



Evidence Review:

Rituximab for Primary Sjögren's Syndrome (PSS) in adults

Reference: A13X03/01

NHS England

Evidence Review:Rituximab for Primary Sjögren's Syndrome (PSS) in adults

First published: February 2016

Updated: Not applicable

Prepared by Turnkey Clinical Evidence Review Team on behalf of NHS England Specialised

Commissioning

Contents

Introduction	3
Summary of results	3
Research Questions	5
Methodology	6
Results	6
References	See Appendix 1
Literature Search Terms	See Appendix 2

1. Introduction

Primary Sjögren's syndrome (PSS) is a chronic autoimmune condition where the immune system attacks the tear and saliva glands, and other secretory glands throughout the body without an underlying disease. Inflammation of glands reduces the production of tears and saliva causing dry eyes and dry mouth which are the main symptoms of PSS. B lymphocyte cells play a key role in the disease pathophysiology and are also linked to more systemic presentation of PSS such as cryoglobulinaemia (with or without vasculitis), thrombocytopenia, polysynovitis, and increased risk of cancer of lymph nodes (non-Hodgkin lymphoma).

There are no effective therapies and immunosuppressant drugs such as prednisolone, hydroxychloroquine, azathioprine, methotrexate and mycophenolate are generally of modest benefit. Oral and ocular dryness can be treated symptomatically with artificial tears (and other unlicensed topical therapies) and saliva but these are only partially effective for many patients. In patients with some residual tear or saliva production, stimulants such as pilocarpine can be helpful in some patients and blocking the tear (outlet) ducts to retain tears on the surface of the eye can also help selected patients.

PSS is associated with significant morbidity and reduced health-related quality of life and individuals with PSS consume considerable healthcare resources. Rituximab is licenced for the treatment of certain non-Hodgkin's lymphomas, chronic lymphocytic leukaemia, rheumatoid arthritis, granulomatosis with polyangiitis (GPA; Wegener's) and microscopic polyangiitis. It is not licensed for PSS but has been shown to have efficacy as a treatment for this condition.

2. Summary of results

In clinical practice, PSS is assessed using a combination of objective tests including salivary flow and tear gland tests, and subjective tests, such as functioning questionnaires and visual analogue scales (VAS). These have recently been combined into two measures, the EULAR Sjögren's Syndrome disease activity index (ESSDAI) and the EULAR Sjögren's Syndrome patient report index (ESSPRI). The ESSDAI gives a weighted score to 12 disease features. Patients with an ESSDAI in the range of 5-13 are classified as having moderate PSS, while those with ESSDAI ≥ 14 are classified as having severe PSS.

This evidence review is focussed on the subgroup of patients with systemic and severe Primary Sjögren's syndrome, defined as follows

- Patients with severe and progressive PSS (ESSDAI ≥ 14) despite prolonged use of hydroxychloroquine and at least two other immunosuppressant therapy/therapies (prednisolone >=10mg/day, azathioprine, methotrexate, mycophenolate, cyclosporine or leflunomide) or those in whom cyclophosphamide is contraindicated or not tolerated.
- Patients where high activity in at least one ESSDAI domain that has not responded to conventional therapies and is associated with impaired health-related quality of life

The review was undertaken address the following questions for this patient group:

- 1) Is rituximab clinically effective in improving outcome measures (as listed below) in the defined group of patients with Primary Sjögren's syndrome compared with conventional chemotherapeutic and immunosuppressant therapies?
- 2) Is rituximab more effective in improving outcome measures (as listed below) in the defined group of patients with Primary Sjögren's syndrome compared with conventional chemotherapeutic and immunosuppressant therapies?

 3) Is rituximab cost effective in the treatment of patients with Primary Sjögren's syndrome compared with conventional chemotherapeutic and immunosuppressant therapies?

To ensure all current evidence was scrutinised within the review framework, the literature search was kept wide and input from clinical experts was sought to highlight any additional relevant studies.

Overall, there was very limited and low quality evidence relevant to the specifically defined subgroup of patients and outcomes to directly answer the above questions. With exception of one prospective study, the evidence base comprises of retrospective observational studies. The overall lack of evidence could be to some extent explained by the small number of patients who fit the definition. Furthermore, the interpretation of the results needs to take into account potential variation amongst studies as the composite outcome measures include subjective components modelled on physician and patient's judgment of disease activity. In addition, while most studies report on improvement of ESSDAI score, the link between changes in score and the actual clinically significant impact

remains unclear.

In summary, from the current weak body of evidence, rituximab appears to be clinically effective in patients with severe and systemic presentation of primary Sjögren's syndrome. While there is evidence from one prospective study indicating better response to rituximab than standard disease modifying anti-rheumatic drugs, given the small study size and lack of further corroborative evidence, this review is unable to conclude on the effectiveness of rituximab compared with conventional chemotherapeutic and immunosuppressant therapies. There were no relevant cost-effectiveness studies available for review.

Clinical effectiveness

Recent randomised, placebo-control trials have not been able to demonstrate sufficient efficacy of rituximab in PSS to support its use in the wider population of PSS patients including those with milder symptoms (Carubbi et al, 2014. Devouchelle-Pensec et al, 2014). A case series of 688 PSS patients found a mean UK ESSDAI of 4.8±4.9, with approximately 5% suffering from severe PSS (Oni et al., 2015). Given such small number of patients with severe and progressive disease globally, most studies do not specifically focus on this subgroup.

A prospective study in Italy compared patients treated with rituximab (n=19) with those on disease modifying anti-rheumatic drugs (DMARDs) (n=22) (Carubbi et al., 2013). The authors report inclusion criteria as baseline ESSDAI score ≥ 6. However, the enrolled patients were found to have unusually high mean ESSDAI scores, 19.8±3.1 for DMARDS and 20.3±2.9 for rituximab group. Rituximab treatment resulted in a faster and more pronounced decrease in ESSDAI, all four VAS scores, unstimulated salivary flow and the Schirmer eye test. While improvement was also seen with DMARDs, the impact in the rituximab group was significantly greater than the DMARD group for all measurements except the pain score. ESSDAI for rituximab group fell to 5.2±0.9 at week 120 from 20.3±2.9 at baseline, compared to 8.8±1.7 at week 120 in DMARD group from a baseline of 19.8±3.1. In addition, the rituximab group appeared to experience significantly more sustained relief in symptoms with the impact of rituximab improving progressively throughout the 120 weeks follow-up. The principal limitation of this study were the small sample size, non-randomised patient allocation between two intervention groups and potential patient selection bias given the higher than norm baseline ESSDAI scores.

