

STEREOTACTIC RADIOSURGERY/ STEROTACTIC RADIOTHERAPY FOR PILOCYTIC ASTROCYTOMA

QUESTIONS TO BE ADDRESSED:

- 1. What is the evidence for the clinical effectiveness of stereotactic radiosurgery/ stereotactic radiotherapy for pilocytic astrocytoma compared to other treatment modalities?
- 2. What is the evidence for the cost-effectiveness of stereotactic radiosurgery/ stereotactic radiotherapy for pilocytic astrocytoma compared to other treatment modalities?

SUMMARY

Background:

- Pilocytic astrocytomas are low-grade glial brain tumours. They are typically welldifferentiated, slow-growing and non-invasive and are most commonly found in children and young adults. The incidence of pilocytic astrocytomas is 0.37 per 100,000 persons per year.
- Surgical resection is the preferred first-line treatment for pilocytic astrocytomas where a
 total or near-total resection can be achieved with acceptable risk. Stereotactic
 radiosurgery and stereotactic radiotherapy can be used in cases of incomplete surgical
 resection to treat residual tumour or to treat tumours that have progressed or recurred
 following surgery.
- Stereotactic radiotherapy (SRT) and stereotactic radiosurgery (SRS) use focused radiation beams to deliver radiation to a specific target with minimal radiation exposure to normal adjacent tissue. In stereotactic radiosurgery the radiation is delivered in a single treatment.

Clinical Effectiveness:

- We did not identify any studies comparing SRS or SRT to other treatments.
- We included seven uncontrolled observational studies (n=177) with ten or more pilocytic astrocytoma patients who had undergone previous surgery¹ with subsequent SRS or SRT. Six studies were retrospective reviews of patients at single institutions and one study reviewed clinical outcomes and activities of daily living for 38 patients recruited prospectively. The median follow-up period ranged from 28 months to 96 months. The study populations were small and heterogeneous in terms of age range, follow-up period and treatments received prior to SRS and SRT.
- There was some variation in the study results. Tumour control (reported by six studies) varied from 50% to 95%. Progression-free survival at one year ranged from 65% to 92% in three studies. Medium-term progression-free survival (at five years or at last follow-up at a median of approximately three years) ranged from 32% to 83% in four studies, and ten-year progression-free survival ranged from 17% to 86% in two studies. Overall survival was generally high; in all of the included studies it was at least 70% at all time-points.
- Better outcomes were associated with non-cystic lesions, smaller tumour volume, newly diagnosed or residual tumour (compared to recurrent tumour), prior surgical intervention comprising total or partial resection compared to biopsy as the prior surgical intervention,

¹ The identified studies categorised previous surgery or initial surgical management as total resection, partial resection or biopsy.

and no brainstem involvement. Worse outcomes were associated with previous radiotherapy, multifocal tumours, older patient age and lower radiosurgical tumour dose.

• One study showed improvement on two measures of activities of daily living from the baseline at four to six weeks after surgery to follow-up at six months, two and three years after SRT.

Cost-Effectiveness:

• We did not identify any studies on the cost-effectiveness of radiosurgery/ stereotactic radiotherapy for pilocytic astrocytoma.

Safety:

• Rates of adverse events attributed to SRS or SRT were generally low. One study reported symptomatic oedema in just under half of their study population.

1 Context

1.1 Introduction

Pilocytic astrocytomas are low-grade glial brain tumours categorised as World Health Organization (WHO) grade 1 astrocytomas [1]. They are typically well-differentiated, slow-growing and non-invasive [2;3] and are most commonly found in children and young adults [1]. They can be cystic or solid tumours or a combination of both [4] and are usually located in either the cerebellum or in proximity to the brainstem [5]. The symptoms of pilocytic astrocytomas can include changes in behaviour, headaches and vomiting, lack of co-ordination and loss of balance, memory loss and seizures [6].

Surgical resection is the preferred first-line treatment for pilocytic astrocytomas where a total or near-total resection can be achieved with acceptable risk [2]. Complete surgical resection is not always possible due to the location of the tumour [3]. The population of interest to this review is

patients with pilocytic astrocytoma, residual, recurrent or progressive after previous surgery¹ where further surgery is deemed too high risk [8].

Stereotactic radiosurgery and stereotactic radiotherapy can be used in cases of incomplete surgical resection to treat residual tumour [3] or to treat tumours that have progressed or recurred following surgery [4].

1.2 Existing national policies and guidance

We did not identify any guidance from the National Institute of Health and Care Excellence (NICE) on stereotactic radiosurgery or stereotactic radiotherapy for pilocytic astrocytoma.

2 Epidemiology

The incidence of pilocytic astrocytomas is 0.37 per 100,000 persons per year [6]. This equates to approximately 200 cases per year in England. It is most commonly found in children but can occur in adults [5]. The cystic form of pilocytic astrocytoma is found in more than 75% of patients [4].

3 The intervention

The interventions of interest in this review are stereotactic radiosurgery and stereotactic radiotherapy.

Stereotactic radiotherapy (SRT) and stereotactic radiosurgery (SRS) use focused radiation beams to deliver radiation to a specific target with minimal radiation exposure to normal adjacent tissue [2;3;7]. In stereotactic radiosurgery the radiation is delivered in a single treatment [3]. A fixation device is used to immobilise the head during delivery [7].

The outcomes of interest in this review are: long term tumour control/ cure rate; proportion of patients free from progressive symptoms; proportion of patients with maintenance/ improvement in quality of life; need for repeat or alternative procedures; recovery time after treatment and incidence and nature of adverse events [8].

