and service specification
Explain reason if there is any difference from previous question	

<p>Identify any particular stakeholder organisations that may be key to the policy development that you have approached that have yet to be engaged. Indicate why?</p>	<p>Stroke UK involved in policy development.</p>
<p>How have stakeholders been involved? What engagement methods have been used?</p>	<p>24 responses received from NHS England's registered stakeholder engagement. Further feedback from societies as detailed above. Themes about training and capacity which are being addressed by the working group as part of the service specification and policy.</p>
<p>What has happened or changed as a result of their input?</p>	<p>The societies and Stroke UK have been instrumental in developing the proposed policy. None of the feedback received has resulted in a change to the policy. However elements of the concerns regarding staffing are being addressed through collaborative working with Health Education England as part of the service specification development.</p>
<p>How are stakeholders being kept informed of progress with policy development as a result of their input?</p>	<p>Stakeholder event with all represented on 7<sup>th</sup> February. Further engagement during consultation of service specification by running webinars for stakeholders.</p>
<p>What level of wider public consultation is recommended by the CRG for the NPoC Board to agree as a result of stakeholder involvement?</p>	<p>3 months public consultation for the policy and service specification.</p>