The French Autoimmunity and Rituximab (AIR) registry, which includes data on patients with autoimmune disorders treated with rituximab was the basis of two studies included in this review, with potentially overlapping population and hence some double counting of impact.

Gottenberg et al (2013) reported improvement in systemic complications of PSS on retrospective analysis of data on 78 patients from AIR registry over 3 to 5 years. 74 patients had systemic involvement and 4 had severe glandular involvement. 60% of patient responded within first treatment cycle of rituximab, majority of the others needed 2-3 cycles while 12 out of 78 patients needed between 4-12 cycles. 17 patients were concomitantly treated with another immunosuppressant agent. Median ESSDAI decreased significantly from 11 (2-31) to 7.5 (0-26) (p<0.0001). The median dosage of corticosteroid decreased from 17.6 mg/day (3-60) to 10.8 mg/day but it was not statistically significant (p=0.1).

Mekinian et al. (2012) retrospectively analysed data from the AIR registry for efficacy of rituximab in PSS patients with systemic lymphoproliferative symptoms . There were two groups of patients, Group 1 (n=10) with established cryoglobulinaemia and/or vasculinaemia and Group 2 (n=7), without cryoglobulinaemia and/or vasculinaemia. Group 1 had a high median ESSDAI score (24, range 17-44) and demonstrated a significant improvement after 6 months on rituximab with a median ESSDAI down to 14.5 (range 7-21) (p=0.008). Group 2 had a lower baseline median ESSDAI score (12, range 10-18) and did not demonstrate significant improvement after 6 months. This study demonstrates a role for rituximab in severe systemic PSS (ESSDAI >17) with majority (>90%) of patients experiencing good symptomatic response and complete resolution of cryoglobulinaemia and vasculitis. The small number of patients, and the single arm retrospective analysis study design limit the wider generalisability of this evidence.

Some studies specifically reported on salivary gland response to rituximab in PSS. In a series of 28 patients recruited as part of TEARS randomised control trial, significant improvement was reported in salivary gland echostructure on ultrasonography in patients with primary SS, 6 months after the first infusion of rituximab. However, there was no changes in salivary gland size or vascularization (Jousse-Joulin et al, 2015). Ciccia et al (2014) demonstrated the physiological impact on rituximab on salivary gland inflammation in a case series of 15 PSS patients with mixed results. Expression of IL-17 was significantly lower after rituximab treatment, but not expression of IL-23p19 and p-STAT3. Mean salivary flow rate improved from baseline 0.22±0.13ml/min to

0.5±0.2ml/min at week 48. Schirmer's test baseline mean 5.1±2.1 mm/5 min shifted to 9.3±2.3 mm/5 min at week 48. The clinical significance of these observed differences is not established.

Patients with severe progressive Sjögren's syndrome can develop lymphomas. Pollard et al. (2011) reported 35 patients with Sjogren's syndrome who developed lymphoma. In this retrospective clinical study with varied therapeutic interventions, out of 13 patients treated on rituximab, 5 reported to be on complete remission and 8 in partial remission or stable disease. However, the small size of the study and even smaller rituximab intervention group, overlap of therapeutic interventions and retrospective study design prevent any conclusive deduction from this evidence.

In an even small case series of 16 patients, Seror et al (2007) reported on efficacy of rituximab on systemic features and glandular swelling in PSS. There was improvement in 4 of 5 patients with lymphomas and in 9 of 11 patients with systemic involvement (thrombocytopenia, mononeuritis multiplex refractory pulmonary disease with polysynovitis, severe polysynovitis, cryoglobulinaemia) after median 14.5 months of rituximab therapy that was generally well tolerated. Corticosteroid dose was reduced in 11 patients. Concomitant changes were also observed in serum biomarker including decreased rheumatoid factor, c-globuli, Immunoglobulin G (IgG) n and b2-microglobulin levels, and increase in the level of B cell activating factor of the tumour necrosis factor family (BAFF).

Dosage and safety

Rituximab has been available for clinical use in Europe since 1998 and its dosage and side-effects are well established. However, the use in PSS is limited and largely off label and in a smaller number of cases. Rituximab was administered in dose of 375 mg/m^2/week or 1g/ week in most studies included in the review. Studies report varying rates of side-effects which could also be a reflection of the differences in inclusion criteria, classification of adverse events and length of follow up time. TEARS randomised trial (Devauchelle-Pensec et al., 2014) reported that rituximab used alone at low infusion rates of 100 mg/hour was generally well tolerated in PSS patients, although fatigue and headache were common during the first infusion but not for repeat infusions. None of the patients had severe side effects during the trial duration and the overall complication rates for the rituximab arm was lower at 87% compared with 93% in the placebo arm after 48 weeks. One patient required hospital admission and low-dose corticosteroid treatment for arthralgia and purpura occurring 7 days after the last infusion. Arthralgia developed in 2 patients and previously unknown arthritis was reported in 2 patients. No infections or other adverse events were detected. There were no changes in hepatic, renal, or hematologic tests during the trial. Authors report that adverse events with rituximab in PSS are likely to be lower compared with that in patients with lymphoma potentially explained by a lower risk for cytokine release syndrome caused by B cell lysis than those with lymphoma.

Mekinian et al. (2012) reported adverse events in 6 out of 17 patients in 6 months including one case each of severe acute infusion reaction, severe cutaneous infection and hypogammaglobinaemia. The data from AIR registry which is largest study population and long term follow-up in the review reported 5 (6.4%) serious infusion reactions occurred in a total of 78 patients. There were 3 serious infections (1.3/100 patient-years) which included 2 bronchopulmonary infections (cytomegalovirus and Staphylococcus aureus) after 4 years of initiation of rituximab and 2 cancers (0.9/100 patient-years).