Outcome measures used to assess activities of daily living in the included studies are described below:

- Modified Barthel's Index (MBI) assesses functional activities such as eating, dressing, bathing, mobility and bowel/ bladder function on a five point scale that ranges from 'unable to perform task' to 'fully independent'. The maximum total score is 100 [9].
- Karnofsky Performance Status (KPS) assesses ability to perform ordinary tasks. The maximum score is 100. Higher scores imply better performance.²

4 Findings

A search of Medline, Embase, Cochrane Library, TRIP and NICE Evidence was performed on the 6th November 2015 for studies published in English since 2000. Case reports, conference papers, letters and commentary were excluded. Details of the search strategy are provided in Section 7.

We included seven uncontrolled observational studies with a total of 177 patients. Six studies were retrospective reviews of patients at single institutions and one study was a review of clinical outcomes and activities of daily living for 38 patients recruited prospectively. The median follow-up periods ranged from 28 months to 96 months. Five studies were conducted in the United States, one in Sweden and one in India.

We did not identify any studies comparing SRS or SRT to other treatments. Many of the identified studies included tumours of various histologies. In order to prioritise the best available evidence we have only included studies with separate results for 10 or more pilocytic astrocytoma patients.

There were a number of reasons why studies identified by the literature search did not meet the criteria for inclusion in this review. These included:

- Intervention not SRS or SRT
- Included less than 10 patients with pilocytic astrocytoma
- No separate reporting of results for pilocytic astrocytoma patients receiving SRS or SRT.

² <u>http://www.cancer.gov/publications/dictionaries/cancer-terms?cdrid=44156</u>

4.1 Evidence of effectiveness

Of the seven included studies, five considered SRS [1;2;4;5;10], one considered SRT [9] and one considered both SRS and SRT [3].

Tumour control was reported by six of the seven studies and varied from 50% [1] to 95% [5]. The study with the lowest reported tumour control had a study population that only included adults, however all studies had populations with a wide age range. Progression-free survival at one year ranged from 65% to 92% in three studies [1;2;4]. Medium-term progression-free survival (at five years or at last follow-up at a median of approximately three years) ranged from 32% to 83% [1;2;3;4]. Ten-year progression-free survival was 17% in one study [2]. In the other study reporting 10-year progression free survival, this was 86% for newly diagnosed patients who had a biopsy, 57% for patients who had a total resection and recurrence and 46% for patients who had a partial resection and recurrence [4]. One study of patients receiving SRT reported progression-free survival of 82% after a mean follow-up of 22 months [9]. Overall survival was generally high; in all studies it was at least 70% at all time-points.

Four studies investigated factors influencing outcomes. Worse outcomes were associated with previous radiotherapy [2;10], multifocal tumours [10], older patient age [10] and lower radiosurgical tumour dose [10]. Better outcomes were associated with non-cystic lesions [4], smaller tumour volume [4], newly diagnosed or residual tumour (versus recurrence) [4], prior surgical intervention comprising total or partial resection (versus biopsy as the prior surgical intervention) [1] and no brainstem involvement [4].

Six studies (n=150) provided details of further treatment received after SRS or SRT [1;2;3;4;5;10]. The numbers of patients receiving further treatment across all the included studies were:

- Further surgery (n=14)
- Stereotactic cyst evacuation (n=7)
- Chemotherapy (n=6)
- Stereotactic intracavitary irradiation with phosphorus-32 (n=6)
- Repeat SRS (n=4)
- Ventricular peritoneal (VP) shunt (n=4)
- Stereotactic intracavitary irradiation with phosphorus-32 and surgery (n=2)
- Supportive care only (n=2)
- Chemotherapy and radiotherapy (n=1)
- Fractionated radiation therapy (n=1).

Only one study [9] assessed activities of daily living. This showed improvement in two measures of activities of daily living from the baseline, four to six weeks after surgery, to follow-up at six months, two and three years after SRT. Other studies reported on elements of quality of life, for example, one study reported that nine of 11 patients had an improvement in their pre-SRS symptoms after the procedure [2], whereas another study reported that nine of 14 patients reported no change in neurological outcomes and five reported that neurological outcomes were worse [1].

None of the studies reported recovery time after treatment.

The evidence identified is from uncontrolled observation studies which represent lower quality evidence.

Safety issues are discussed in section 4.4.

Study	Population	Intervention	Results	Comments
Hallemeier et	Patients with	SRS	Overall survival (from SRS):	Of the 18 patients, 7 had
al (2012) [2]	recurrent or		• 1 year: 94%	prior subtotal resection, 4
	unresectable PA		• 5 years: 71%	had prior gross total
Retrospective			• 10 years: 71%	resection and 7 had prior
review of	N=18 (20 lesions)			biopsy
patients at			PFS (from SRS):	
one centre	Median age 23 years		• 1 year: 65%	Biopsy was categorised as
treated	(range 4 to 56)		• 5 years: 41%	'initial surgery' by the
between 1992			• 10 years: 17%	authors.
and 2005	Median follow-up 96			
	months (range 6 to		Prior external-beam radiotherapy associated with inferior 5-year	Results not provided
US	180 months)		PFS (71% vs. 20%, p=0.008)	separately for patients with
				subtotal resection, gross
			Tumour control was achieved for 11 lesions (55%)	resection or biopsy
				10/19 potients had received
			Treatment for solid tumour progression after SRS after a median	10/ 18 patients had received
			of 0.8 years:	prior external-beam
			 Systemic chemotherapy (3 patients) 	Тасюттегару
			 Further surgery (1 patient) 	1/18 patients had received
			 Supportive care only (1 patient) 	4/10 patients had received
				phor systemic chemotherapy
			Treatment for distant progression after SRS (2 within 1 year, 1	
			after 8.3 years):	
			 Systemic chemotherapy and radiotherapy (1 patient) 	
			Repeat SRS (1 patient)	
			 Supportive care only (1 patient) 	
			Treatment for tumour associated cyst development or	
			progression after SRS after a median of 2.6 years:	
			 Intracavitary phosphorus-32 instillation and surgical 	
			excision (2 patients)	
			 Intracavitary phosphorus-32 instillation (1 patient) 	
			Surgical excision (1 patient)	
			9/11 patients had improvement of their pre-SRS symptoms after	
			the procedure	
Lizarraga et al	Patients with	SRT (n=9)	Disease-specific survival to last follow-up was 91.7%	None of the patients had
(2012) [3]	progressive residual			received adjuvant

