

## Engagement Report for Service Specifications

<b>Reference Number</b>	A07/S(HSS)a
<b>Title</b>	Atypical haemolytic uraemic syndrome (aHUS) (all ages)
<b>Accountable Commissioner</b>	Sarah Watson
<b>Clinical Reference Group</b>	Renal Transplant
What stakeholders were contacted to be involved in service specification development?	A number of stakeholders have been consulted with in the drafting and splitting out of this service specification. This includes the: Stakeholders to the Renal Transplantation CRG Stakeholders to the Renal Dialysis CRG aHUS UK
What stakeholders have actually been involved? State reason for any difference from previous question	As outlined above.
How have the stakeholders been involved? What engagement methods have been used?	The service specification went to CRG stakeholder consultation. Few comments were received; the most comprehensive response was from the pharmaceutical company manufacturing Eculizumab. A number of the comments received are for ongoing consideration as the service is established and develops.
What has happened or changed as a result of	The specification was updated as a consequence of some of the comments submitted.

their input?	This was primarily around making clear the service's objectives and priorities.
How are stakeholders been informed of progress with service specification development as a result of their input?	Contacted via email. There was also a meeting held with aHUS UK.
What level of wider public consultation is recommended by the CRG for the NPOC Board to agree as a result of stakeholder involvement? (see Appendix One)	A four week public consultation.

FOR PUBLIC CONSULTATION ONLY