Cost effectiveness

There were non-cost-effectiveness data available and none of the studies compared rituximab with conventional chemotherapy and immunosuppressant.

3. Research questions

- 1) Is rituximab clinically effective in improving outcome measures (as listed below) in the defined group of patients with Primary Sjögren's syndrome compared with conventional chemotherapeutic and immunosuppressant therapies?
- 2) Is rituximab more effective in improving outcome measures (as listed below) in the defined group of patients with Primary Sjögren's syndrome compared with conventional chemotherapeutic and immunosuppressant therapies?
- 3) Is rituximab cost effective in the treatment of patients with Primary Sjögren's syndrome compared with conventional chemotherapeutic and immunosuppressant therapies?

4. Methodology

A review of published, peer reviewed literature has been undertaken based on the research questions set out in Section 3 and a search strategy agreed with the lead clinician and public health lead for this policy area.

This has involved a PubMed search and search of the Cochrane database for systematic reviews, in addition to review of any existing NICE or SIGN guidance. The evidence review has been independently quality assured. An audit trail has been maintained of papers excluded from the review on the basis of the inclusion and exclusion criteria agreed within the search strategy. The full list has been made available to the clinicians developing the policy where requested.

5. Results

A detailed breakdown of the evidence is included in the Appendix.

Appendix One

Grade	Stu	ıdy desiç	n and			Outcomes			Reference			Other
Grade of evidenc e	design	size 110		Clinical		Not reported yet see	Secondary Outcome	Secondary Result	Brown, Sarah; Navarro Coy,	Complic ations noted	noted -	Comments This is the study design for a large UK
		patients		of the	in either fatigue or oral dryness at 48 weeks, measured by VAS.		assessment of pSS pain, salivary and lachrymal flow rates, quality of life, EULAR Sjögren's Syndrome Disease Activity Index (ESSDAI) and Patient Reported Index (ESSPRI)	summary.	Nuria; Pitzalis, Costantino, Emery, Paul; Pavitt, Sue; Gray, Janine; Hulme, Claire; Hall, Frances; Busch, Robert; Smith, Pete; Dawson, Luke; Bombardieri, Michele; Wan-Fai, Ng; Pease, Colin; Price, Elizabeth; Sutcliffe, Nurhan; Woods, Clodagh; Ruddock, Sharon; Everett, Colin; Reynolds, Catherine; Skinner, Emma; Poveda-Gallego, Ana; Rout, John; Macleod, Iain; Rauz, Saaeha; Bowman, Simon; TRACTISS trial team. The TRACTISS protocol: a randomised double blind placebo controlled clinical trial of anti-B-cell therapy in patients with primary Sjögren's Syndrome. BMC Musculoskelet Disord 2014;15(0):21.			based RCT, TRACTISS, that has now been completed but the results have yet to be published. The results are scheduled for Autumn 2015 and a preprint of these results has been seen, but the paper is currently at the peer review. Hence, while we will summarise the results here, it should be stressed that these have not gone through the peer review, however it is anticipated that these results will be published shortly. The patients involved in the study had a mean ESSDAI of 5.7 and there was no significant difference between placebo arm and rituximab arm. This paper has not been graded.

2-	Other	41	Rituximab	Clinical	Change from	ESSDAI:	Safety of	No patient	Carubbi F, Cipriani P, Marrelli -	-	This is a small prospective before and
		patients	1g at day 1	effectiveness	baseline in VAS	rituximab: 20.3±2.9	rituximab.	showed a	A, Benedetto P, Ruscitti P,		after study comparing the use of
			and day	of the	and ESSDAI	(baseline), 14.2±2.8 (wk. 12),		systemic or	Berardicurti O, Pantano I,		rituximab with the use of Disease
			15, course	intervention	scores, salivary	9.8±2.0 (wk. 24), 9.4±1.6		infusion-related	Liakouli V, Alvaro S, Alunno		modifying anti-rheumatic drugs
			repeated	compared to	flow and	(wk. 48), 5.7±1.0 (wk. 72),		side effect. No	A, Manzo A, Ciccia F, Gerli		(DMARD) (such as hydroxychloroquine,
			every 24	existing	Schirmer 1 test.	5.2±0.9 (wk. 120)		patients	R, Triolo G, Giacomelli R		methotrexate, cyclosporine). The study
				interventions		DMARD: 19.8±3.1 (baseline),		developed	Efficacy and safety of		inclusion criteria was 2 out of 4 VAS
			120			17.6±3.2 (wk. 12), 14.2±2.8		humoural	rituximab treatment in early		scores >50mm and ESSDAI>6. The
			weeks.			(wk. 24), 9.8±2.0 (wk. 48),		immunodeficie	primary Sjögren's syndrome:		baseline ESSDAI was found to be
						10.2±2.0 (wk. 72), 8.8±1.7		ncy.	a prospective, multi-center,		unusually high, 19.8±3.1 range (6-41)
						(wk. 120)			follow-up study Arthritis.		for DMARD arm and 20.3±2.9 range (6-
									Res. Ther. 2013;15(5):R172.		41) for rituximab arm. It is not clear if
						Analogous results exist for					this was due to planned inclusion of
						global disease activity, Pain,					higher ESSDAI patients . It provides
						Fatigue, Dryness and					evidence for the possible efficacy of
						physical GA VAS., all					rituximab, with a significant reduction in
						demonstrating a statistically					ESSDAI score from week 24. The
						significant reduction, with					principle limitation of this study are the
						rituximab having a larger					sample size, allocation bias due to non-
						reduction than DMARD.					randomised allocation between two
						Unstimulated salivary flow					intervention groups and potential
						also demonstrated an					patient selection bias given the higher
						analogous reduction but it					than norm baseline ESSDAI scores.
						was not statistically					
						significant until week 48					
						The Schirmer 1 test					
						demonstrated a steady					
						increase from baseline, but it					
						was not statistically					
						significant until week 120.					
							1				