Table 1: Clinical effectiveness of SRS/ SRT for pilocytic astrocytoma

Retrospective review of patients at one centre treated between 1995 and 2010 US	PA following prior surgery N=12 Median age 21 years (range 5 to 41) Median follow-up 37.5 months (range 3 to 168 months)	SRS (n=3)	 PFS at last follow up was 73% Tumour control was achieved in 9 patients (75%) 3 patients had tumour progression post-treatment (all within one year of treatment) and received: Surgery (2 patients) A VP shunt (1 patient) 	chemotherapy or radiotherapy before SRS or SRT
Kano et al (2009) [1] Retrospective review of patients at one centre treated between 1994 and 2006 US	Patients (adults) with PA with prior surgical management N=14 Median age 32 years (range 19 to 52) Median follow-up 36.3 months (range 6 to 109 months)	SRS	Overall survival (from SRS): 1 year: 100% 3 years: 88.9% FFS (from SRS): 1 year: 83.9% 3 years: 31.5% 5 years: 31.5% Tumour control was achieved in 7 patients (50%) (including 2 with prior biopsy and 5 with prior resection) 7 patients developed some form of tumour progression after SRS (after a median of 18.6 months) and received: Further surgery (5 patients) Chemotherapy (1 patient) A VP shunt (1 patient) Neurological outcome: 'Worse' for 5 patients (including 1 with prior biopsy and 4 with prior resection) and 'no change' for 9 patients Sub-group analysis For patients with prior surgical resection (n=10) PFS was: 1 year: 90.0% 3 years: 38.6% For patients with prior biopsy (n=4) PFS was:	Of the 14 patients, 10 had prior surgical resection (1 total; 9 partial) and 4 had prior stereotactic biopsy Biopsy was categorised as 'initial surgical management' by the authors Results for sub-groups of patients are presented separately where reported 6/ 14 patients had received prior fractionated radiation therapy

			• 1 year: 50.0%	
			• 3 years: 0%	
			In univariate analysis, patients with prior surgical resection had significantly better PFS (p=0.027) compared to patients with prior biopsy	
Kano et al (2009) [4] Retrospective review of patients at one centre treated between 1987 and 2006 US	Patients (children) with PA with prior surgical management N=50 Median age 10.5 years (range 4.2 to 17.9) Median follow-up 55.5 months (range 6 to 190 months)	SRS	Overall survival (from SRS): 1 year: 100% 5 years: 97.4% 10 years: 97.4% PFS (from SRS): 1 year: 91.7% 3 years: 82.8% 5 years: 70.8% Tumour control was achieved in 38 patients (76%) 12 patients developed some form of tumour progression after SRS (range 8.9 to 67.5 months) including 1 with prior biopsy and 7 with prior partial resection and 4 with prior total resection. They received: Repeat SRS (2 patients) Stereotactic cyst evacuation (4 patients) Stereotactic intracavitary irradiation with phosphorus-32 (3 patients) Further surgical resection (1 patient) Surgical removal after intracavitary irradiation (1 patient) Sub-group analysis PFS at 3 years Newly diagnosed with partial resection (n=5): 100% Total resection and recurrence (n=16): 87% Subtotal resection and recurrence (with prior FRT) (n=13): 69% Subtotal resection and recurrence (with prior FRT)	Of the 50 patients, 39 had prior surgical resection (16 total; 23 partial) and 11 had prior biopsy The 11 patients with prior biopsy had deep seated tumours deemed ineligible for total resection 16 patients had SRS for residual tumour; 34 had SRS for recurrent tumour 2/ 50 patients had received prior fractionated radiation therapy 5/ 50 patients had received prior fractionated radiation therapy and chemotherapy Results for sub-groups of patients are presented separately where reported
			(II=0). 00%	

			 Newly diagnosed with biopsy: 86% Total resection and recurrence: 57% 	
			Subtotal resection and recurrence (no prior FRT): 46% 10-year PES for other sub-groups not available	
			 Tumour control Newly diagnosed with biopsy (n=11): 91% Newly diagnosed with partial resection (n=5): 100% Total resection and recurrence (n=16): 76% Subtotal resection and recurrence (no prior FRT) (n=13): 67% Subtotal resection and recurrence (with prior FRT) (n=5): 40% 	
			 In univariate analysis, improved PFS was associated with: Non-cystic lesion (p<0.0001) Smaller tumour volume (≥8cc vs. <8cc) (p=0.001) No brainstem involvement (p=0.009) Newly diagnosed or residual solid tumour vs. recurrence (p=0.049) 	
Jalali et al (2008) [9]	Patients with residual or progressive low- grade gligman	SRT	Overall survival: 85%	Most patients had PA. The population also included 5 patients with fibrillary
Review of	recruited		FF3. 02%	astrocytoma, 4 patients with
ADL outcomes	prospectively		ADL assessed at baseline (post-surgery), and 6 months, 2 years	ependymoma, 1 patient with
for patients who received	N=38 (27 PA)		and 3 years after SRT	oliogodendroglioma and 1 patient with pleomorphic
surgery and			Mean MBS (maximum score 100):	xanthoastrocytoma
SRI between 2001 and	Median age 12.5 vears (range 5-25)		 At baseline: 94.5±14.8 (n=38) At 6 months: 97.7+7.8 (n=27) 	24/38 had prior partial
2008			• At 2 years: 98.1±5.5 (n=14)	excision. 14/ 38 had gross
India	Mean tollow-up 22.4 months (SD 21.7):		• At 3 years: 99±1.94 (n=12)	residual disease or biopsy only
			Mean KPS scores (maximum score 100): • At baseline: 81.35 (n=38) • At 6 months: 85.23 (n=27) • At 2 years: 85.50 (n=14)	Biopsy was included as a prior neurosurgical procedure by the authors
			• At 3 years: 88.67 (n=12)	No prior radiation therapy or

