## FOR PUBLIC CONSULTATION ONLY



## **Engagement Report for Clinical Commissioning Policies**

Unique Reference Number	A09X02
Policy Title	Renal denervation for Resistant Hypertension
Accountable Commissioner	Sue Sawyer
Clinical Reference Group	Complex Invasive Cardiology
Which stakeholders were contacted to be involved in policy development?	Complex Invasive Cardiology CRG membership Complex Invasive Cardiology CRG registered stakeholders British hypertension society British cardiovascular society British society of interventional radiologists The renal association
Identify the relevant Royal College or Professional Society to the policy and indicate how they have been involved	Representatives of relevant Royal College or Professional Societies were contacted for Stakeholder Testing as part of the CRG
Which stakeholders have actually been involved?	All of the key stakeholders listed above were invited to comment
Explain reason if there is any difference from previous question	Not applicable

1

## FOR PUBLIC CONSULTATION ONLY

Identify any particular stakeholder organisations that may be key to the policy development that you have approached that have yet to be engaged. Indicate why?	None
How have the stakeholders been involved? What engagement methods have been used?	The draft policy was circulated to the full membership of the CRG and registered stakeholders for two weeks for their views, both to establish whether any amendments to the policy are required, and to understand from their perspective what the key questions to ask at consultation might be.  Two responses were received from CRG-registered stakeholders. No responses were received from CRG members. Key response themes were as follows:  (1) Stakeholders requested that renal denervation to be considered for commissioning through evaluation  (2) One of the CRG-registered stakeholders, a medical device company, requested for additional articles to be considered and edits made to the evidence review  (3) Additional stakeholders were identified to include in consultation
What has happened or changed as a result of their input?	Stakeholders were invited to comment. No changes made to the evidence review or policy proposition as the requested additional articles fall outside the inclusion criteria of the evidence review (i.e. unpublished data) or do not materially impact the outcome of the policy proposition. The PWG also reviewed the questions raised about the evidence review and concluded that the evidence review is accurate as is. Additional identified stakeholders (UK Renal Denervation Affiliation) will be invited to comment as part of public consultation.
How are stakeholders being kept informed of progress with policy development as a result of their input?	This engagement report, along with the updated policy proposition will be circulated as part of the public consultation. Stakeholders will be notified and invited to comment further.
What level of wider public consultation is recommended by the CRG for the NPOC Board to agree as a result of stakeholder involvement?	Public consultation for a period of 30 days as supported by stakeholders