[;	System atic	total.	predomina ntly 375	Clinical effectiveness of the intervention	outcomes	The review summarises the results of the 21 studies (including 3 RCTs, 1 cohort study and 17). Only one study specifies an exclusion based on ESSDAI (Carubbi et al., 2013) that required ESSDAI > 6 and found rituximab resulted in faster and more pronounced decrease in ESSDAI, than disease modifying anti-rheumatic drugs. Paper concludes that rituximab treatment in pSS should represent good starting point to evidence based guidelines.	-	-	Carubbi, F.; Alunno, A.; Cipriani, P.; Bartoloni, E.; Ciccia, F.; Triolo, G.; Gerli, R.; Giacomelli, R Rituximab in primary Sjögren's syndrome: a ten-year journey. Lupus 2014;23(13):1337-1349.	-	-	This review is not specifically directed toward the population and outcome of interest. The review methodology is more narrative instead of systematic. The key studies from the review are included individually in the CER. The method of systematic literature reveiw is not clear. Hence, the evidence graded as 3.
	Case series	patients	(rituximab) four	Clinical effectiveness of the intervention	expression of IL- 17, IL-23p19 and p-STAT3	Expression of IL-17 was significantly lower after rituximab treatment, but not expression of IL-23p19 and p-STAT3.		0.22±0.13ml/mi n week 48 mean 0.5±0.2ml/min Schirmer's test: baseline mean 5.1±2.1 mm/5 min week 48 mean	Ciccia, Francesco; Guggino, Giuliana; Rizzo, Aroldo; Alessandro, Riccardo; Carubbi, Francesco; Giardina, AnnaRita; Cipriani, Paola; Ferrante, Angelo; Cannizzaro, Alessandra; Giacomelli, Roberto; Triolo, Giovanni. Rituximab modulates IL-17 expression in the salivary glands of patients with primary Sjögren's syndrome. Rheumatology (Oxford) 2014;53(7):1313-1320.	-	-	The motivation for this case series is that during pSS, salivary gland inflammation occurs in the presence of altered adaptive immune responses seen as, for example, the expression of the IL-23p19/IL-17 pathway. Hence the neutralisation of IL-17 appears to be a promising therapeutic approach. This case series demonstrated in the salivary gland biopsies of 15 patients that rituximab significantly reduces the expression of IL-17. The small number and non-comparator study design are key limitations.

- ,	RCT	120	Rituximab	Clinical	A composite	Derivation of a new primary	-	-	Cornec, Divi; Devauchelle-	· T-	This paper was a post hoc analysis of
ı			1g (at	effectiveness	endpoint	outcome (SSRI-30) designed			Pensec, Valérie; Mariette,		the TEARS RCT data to attempt
ı			weeks 0	of the	Sjorgen	to test efficacy in treating			Xavier; Jousse-Joulin,		validate the composite index SSRI-30
ı			and 2)	intervention	Syndrome	pSS.			Sandrine; Berthelot, Jean-		used in that trial. SSRI-30 has not been
ŀ					responder	SSRI-30 at 6 weeks:47%			Marie; Perdriger, Aleth;		commonly used by other study in the
ı					index (SSRI)	rituximab vs 21% placebo			Puéchal, Xavier; Le Guern,		CER, hence limited relevance.
ŀ					based on	p<0.01			Véronique; Sibilia, Jean;		
ŀ					changes in	SSRI-30 at 16 weeks: 50%			Gottenberg, Jacques-Eric;		
ı					Visual	rituximab vs 7% placebo			Chiche, Laurent; Hachulla,		
ŀ					Analogue Scale	p<0.01			Eric; Hatron, Pierre Yves;		
ŀ					(VAS) scores	SSRI-30 at 20 weeks: 55%			Goeb, Vincent; Hayem,		
ı					of five outcome	rituximab vs 20% placebo			Gilles; Morel, Jacques;		
ŀ					measures (p<0.01			Zarnitsky, Charles; Dubost,		
ŀ					fatigue, oral	The outcome is validated by			Jean Jacques; Seror,		
ŀ					dryness, ocular	applying SSRI-30 outcome to			Raphaèle; Pers, Jacques-		
ŀ					dryness,	two smaller RCT:			Olivier; Meiners, Petra M.;		
ı					unstimulated	- (Meijer et al., 2010): 68%			Vissink, Arjan; Bootsma,		
ı					whole salivary	rituximab vs 40% at week 12			Hendrika; Nowak,		
ŀ					flow and	and 74% rituximab vs 40% at			Emmanuel; Saraux, Alain.		
ı					erythrocyte	week 24			Development of the Sjögren's		
ŀ					sedimentation	-(Dass et al., 2013): 40%			Syndrome Responder Index,		
ŀ					rate (ESR)).	rituximab vs 35% at week 10			a data-driven composite		
ı					SSRI-30	and 41% rituximab vs 37% at			endpoint for assessing		
ŀ					response is	week 22.			treatment efficacy.		
ŀ					defined as a	The paper found a			Rheumatology (Oxford)		
ŀ					>30% change	statistically significant			2015;54(9):1699-1708.		
ŀ						difference in the number of					
ŀ					five VAS	responders, in TEARS trial,					
ŀ					scores.	when SSRI was based on					
ŀ						changes in 2, 3 or 4 of the 5					
ļ						outcomes. This always					
ŀ						favoured rituximab over					
ŀ						placebo.					
ŀ											
ŀ											