Hadijpanayis et al (2002) [10]Patients withSRS7-year actuarial survival rate: 76% Tumour control achieved in 25 patients (68%)Baseline scores were usually obtained 4-6 weeks after surgeryHadijpanayis et al (2002) [10]Patients with recurrent, residual or unresectable PASRS7-year actuarial survival rate: 76% Tumour control achieved in 25 patients (68%)Prior to SRS, 24 patients had near total (n=13) or partial (n=11) removal, 5 patients had an open biopsy and 12 had streetotactic biopsyN=37 patients at review of patients at one centre. Patients treated over a 13 year period (years not stated)N=37 Median follow-up 28 months (range 3 to 52)7-year actuarial survival rate: 76% Tumour control achieved in 25 patients (68%)Patients the autorsUSN=37 Median follow-up 28 months (range 3 to 52)N=37 Stereotactic cyst evacuation (3 patients) Stereotactic intracavitary irradiation with phosphorus-322 (4 patients)Biopsy was categorised as a 'prior surgical intervention' by the authorsUSVP shunt (1 patient)Repeat radiosurgery (1 patient) Stub-group analysis Tumour controlPatients with prior biopsy (n=11): 82% Patients with prior biopsy (n=11): 82% Patients with precurrent tumours (n=20): 60%9/37 patients had received prior VP shunt placement				Univariate analysis found no significant difference in	chemotherapy reported
Hadipanayis et al (2002)Patients with recurrent, residual or unresectable PASRS7-year actuarial survival rate: 76% Tumour control achieved in 25 patients (68%)Prior to SRS, 24 patients had near total (n=13) or partial (n=11) removal, 5 patients had an open biopsy and 12 patients at one centre. Patients at to ene centre. Patients (range 3 to 52)SRSSRS Tomour control to Cyst drainage (5 patients) e. Stereotactic cyst avacuation (3 patients) e. Stereotactic intracevitary irradiation with phosphorus-32 (4 patients) e. Chemotherapy (2 patients) e. Chemotherapy (2 patients) e. Chemotherapy (2 patient) e. Fractionated radiation therapy (1 patient) e. Fractionated radiation therapy (1 patient) e. VP shunt (1 patient) e. Patients with prior biopsy (n=11): 82% e. Patients with prior biopsy (n=11): 82% e. Patients with prior tumours (n=20): 60%Baseline scores were usually obtained 4-6 weeks after surgery Patients had received prior type shunt placementUSSub-group analysis Tumour control e. Patients with pri				improvement in MBS score at 2-year follow-up between patients	
Hadjipanayis et al (2002) [10]Patients with recurrent, residual or unresectable PASRS7-year actuarial survival rate: 76% Tumour control achieved in 25 patients (68%) 12 patients developed some form of tumour progression after SRS (range 3 to 52)Prior to SRS, 24 patients had near total (n=13) or partial (n=11) removal, 5 patients had an open biopsy and 12 had stereotactic biopsyPatients treated over a 13 year period (years not stated)N=37Stereotactic cyst vacuation (3 patients) • Further cytoreductive surgery (4 patients) • Stereotactic intracavitary irradiation with phosphorus-32 (4 patients)Biopsy was categorised as a 'prior surgical intervention' by the authorsUSUSN=37Median follow-up 28 months (range 3 to 92 months)Chemotherapy (2 patients) • Chemotherapy (2 patients) • Stereotactic intracavitary irradiation with phosphorus-32 (4 patients)Biopsy was categorised as a 'prior surgical intervention' by the authorsUSUSSurgoup analysis Tumour controlNal surviving patients had KPS scores ranging from 80 to 100 at last follow-upAll surviving patients with prior biopsy (n=11): 82% · Patients with prior biopsy (n=11): 82% · Patients with prior biopsy (n=11): 82% · Patients with prior biopsy (n=20): 60%2/37 patients had received prior VP shunt placement				with biopsy and those with partial excision	Baseline scores were usually
Ladijpanavjs et al (2002)Prior to SRS, 24 patients had recurrent, residual or unresectable PASRS7-year actuarial survival rate: 76%Prior to SRS, 24 patients had near total (n=13) or patients had an open biopsy and 12 had stereotactic biopsyRetrospective review of patients at one centre.N=3712 patients developed some form of tumour progression after SRS (range 8.9 to 67.5 months) and received: • Cyst drainage (5 patients) • Stereotactic cyst evacuation (3 patients) • Stereotactic cyst evacuation (3 patients) • Stereotactic otyst evacuation (3 patients) • Stereotactic nthracavitary irradiation with phosphorus-32 (4 patients) • Stereotactic attracavitary (1 patient) • VP shunt (1 patient) • VP shunt (1 patient)Results for sub-groups of patients had received prior fractionated radiation therapyUSUSSub-group analysis Tumour control • Patients with prior biopsy (n=11): 82% • Patients with prior biopsy (n=11): 82% • Patients with prior biopsy (n=2): 60%3/37 patients had received prior VP shunt placement					obtained 4-6 weeks after