4	DOT	4.7	Ditable in	lor: · · · · ·	Maria	[NA L	A .1	T	D 0 D 0 I	NAP		This is a second BOT is bessel
1-	RCT	17	Rituximab	Clinical		Mean change from baseline	Adverse	Two patients	Dass, S.; Bowman, S. J.;	Median	-	This is a very small RCT is based
		patients	1g	effectiveness	I.	in fatigue VAS score at six	Events	had three	Vital, E. M.; Ikeda, K.; Pease,	disease		primarily on the change in the fatigue
				of the	had >20%	months:		adverse events	C. T.; Hamburger, J.;	duration		VAS score. There was a statistically
				intervention	improvement in	rituximab: 36.8±17.9 mm		(headaches,	Richards, A.; Rauz, S.;	7.25		significant improvement in the fatigue
					fatigue VAS.	(baseline vs 6 months		urticarial rash,	Emery, P Reduction of	years		VAS score, compared to the baseline
						p<0.001), placebo:		fever and	fatigue in Sjögren syndrome	(rituxima		value. However there was also an
					Social	17.6±32.2mm (TRX vs		meningism)	with rituximab: results of a	b) vs		improvement in the placebo arm such
					functioning:	placebo p=0.147)		One patient	randomised, double-blind,	8.25		that rituximab vs placebo impact was
					_			had two	placebo-controlled pilot	(placebo		not statistically significant. There was
						Social functioning at 6		adverse	study. Ann. Rheum. Dis.) years		improvement in social functioning in
						months:		events.	2008;67(11):1541-1544.	range(1-		rituximab arm vs placebo arm with
						Mean improvement in SF-36		Two patients		19).		p=0.06. Principle limitations are very
						score was 4 vs -24 (for		treated with		Baseline		small sample size, use of fatigue VAS
						placebo) (p=0.06), from a		rituximab had		fatigue		only and absence of ESSDAI scoring.
						baseline level of 43.6 vs		infusion		VAS		However, patients studied did have
						36.7.		reactions.		score		high fatigue VAS (approx. 70mm) and
										76mm		approximately 8 years disease duration.
										(rituxima		approximatory o youro alocado daration.
										b) vs		
										69mm		
										(placebo		
)		

h .			1 = .	I - · ·	T-	I	l	1=.	1			<u></u>
1-	RCT	120	Rituximab 1g (at weeks 0 and 2)	Clinical effectiveness of the intervention		2 of 4 VAS: 6 weeks: 22.4% (rituximab) vs 9.1% (placebo) p=0.036 16 weeks: 26.3% (rituximab) vs 17.0% (placebo) p=0.091 24 weeks: 23% (rituximab) vs 22% (placebo) p=0.91 Statistically significant differences between rituximab and placebo in number of patients with >30mm change in the following VAS score: Fatigue at 6 weeks (34.7% vs 8.2% p<0.001) and 16 weeks (27.2% vs. 8.9% p=0.012), but not at 24 weeks	Adverse Events	common	Devauchelle-Pensec, Valérie; Mariette, Xavier; Jousse- Joulin, Sandrine; Berthelot, Jean-Marie; Perdriger, Aleth; Puéchal, Xavier; Le Guern, Véronique; Sibilia, Jean; Gottenberg, Jacques-Eric; Chiche, Laurent; Hachulla, Eric; Hatron, Pierre Yves; Goeb, Vincent; Hayem, Gilles; Morel, Jacques; Zarnitsky, Charles; Dubost, Jean Jacques; Pers, Jacques Olivier; Nowak, Emmanuel; Saraux, Alain. Treatment of primary Sjögren syndrome with rituximab: a randomized trial. Ann. Intern. Med. 2014;160(4):233-242.	Mean baseline ESSDAI score: 10.0±6.9 (rituxima b) vs 10.2±6.8 (placebo)	-	This paper presents the principal results of the large TEARS RCT. The paper found a significant difference in the improvement in VAS scores between the rituximab arm and the placebo arm at week 6. However, this difference had reduced by week 24. The difference was largely being driven by fatigue score. It is important to note that the majority of the baseline ESSDAI scores were below 14 and no subgroup analysis, on patients with ESSDAI > 14, was completed. There was no significant reduction in ESSDAI score. There was no comparison with existing treatment options. Overall, not relevant to the review.
3	Case series	16 patients	Rituximab (rituximab) 375 mg/m^2	Clinical effectiveness of the intervention	VAS scores at week 12 and week 36	At week 12 significant improvement in VAS scores in fatigue, dryness (p<0.05), tender point count (p<0.035). At week 36 significant improvement in global disease activity, pain, fatigue, dryness, tender point count, tender joint count VAS scores (p<0.05)	Quality of Life questionnai res	severe side effects. In most 36 questionnaire was significantly improved at week 12.	Devauchelle-Pensec, Valérie; Pennec, Yvon; Morvan, Johanne; Pers, Jacques-Olivier; Daridon, Capucine; Jousse-Joulin, Sandrine; Roudaut, Anne; Jamin, Christophe; Renaudineau, Yves; Roué, Isabelle Quintin; Cochener, Béatrice; Youinou, Pierre; Saraux, Alain. Improvement of Sjögren's syndrome after two infusions of rituximab (anti-CD20). Arthritis Rheum. 2007;57(2):310-317.	-	-	This case series examines the improvement in 15 patients following treatment with rituximab. Improvement in a number of VAS scores. Inclusion based on 2 of 4 VAS scores > 50mm, no calculation of ESSDAI or subgroup analysis, hence of little relevance to this review.

|--|

3	Case	78		Clinical	ESSDAI	mean ESSDAI at baseline:	Efficacy	Efficacy	Gottenberg, Jacques-Eric;	- -	This large multi centre case series
	series	patients	(rituximab)	effectiveness		11.0 (range 2-31)	assessed	assessed by	Cinquetti, Gael; Larroche,		attempted to evade the challenges of
			1g followed	of the		mean ESSDAI at 6 month:	by global	global opinion	Claire; Combe, Bernard;		defining primary endpoints by using the
			by 375	intervention		7.5 (range 0-26)	opinion of	of clinicians:	Hachulla, Eric; Meyer,		ESSDAI score and a subjective
			mg/m^2 x			p<0.0001.	clinicians	47 /78 (60%)	Olivier; Pertuiset, Edouard;		questionnaire of efficacy to the
			4.				Adverse	patients.	Kaplanski, Guy; Chiche,		clinician. The ESSDAI score indicated a
							events.	ľ	Laurent; Berthelot, Jean-		statistically significant improvement,
								5/78 (6.4%)	Marie; Gombert, Bruno;		while the questionnaire indicated a 60%
								patients had	Goupille, Philippe, Marcelli,		efficacy ratio. No subgroup analysis
								serious	Christian; Feuillet, Séverine;		was available and the mean baseline
								infusion events	Leone, Jean; Sibilia, Jean;		ESSDAI was 11.0.
								3/78 (3.8%)	Zarnitsky, Charles; Carli,		
								patients had	Philippe; Rist, Stephanie;		
								serious	Gaudin, Philippe; Salliot,		
								infections.	Carine; Piperno, Muriel;		
								Two deaths	Deplas, Adeline, Breban,		
								occurred both	Maxime; Lequerre, Thierry;		
								related to	Richette, Pascal, Ghiringhelli,		
								cancers.	Charles; Hamidou,		
									Mohamed; Ravaud, Philippe;		
									Mariette, Xavier; Club		
									Rhumatismes et		
									Inflammations and the		
									French Society of		
									Rheumatology. Efficacy of		
									rituximab in systemic		
									manifestations of primary		
									Sjogren's syndrome: results		
									in 78 patients of the		
									AutoImmune and Rituximab		
									registry. Ann. Rheum. Dis.		
									2013;72(6):1026-1031.		
									, ,		
	1			1							

3	Case		57% of	Clinical	Overall Survival	Median overall survival was	-	-	Jackson, Amie E.; Mian,	 This retrospective case series
	series	patients	patients	effectiveness		9.3 years, with no significant			Michael; Kalpadakis,	examines patients with mucosa-
		, of	received	of the		difference between those			Christina; Pangalis,	associated lymphoid tissue (MALT)
		which	local	intervention		receiving local or systemic			Gerassimos A.; Stathis,	lymphomas, of which a sub group had
		84 had	therapy,			therapy (e.g. Rituximab).			Anastasios; Porro, Elena;	pSS (33%) and a further sub group was
		Sjogren'	37% of						Conconi, Annarita;	treated with Rituximab (17%). No
		s	patients						Cortelazzo, Sergio; Gaidano,	subgroup analysis was done on those
		syndro	received						Gianluca; Lopez, Armando;	just receiving Rituximab, although there
		me	systemic						Guillermo, null; Johnson,	was no significant difference in overall
			therapy, of						Peter W.; Martelli, Maurizio;	survival rate, between those receiving
			whom						Martinelli, Giovanni;	local or systemic treatments. The
			47%						Thieblemont, Catherine;	retrospective, non-comparator study
			received						McPhail, Ellen D.; Copie-	design are key limitations.
			rituximab.						Bergman, Christiane; Pileri,	
									Stefano A.; Jack, Andrew;	
									Campo, Elias; Mazzucchelli,	
									Luca; Ristow, Kay;	
									Habermann, Thomas M.;	
									Cavalli, Franco; Nowakowski,	
									Grzegorz S.; Zucca,	
									Emanuele. Extranodal	
									Marginal Zone Lymphoma of	
									Mucosa-Associated	
									Lymphoid Tissue of the	
									Salivary Glands: A	
									Multicenter, International	
									Experience of 248 Patients	
									(IELSG 41). Oncologist	
									2015;20(10):1149-1153.	
			1							

3	Case	28	Rituximab	Clinical	Salivary gland	Aggregated parotid	A pulsed	No significant	Jousse-Joulin, Sandrine;		_	A subset of 28 subjects from the
3	series	patients		effectiveness	ultrasonograph		Doppler	different was	Devauchelle-Pensec, Valérie;	-	[TEARS randomised control trial were
	361163	patients	weeks 0	of the	y. The number		was used	seen in the				
					,				Cornec, Divi; Marhadour,			given an ultra-sonographic assessment
			and 2)	intervention			on the	resistive index	Thierry; Bressollette, Luc;			of salivary gland response to rituximab.
					-	J .	transverse	between	Gestin, Simon; Pers,			Ultrasonography showed improved
					significant	1	,	rituximab arm	Jacques Olivier; Nowak,			salivary gland echostructure in patients
					changes from			and placebo	Emmanuel; Saraux, Alain.			with primary SS receiving rituximab,
					baseline after		spectral	arm.	Brief Report:			with no changes in salivary gland size
					24 weeks.	,	blood flow		Ultrasonographic			or vascularization, 6 months after the
					For echo-		analysis		Assessment of Salivary			first infusion. The validity and clinical
					structure score -	rituximab arm had significant	and the		Gland Response to			significance of salivary gland echo-
					looked at	changes vs 1/14 in placebo	computatio		Rituximab in Primary			structure scores need further scientific
					aggregated	arm p=0.16.	n of a		Sjögren's Syndrome. 0			scrutiny especially given the lack of
					score based on	There was no significant	resistive		2015;67(6):1623-1628.			concomitant changes in the gland size
					size of left, right	difference between rituximab	index.					and vascularisation .There was no
					and worse	and placebo arm in the mean						comparison with existing treatment
					parotid gland.	surface area changes on						options. In addition, this is a post hoc
					In addition to	longitudinal section of parotid						analysis, with the potential for
					left, right and	glands from baseline .						significant bias.
					worse							
					submandibular							
					gland.							
					3							
			1									
			1									
				1								

	I	1	I=	Ia		Ta.,	1	Ta. 10	I	1	
1-		30	Rituximab	Clinical	Whole Saliva	Stimulated whole saliva flow:	Lacrimal	Significant	Meijer, J. M.; Meiners, P. M.;	Hypothe -	This is a small RCT principally
		patient,	1g	effectiveness	Flow	rituximab arm saliva flow	Gland		Vissink, A.; Spijkervet, F. K.	sise that	focussed on using whole saliva flow
		20		of the	(ml/minute),	significantly improved at	function		L.; Abdulahad, W.;	improve	rate as a measure of pSS. The study
		rituxima		intervention	unstimulated	week 5 (p=0.018), 12	Adverse		Kamminga, N.; Brouwer, E.;	ments in	found a significant improvement in
		b			and stimulated,	(p=0.004), but in placebo	events		Kallenberg, C. G. M.;	placebo	stimulated whole saliva flow rate, from
		(rituxim			measured at	arm saliva flow decrease.			Bootsma, H Effectiveness	arm may	baseline level, when measured at 5 and
		ab) and			weeks 5, 12,	However started at different		36 and 48 in	of rituximab treatment in	be due	12 weeks after initial treatment. After
		10			24, 36, 48.	mean baseline values		rituximab arm.	primary Sjögren's syndrome:	to	this the improvement reduces until at
		placebo				(placebo: 0.42±0.26 range			a randomized, double-blind,	treatmen	week 48 a decrease in flow rate is
					VAS score for	0.36, rituximab: 0.70±0.47			placebo-controlled trial.	t with	measured. While in the placebo arm a
					Oral dryness	range 0.47)		Adverse	Arthritis Rheum.	prednisol	decrease is measured. This leads to a
					and ocular			Events:	2010;62(4):960-968.	one.	significant difference between placebo
					dryness.	In week 12 there was a		One patient in			arm and rituximab arm at week 12.
						statistically significant		the rituximab			However, the principal concern with
						difference in improvement,		group			such a conclusion is that the rituximab
						from baseline values,		developed mild			arm and the placebo arm appear to be
						between the placebo arm		serum			starting at very different levels of saliva
						and rituximab arm.		sickness-like			flow rates. It is not clear if rituximab had
								disease.			some outliers leading to a difference in
						Oral and ocular VAS scores					mean and rang which would invalidate
						for rituximab arm					a comparison between mean values.
						demonstrate a significant					
						improvement from baseline					There was no subgroup analysis by B
						at weeks 5, 12, 24, 36 and					cell count or saliva flow rate. ESSDAI
						48. Although not significantly					was not calculated.
						different from placebo arm					
						except at week 36 and 48.					
				1						1	