Hadjipanayis et al (2002)Patients with recurrent, residual or unresectable PASRS7-year actuarial survival rate: 76%Prior to SRS, 24 patients had near total (n=13) or partial (n=11) removal, 5 patients had an open biopsy and 12 had stereotactic biopsyRetrospective review of patients at one centre.N=3712 patients developed some form of tumour progression after SRS (range 8.9 to 67.5 months) and received: • Cyst drainage (5 patients) • Stereotactic cyst evacuation (3 patients) • Stereotactic cyst evacuation (3 patients) • Stereotactic cintracavitary irradiation with phosphorus-32 (4 patients) • Stereotactic intracavitary (1 patient) • Stereotactic adiation therapy (2 patients) • Respeat radiosurgery (1 patient) • VP shunt (1 patient) • VP shunt (1 patient)Biopsy was categorised as a prior surgical intervention' by the authorsUSSub-group analysis Tumour controlSub-group analysis Tumour control8ub-group analysis Tumour control9/37 patients had received prior streetactic cyst drainageUSSub-group analysis Tumour control9/37 patients had received prior streetactic cyst drainage3/37 patients had received prior streetactic cyst drainage					surgery
et al (2002) [10]recurrent, residual or unresectable PATumour control achieved in 25 patients (68%)near total (n=13) or partial (n=11) removal, 5 patients (na open biopsy and 12 had stereotactic biopsyRetrospective review of patients at one centre.N=3712 patients developed some form of tumour progression after SRS (range 8.9 to 67.5 months) and received: S Cyst drainage (5 patients) Biopsy was categorised as a 'prior surgical intervention' by the authorsPatients treated over a 13 year period (years not stated)Median follow-up 28 92 months)Stereotactic intracavitary irradiation with phosphorus-32 (A patients) Repeat radiosurgery (1 patient) S Further cytoreductive surgery (1 patient) S Tereotactic intracavitary irradiation therapy (2 patients) Results for sub-groups of patients are presented separately where reportedUSSub-group analysis Tumour control9/ 37 patients had received prior fractionated radiation therapyUSSub-group analysis Tumour control3/37 patients had received prior fractionated radiation therapyUSSub-group analysis Tumour control3/37 patients had received prior stereotactic cyst drainage2/37 patients had received prior VP shunt placement2/37 patients had received prior VP shunt placement	Hadjipanayis	Patients with	SRS	7-year actuarial survival rate: 76%	Prior to SRS, 24 patients had
[10]unresectable PATumour control achieved in 25 patients (68%)(n=11) removal, 5 patients had an open biopsy and 12 had an open biopsy and 12 had stereotactic biopsyRetrospective review of patients at one centre. PatientsN=3712 patients developed some form of tumour progression after SRS (range 8.9 to 67.5 months) and received: • Cyst drainage (5 patients) • Further cytoreductive surgery (4 patients) • Stereotactic cyst evacuation (3 patients) • Stereotactic intracavitary irradiation with phosphorus-32 (4 patients) • Stereotactic intracavitary irradiation with phosphorus-32 (4 patients) • Chemotherapy (2 patients) • Repeat radiosurgery (1 patient) • Fractionated radiation therapy (1 patient) • VP shunt (1 patient)Biopsy was categorised as a 'prior surgical intervention' by the authorsUSUSSub-group analysis Tumour control • Patients with prior biopsy (n=11): 82% • Patients with recurrent tumours (n=20): 60%9/ 37 patients had received prior VP shunt placement	et al (2002)	recurrent, residual or			near total (n=13) or partial
Retrospective review of patients at one centre.N=3712 patients developed some form of tumour progression after SRS (range 8.9 to 67.5 months) and received: 	[10]	unresectable PA		Tumour control achieved in 25 patients (68%)	(n=11) removal, 5 patients
Retrospective review of patients at one centre. (range 3 to 52)N=3712 patients developed some form of tumour progression after stression after (range 3 to 52)had stereotactic biopsyPatients reated over a 13 year period (years not stated)Median follow-up 28 months (range 3 to 92 months)Cyst drainage (5 patients) • Stereotactic cyst evacuation (3 patients) • Stereotactic intracavitary irradiation with phosphorus-32 (4 patients) • Repeat radiosurgery (1 patient) • Fractionated radiation therapy (1 patient) • VP shunt (1 patient)Biopsy was categorised as a 'prior surgical intervention' by the authorsUSStereotactic intracavitary irradiation with phosphorus-32 (4 patients) • Repeat radiosurgery (1 patient) • VP shunt (1 patient)Biopsy was categorised as a 'prior surgical intervention' by the authorsUSStereotactic intracavitary irradiation with phosphorus-32 (4 patients)9/ 37 patients had received prior fractionated radiation therapyUSVP shunt (1 patient) • VP shunt (1 patient) • VP shunt (1 patient)3/37 patients had received prior stereotactic cyst drainageUSSub-group analysis Tumour control • Patients with prior biopsy (n=11): 82% • Patients with recurrent tumours (n=20): 60%2/37 patients had received prior VP shunt placement					had an open biopsy and 12
review of patients at one centre. PatientsMedian age 14 years (range 3 to 52)SRS (range 8.9 to 67.5 months) and received: • Cyst drainage (5 patients) • Further cytoreductive surgery (4 patients) • Stereotactic cyst evacuation (3 patients) • Stereotactic intracavitary irradiation with phosphorus-32 (4 patients) • Chemotherapy (2 patients) • Chemotherapy (2 patients) • Chemotherapy (2 patients) • Repeat radiosurgery (1 patient) • Fractionated radiation therapy (1 patient) • VP shunt (1 patient)Biopsy was categorised as a Biopsy was categorised as a 'the authors' Results for sub-groups of patients are presented separately where reportedUSUSSub-group analysis Tumour control • Patients with prior biopsy (n=11): 82% • Patients with recurrent tumours (n=20): 60%3/37 patients had received prior VP shunt placement	Retrospective	N=37		12 patients developed some form of tumour progression after	had stereotactic biopsy
patients at one centre. PatientsMedian age 14 years (range 3 to 52)• Cyst drainage (5 patients)Biopsy was categorised as a prior surgical intervention' by the authorsPatients treated over a 13 year period (years not stated)Median follow-up 28 months (range 3 to 92 months)• Cyst drainage (5 patients) • Further cytoreductive surgery (4 patients) • Stereotactic intracavitary irradiation with phosphorus-32 (4 patients)Biopsy was categorised as a prior surgical intervention' by the authorsUS92 months)• Chemotherapy (2 patients) • Chemotherapy (2 patients) • Repeat radiosurgery (1 patient) • VP shunt (1 patient)Biopsy was categorised as a prior surgical intervention' by the authorsUS92 months)• Chemotherapy (2 patients) • Chemotherapy (1 patient) • VP shunt (1 patient)Biopsy was categorised as a prior surgical intervention' by the authorsUS• Chemotherapy (2 patients) • Repeat radiosurgery (1 patient) • VP shunt (1 patient)9/ 37 patients had received prior fractionated radiation therapyUS• Patients with prior biopsy (n=11): 82% • Patients with prior biopsy (n=11): 82% • Patients with recurrent tumours (n=20): 60%2/37 patients had received prior VP shunt placement	review of			SRS (range 8.9 to 67.5 months) and received:	
one centre. Patients treated over a 13 year period (stated)(range 3 to 52)• Further cytoreductive surgery (4 patients) • Stereotactic cyst evacuation (3 patients) • Stereotactic intracavitary irradiation with phosphorus-32 (4 patients) • Chemotherapy (2 patients) • Repeat radiosurgery (1 patient) • Fractionated radiation therapy (1 patient) • VP shunt (1 patient)'prior surgical intervention' by the authorsUSUS• Further cytoreductive surgery (4 patients) • Stereotactic cyst evacuation (3 patients) • Chemotherapy (2 patients) • Repeat radiosurgery (1 patient) • VP shunt (1 patient)9/ 37 patients had received prior fractionated radiation therapyUSSub-group analysis Tumour control • Patients with prior biopsy (n=11): 82% • Patients with recurrent tumours (n=20): 60%3/37 patients had received prior VP shunt placement	patients at	Median age 14 years		Cyst drainage (5 patients)	Biopsy was categorised as a
Patients treated over a 13 year period (years not stated)Median follow-up 28 months (range 3 to 92 months)• Stereotactic cyst evacuation (3 patients) • Stereotactic intracavitary irradiation with phosphorus-32 (4 patients) • Chemotherapy (2 patients) • Repeat radiosurgery (1 patient) • VP shunt (1 patient)the authorsUSUS• Stereotactic cyst evacuation (3 patients) • Stereotactic intracavitary irradiation with phosphorus-32 (4 patients) • Chemotherapy (2 patients) • VP shunt (1 patient)# eauthorsUS• Stereotactic cyst evacuation (1 patient) • VP shunt (1 patient)• Stereotactic cyst evacuation (1 patient) • VP shunt (1 patient)9/ 37 patients had received prior fractionated radiation therapyUS• Sub-group analysis Tumour control • Patients with prior biopsy (n=11): 82% • Patients with recurrent tumours (n=20): 60%2/37 patients had received prior VP shunt placement	one centre.	(range 3 to 52)		 Further cytoreductive surgery (4 patients) 	'prior surgical intervention' by
treated over a 13 year period (years not stated)Median follow-up 28 months (range 3 to 92 months)• Stereotactic intracavitary irradiation with phosphorus-32 (4 patients)Results for sub-groups of patientsUS92 months)• Chemotherapy (2 patients) • Fractionated radiation therapy (1 patient) • VP shunt (1 patient)• Repeat radiosurgery (1 patient) • VP shunt (1 patient)9/ 37 patients had received prior fractionated radiation therapyUSSub-group analysis Tumour control • Patients with prior biopsy (n=11): 82% • Patients with recurrent tumours (n=20): 60%3/37 patients had received prior VP shunt placement	Patients			 Stereotactic cyst evacuation (3 patients) 	the authors
13 year period (years not stated) months (range 3 to 92 months) (4 patients) (4 patients) Results for sub-groups of patients are presented separately where reported US 92 months) • Chemotherapy (2 patients) • Repeat radiosurgery (1 patient) • Fractionated radiation therapy (1 patient) • VP shunt (1 patient) 9/ 37 patients had received prior fractionated radiation therapy US All surviving patients had KPS scores ranging from 80 to 100 at last follow-up 3/37 patients had received prior stereotactic cyst drainage Sub-group analysis Tumour control • Patients with prior biopsy (n=11): 82% • Patients with recurrent tumours (n=20): 60% 2/37 patients had received prior VP shunt placement	treated over a	Median follow-up 28		Stereotactic intracavitary irradiation with phosphorus-32	
(years not stated)92 months)• Chemotherapy (2 patients) • Repeat radiosurgery (1 patient) • Fractionated radiation therapy (1 patient) • VP shunt (1 patient) • VP shunt (1 patient)patients are presented separately where reportedUS• Chemotherapy (2 patients) • Repeat radiosurgery (1 patient) • VP shunt (1 patient) • VP shunt (1 patient)9/ 37 patients had received prior fractionated radiation therapyUS• Sub-group analysis Tumour control • Patients with prior biopsy (n=11): 82% • Patients with recurrent tumours (n=20): 60%3/37 patients had received prior VP shunt placement	13 year period	months (range 3 to		(4 patients)	Results for sub-groups of