3	Case series	28 patients	Rituximab 1g at day 1 and 15.	Clinical effectiveness of the intervention	Syndrome Patient report index (ESSPRI) EULAR Sjorgen Syndrome	Mean ESSDAI (p value comparison with baseline) Baseline 8±5, week 16 3±3 (p<0.001), week 24 3±3 (p<0.001), week 36 3±3 (p<0.001), week 48 5±7 (p=0.064), week 60 8±6 (p=0.662) Mean ESSPRI (p value comparison with baseline) Baseline 6.3±2.2, week 16 4.6±2.0 (p<0.001), week 24 5.3±2.0 (p<0.001), week 48 5.8±1.9 (p=0.007), week 48 5.8±1.9 (p=0.043)	Patients and Physicians global disease activity index IGM-Rf rheumatoid factor Stimulated whole salivary flow	Patients and Physicians global disease activity index both demonstrated statistically significant drops from week 16, which maintained until week 60. IGM-Rf rheumatoid factor demonstrated significant drop from baseline level in weeks 16, 24 and 36. Mean stimulated whole salivary flow demonstrated no statistically significant decrease.	Meiners, P. M.; Arends, S.; Brouwer, E.; Spijkervet, F. K. L.; Vissink, A.; Bootsma, H Responsiveness of disease activity indices ESSPRI and ESSDAI in patients with primary Sjögren's syndrome treated with rituximab. Ann. Rheum. Dis. 2012;71(8):1297-1302.	-	-	This is a prospective single centre case series with 60 week follow up of 28 patients treated with rituximab. The mean baseline ESSDAI score was 8, and no subgroup analysis of high baseline ESSDAI scores was conducted. The results indicate improvements in ESSDAI from week 16 until week 48. Improvement is also seen in ESSPRI, global disease activity index and IGM-Rf rheumatoid factor, but not saliva flow. Key limitation of this study is absence of a comparator group.
3	Case series	17 patients	Rituximab	Clinical effectiveness of the intervention	ESSDAI	Overall. Median ESSDAI: Baseline: 18 (10-44), 3 months: 11 (5-20), 6 months: 11 (5-29), 9 months: 12 (5-30) (p<0.05) group 1 Median ESSDAI: Baseline: 24 (17-44), 6 months: 14.5 (7-21) p=0.008 group 2: baseline 12 (10-18) with no significant change.	Safety of rituximab.	6 of 17 patients had adverse events, including 2 mild arterial hypertension, 1 severe acute infusion reaction, 1 severe cutaneous infection and 1 hypogammaglo binaemia.	X. Efficacy of rituximab in primary Sjogren's syndrome with peripheral nervous system involvement: results from the AIR registry. Ann. Rheum. Dis. 2012;71(1):84-	-	-	This prospective small case series with group 1 (n=10) that included patients with cryogloulinaemia or vasculinaemia and group 2 (n=7), which didn't. Group 1 had a higher baseline ESSDAI score (median 24) and saw a significant reduction. While group 2 had a lower ESSDAI (median 12) and saw no reduction. Small sample size and retrospective design are significant limitations.

3	Case series	688 patients	Not relevant.	Other	patients eligible for different exclusion criteria, according to EULAR Sjogren's syndrome disease activity index (ESSDAI) and EULAR	Mean ESSDAI = 4.8±4.9. Proportion of patients with ESSDAI >5: 41.7% Proportion of patients with ESSDAI >9: 17.3% Proportion of patients with ESSDAI >14: 5.1% Mean ESSPRI = 5.3±2.2 Mean ESSPRI >5: 60.2% 2 of 3 ESSPRI scores >5: 65.1% Fatigue and Dryness score >5: 54.7%	-	Oni, Clare; Mitchell, Sheryl; James, Katherine; Ng, Wan- Fai; Griffiths, Bridget; Hindmarsh, Victoria; Price, Elizabeth; Pease, Colin T.; Emery, Paul; Lanyon, Peter; Jones, Adrian; Bombardieri, Michele; Sutcliffe, Nurhan; Pitzalis, Costantino; Hunter, John; Gupta, Monica; McLaren, John; Cooper, Annie; Regan, Marian; Giles, Ian; Isenberg, David; Saravanan, Vadivelu; Coady, David; Dasgupta, Bhaskar; McHugh, Neil; Young-Min, Steven; Moots, Robert; Gendi, Nagui; Akil, Mohammed; Barone, Francesca; Fisher, Ben; Rauz, Saaeha; Richards, Andrea; Bowman, Simon J.; UK Primary Sjögren's Syndrome Registry*. Eligibility for clinical trials in primary Sjögren's syndrome: lessons from the UK Primary Sjögren's Syndrome Registry. Rheumatology (Oxford) 2015;0(0):0.	-	-	This case series is a retrospective analysis of patients in the UK pSS Registry. The study was designed to collect information to allow for effective future study design, however it does contain some information of relevance to the PICO. In particular, the proportion of the population with an ESSDAI >14 is found to be 5.1%.
3	Case series	15 patients	Rituximab (rituximab) 375 mg/m^2	Clinical effectiveness of the intervention	eye tests (Schirmer's, Rose Bengal, Tear break up time)	In early pSS: significant improvement at week 12 in Rose Bengal test, Tear break up time and all subjective scores. In MALT/pSS: only significant improvement in Rose Bengal test.	had mild in fusion reactions in early pSS group HACAs developed in 4 of 8 patients	Pijpe, J.; van Imhoff, G. W.; Spijkervet, F. K. L.; Roodenburg, J. L. N.; Wolbink, G. J.; Mansour, K.; Vissink, A.; Kallenberg, C. G. M.; Bootsma, H Rituximab treatment in patients with primary Sjögren's syndrome: an open-label phase II study. Arthritis Rheum. 2005;52(9):2740-2750.	-	-	This case series examines the safety and efficacy in two small groups, one with early stage pSS (n=8) and the other with mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue (MALT) and pSS (n=7). More adverse events were recorded in early pSS group. Clinical efficacy noted in both groups. There was no further subgroup analysis of relevance to PICO. The small number and noncomparator study design are key limitations.