stated)• Repeat radiosurgery (1 patient) • Fractionated radiation therapy (1 patient) • VP shunt (1 patient)separately where reportedUS• Fractionated radiation therapy (1 patient) • VP shunt (1 patient)9/ 37 patients had received prior fractionated radiation therapyAll surviving patients had KPS scores ranging from 80 to 100 at last follow-up3/37 patients had received prior stereotactic cyst drainageSub-group analysis Tumour control 	(years not	92 months)		Chemotherapy (2 patients)	patients are presented
US• Fractionated radiation therapy (1 patient) • VP shunt (1 patient)9/ 37 patients had received prior fractionated radiation therapyAll surviving patients had KPS scores ranging from 80 to 100 at last follow-up9/ 37 patients had received prior station therapySub-group analysis Tumour control • Patients with prior biopsy (n=11): 82% • Patients receiving adjuvant SRS (n=17): 76% • Patients with recurrent tumours (n=20): 60%2/37 patients had received prior VP shunt placement	stated)			 Repeat radiosurgery (1 patient) 	separately where reported
 VP shunt (1 patient) All surviving patients had KPS scores ranging from 80 to 100 at last follow-up Sub-group analysis Tumour control Patients with prior biopsy (n=11): 82% Patients receiving adjuvant SRS (n=17): 76% Patients with recurrent tumours (n=20): 60% 9/ 37 patients had received prior fractionated radiation therapy 3/37 patients had received prior stereotactic cyst drainage 				 Fractionated radiation therapy (1 patient) 	
All surviving patients had KPS scores ranging from 80 to 100 at last follow-up Sub-group analysis Tumour control Patients with prior biopsy (n=11): 82% Patients receiving adjuvant SRS (n=17): 76% Patients with recurrent tumours (n=20): 60% Patients Patients with recurrent tumours (n=20): 60%	05			VP shunt (1 patient)	9/37 patients had received
All surviving patients had KPS scores ranging from 80 to 100 at last follow-up Sub-group analysis Tumour control • Patients with prior biopsy (n=11): 82% • Patients receiving adjuvant SRS (n=17): 76% • Patients with recurrent tumours (n=20): 60% therapy 3 /37 patients had received prior stereotactic cyst drainage 2/37 patients had received prior VP shunt placement					prior fractionated radiation
Iast follow-up3 /37 patients had received prior stereotactic cyst drainageSub-group analysis Tumour control • Patients with prior biopsy (n=11): 82% • Patients receiving adjuvant SRS (n=17): 76% • Patients with recurrent tumours (n=20): 60%3 /37 patients had received prior stereotactic cyst drainage2/37 patients had received prior VP shunt placement				All surviving patients had KPS scores ranging from 80 to 100 at	therapy
Sub-group analysis Tumour control • Patients with prior biopsy (n=11): 82% • Patients receiving adjuvant SRS (n=17): 76% • Patients with recurrent tumours (n=20): 60%3/37 patients had received prior stereotactic cyst drainage2/37 patients had received prior VP shunt placement				last follow-up	2 /27 potients had received
Sub-group analysisphot stereotactic cystTumour control• Patients with prior biopsy (n=11): 82%• Patients receiving adjuvant SRS (n=17): 76%2/37 patients had received prior VP shunt placement• Patients with recurrent tumours (n=20): 60%2/37 patients had received prior VP shunt placement				Out many english	prior storeotactic cyst
 Patients with prior biopsy (n=11): 82% Patients receiving adjuvant SRS (n=17): 76% Patients with recurrent tumours (n=20): 60% 				Sub-group analysis	drainage
 Patients with prior biopsy (n=11): 82% Patients receiving adjuvant SRS (n=17): 76% Patients with recurrent tumours (n=20): 60% 2/37 patients had received prior VP shunt placement 				Furnour control	urainage
 Patients receiving adjuvant SRS (n=17): 76% Patients with recurrent tumours (n=20): 60% 				Patients with prior biopsy (n=11): 82%	2/37 patients had received
Patients with recurrent tumours (n=20): 60%				Patients receiving adjuvant SRS (n=17): 76%	prior VP shunt placement
				Patients with recurrent tumours (n=20): 60%	
In university englysis, factors significantly predicting works				In university analysis, factors significantly predicting works	
automos were:				automos woro:	
Multifocal tumours (p=0.001)				Multifecel tumoure (n=0.001)	
• Inditious ($p=0.001$)				 Multilocal functions (p=0.001) Provious fractionated radiation therapy (p=0.001) 	
 Previous fractionated radiation therapy (p=0.001) Older petiept age (p=0.021) 				Previous fractionated radiation therapy (p=0.001)	
• Older patient age $(p=0.021)$				 Older patient age (p=0.021) Lower redicourgical tymour does (p=0.0076) 	
Euwer radiosurgical tumour dose (p=0.0076)	Deethius et el	Detiente with regidual	CDC	Lower radiosurgical turnour dose (p=0.0076)	Drier to CDC 17 notion to had
(2002) [5] tumour after surgery	(2002) [5]	tumour after surgery	313	rumour control was achieved in To Patterits (95%)	open surgery and 2 had
One natient who had received SRS following onen surgery had bionsy sampling	(2002) [3]	tumour alter surgery		One patient who had received SRS following open surgery had	bionsy sampling
Retrospective N=19	Retrospective	N-19		tumour recurrence after 5.2 years and was re-treated (details not	Sicpsy sampling