3 Case series	35 patients	mg/m^2	Clinical effectiveness of the intervention	Clinical remission of lymphoma	13 patients were on rituximab alone and 5 on rituximab with Chemotherapy. Out of 13 patients treated with rituximab 5 went into complete remission and 8 into partial remission or stable disease.	-	Pollard, Rodney P. E.; Pijpe, Justin; Bootsma, Hendrika; Spijkervet, Fred K. L.; Kluin, Philip M.; Roodenburg, Jan L. N.; Kallenberg, Cees G. M.; Vissink, Arjan; van Imhoff, Gustaaf W Treatment of mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue lymphoma in Sjogren's syndrome: a retrospective clinical study. J. Rheumatol. 2011;38(10):2198-2208.	-	-	This retrospective observational case series of 35 patients with MALT and pSS. 13 were treated with rituximab, with varied success. There was no calculation of ESSDAl or subgroup analysis by severity of disease. The small size of the study and even smaller rituximab intervention group, overlap of therapeutic interventions and retrospective study design limit the generalisability of the findings from this study.
3 Case series	16 patients	Rituximab (rituximab) 375 mg/m^2	Clinical effectiveness of the intervention	Efficacy of rituximab	·	3 of 15 patients had mild adverse effects.	Seror, Raphaèle; Sordet, Christelle; Guillevin, Loic; Hachulla, Eric; Masson, Charles; Ittah, Marc; Candon, Sophie; Le Guern, Véronique; Aouba, Achille; Sibilia, Jean; Gottenberg, Jacques-Eric; Mariette, Xavier. Tolerance and efficacy of rituximab and changes in serum B cell biomarkers in patients with systemic complications of primary Sjögren's syndrome. Ann. Rheum. Dis. 2007;66(3):351-357.			There was no further subgroup analysis of relevance to PICO. The small number and non-comparator study design are key limitations.

3	Case	53	Rituximab	Clinical	Overall Survival	OS: Patients with a non-	Adverse	Of 35 patients,	Voulgarelis, Michael; Ziakas,	 This retrospective observational case
	series	patients		effectiveness	(OS)	Hodgkin Lymphoma 96%	events	12 had	Panayiotis D.; Papageorgiou,	series examined 35 patients with non-
		retrospe		of the	Event Free	(95% CL 83%-99%) at 3		adverse	Aristea; Baimpa, Evangelia;	Hodgkin lymphoma, 59% of which were
		ctively		intervention	Survival (EFS)	years.		events, 3	Tzioufas, Athanasios G.;	Mucosa-associated Lymphoma. The
		studied				EFS: Patients with a non-		deaths 1	Moutsopoulos, Haralampos	primary endpoints were survival rates
						Hodgkin Lymphoma 81%		treatment	M Prognosis and outcome	and ESSDAI was not calculated. There
						(95% CL 61%-91%) at 3		failure, 5	of non-Hodgkin lymphoma in	was no indication of the severity of the
						years.		relapses, 3	primary Sjögren syndrome.	pSS, hence little of relevance to the
								histological	Medicine (Baltimore)	PICO.
								transfers.	2012;91(1):42248.	

Appendix Two

Literature search terms

Assumptions / limits applied	to search:
Original search terms:	n/a
Updated search terms - Population	sjogren's OR Sjögren's OR sjogren OR Sjögren AND primary
Updated search terms - Intervention	rituximab OR Rituxan OR Mabthera
Updated search terms - Comparator	Hydroxychloroquine OR Prednisolone OR Azathioprine OR Methotrexate OR Mycophenolate OR Ciclosporin OR Leflunomide
Updated search terms - Outcome	n/a

	General inclusion criteria
	In order of decreasing priority, articles will be selected based on the following criteria.
	1.All relevant systematic reviews and meta-analysis in the last 5 years and those in 5-10 years period which are still
	relevant (e.g. no further updated systematic review available)
	2.All relevant RCTs and those in the 5-10 years period which are still relevant (e.g. not superseded by a next phase of
	the trial/ the RCT is one of the few or only high quality clinical trials available)
	>>>> If studies included reaches 30, inclusion stops here
	3.All relevant case control and cohort studies, that qualify after exclusion criteria
Inclusion criteria	>>>> If studies included reaches 30, inclusion stops here
	4.All relevant non analytical studies (case series/ reports etc.) that qualify after exclusion criteria
	>>>> If studies included reaches 30, inclusion stops here
	Specific inclusion criteria
	Published, peer-reviewed articles identified by Simon Bowman (provided by email); this includes case reports with small
	numbers of patients as relevant to specific population.
	General exclusion criteria
	Studies with the following characteristics will be excluded:
	Does not answer a PICO research question
	2. Comparator differs from the PICO
	3. < 50 subjects (where studies with >50 subjects exist)
	4. No relevant outcomes
Exclusion criteria	5. Incorrect study type
	6. Inclusion of outcomes for only one surgeon/doctor or only one clinical site (where studies with > one surgeon/doctor or
	one clinical site exist)
	Specific exclusion criteria
	Articles published before 2014 and included in previous Evidence Review, unless specifically identified by Simon
	Bowman (see inclusion criteria above)