review of patients at one centre	Median age 7 years (range 2-60)	provided). One patient had several shunt procedures for a tumour cyst that remained a problem 6 years after SRS	2/17 patients had received prior conventional radiotherapy
treated between 1978 and 1997	Median follow-up 84 months (range 4.8 to 24.0 years)	Overall survival and PFS not reported	1/17 patients had received prior brachytherapy
Sweden			

ADL – activities of daily living; cc – cubic centimetres; FRT – fractionated radiation therapy; KPS – Karnofsky Performance Status; MBS – Modified Barthel Score; PA – pilocytic astrocytoma; PFS – progression-free survival; SD: standard deviation; SRS – stereotactic radiosurgery; SRT – stereotactic radiotherapy; VP shunt – ventricular peritoneal shunt

4.2 Trials in progress

We did not identify any trials in progress on SRS/ SRT for pilocytic astrocytoma from clinicaltrials.gov (December 2015).

4.3 Evidence of cost-effectiveness

We did not identify any studies assessing the cost-effectiveness of SRS/ SRT for pilocytic astrocytoma.

4.4 Safety

Table 2 summarises adverse events associated with SRS/ SRT in the seven included studies.

Study	Safety results			
Hallemeier et al (2012) [2]	8 patients (44%) developed symptomatic oedema			
Kano et al (2009) [4]	 5 patients (10%) had adverse radiation effects 1 patient (2%) had significant increase in enhancement and peritumoral oedema causing mass effect requiring hospitalisation 1 patient (2%) showed peritumoral oedema with central tumour necrosis but did not require medication 1 patient (2%) developed imbalance and peritumoral oedema and received corticosteroids 			
Jalali et al (2008) [8]	 1 patient (3%) SAE (moyamoya disease) 			
Hadjipanayis et al (2002) [10]	• 2 patients (5%) had temporary worsening of the neurological conditions			
Boëthius et al (2002) [5]	 5 patients (26%) had adverse radiation effects (2 with clinical symptoms) 2 patients (11%) developed tumour cysts 			
Lizarraga et al (2012) [3]	Reported no complications attributable to radiation therapy			
Kano et al (2009) [1]	Reported no adverse radiation effect or any other morbidity after SRS			

Table 2: Adverse events associated with SRS/ SRT

SAE - serious adverse event; SRS - stereotactic radiosurgery

In two studies [1;3] there were no adverse events associated with SRS. In three other studies [4;8;10] rates of adverse events were generally low. In one study [5] a quarter of the study sample experienced adverse radiation effects and in another study [2] 44% of the population sample developed symptomatic oedema.

4.5 Summary of section 4

The seven included studies were all uncontrolled observational studies which represent lower quality evidence. Five considered SRS, one considered SRT and one considered both SRS and SRT. The study populations were generally small, with the largest sample size being 50 patients, and heterogeneous in terms of age range and treatments received prior to SRS and SRT.

There was some variation in the results achieved, for example, in the reported tumour control rates (ranging from 50% to 95%) and variable progression-free survival rates. Patients received various further treatments after SRS with further surgery the most common procedure. Overall survival was generally high in all studies at all time points. One study showed improvement in two measures of activities of daily living from the baseline at four to six weeks after surgery to follow-up at six months, two and three years after SRT.

In four studies assessing factors affecting outcomes, worse outcomes were associated with previous radiotherapy, multifocal tumours, older patient age and lower radiosurgical tumour dose. Conversely, better outcomes were associated with total or partial surgical resection (compared to biopsy), non-cystic lesions, smaller tumour volume, newly diagnosed or residual tumour (compared to recurrence), and no brainstem involvement.

The study inclusion period covered more than ten years in six of the seven studies. In two studies the inclusion periods started more than 25 years ago (1978 and 1987 respectively). Given these extended time periods it is likely that changes in treatment practices will be a factor in the results.

Pilocytic astrocytoma is a slow-growing tumour. The follow-up period extended to 15 years or more in three studies, but the median (or mean) follow-up period was less than five years in five of the seven studies.

Rates of adverse events attributed to SRS or SRT were generally low, although one study did report symptomatic oedema in just under half of their study population.

We did not identify any studies assessing the cost-effectiveness of SRS or SRT for pilocytic astrocytoma.

5 Discussion and conclusions

The questions posed at the outset of this review are addressed in turn below.

1. What is the evidence for the clinical effectiveness of stereotactic radiosurgery/ stereotactic radiotherapy for pilocytic astrocytoma compared to other treatment modalities?

We did not identify any studies comparing SRS/ SRT for pilocytic astrocytoma to other treatment modalities. Evidence for the clinical effectiveness of SRS and SRT for pilocytic astrocytoma comes from small uncontrolled observational studies which involved heterogeneous populations and showed variable results. All studies reported tumour control in at least 50% of patients although the follow-up period for different patients varied considerably. Analysis of sub-groups of patients found that worse outcomes were associated with previous radiotherapy, multifocal tumours, older patient age and lower radiosurgical tumour dose, whereas better outcomes were associated with non-cystic lesions, smaller tumour volume, newly diagnosed or residual tumour (versus recurrence), prior surgical intervention of total or partial resection (versus prior surgical intervention of biopsy) and no brainstem involvement. Data for the impact of SRS and SRT for pilocytic astrocytoma on quality of life were limited but improvements in measures of activities of daily living were observed in one study. Rates of adverse events attributed to SRS or SRT were generally low.

Because this evidence is from uncontrolled observational studies it is not possible to draw any strong conclusions about the effectiveness of SRS or SRT in patients with pilocytic astrocytoma who have had prior surgery.

2. What is the evidence for the cost-effectiveness of stereotactic radiosurgery/ stereotactic radiotherapy for pilocytic astrocytoma compared to other treatment modalities? We did not identify any studies assessing the cost-effectiveness of SRS or SRT for pilocytic astrocytoma.

Competing Interest

All SPH authors have completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form (www.icmje.org/coi_disclosure.pdf) and declare: grants from NHS England to SPH to undertake the submitted work, no financial relationships with any organisations that might have an interest in the submitted work in the previous three years; no other relationships or activities that could appear to have influenced the submitted work

Terms of Use

This document has been produced by SPH for NHS England. It must not be distributed or accessed or used for commercial purposes without prior written permission from NHS England. The purpose of this document is to review and summarise published evidence relating to clinical interventions. The findings may be applicable to the development of commissioning policy, but commissioning policy development is undertaken by NHS commissioners taking into account a wide range of other factors. SPH is not responsible for the development of commissioning policy. Use of this document is subject to agreement that SPH is fully indemnified against any liability that may arise through use of the information within this document.

© Solutions for Public Health 2016

Solutions for Public Health owns on creation, the copyright and all other intellectual property rights in this document unless otherwise indicated. The copyright protected material may be reproduced free of charge in any format or medium subject to the necessary permission provided it is reproduced accurately and not used in a misleading context. If any of the copyright items produced are being copied to others, the source of the material must be identified and the copyright status acknowledged.

6 References

- Kano H. Kondziolka D. Niranjan A. Flickinger JC. Lunsford LD. Sterotactic radiosurgery for pilocytic astrocytomas part 1: outcomes in adults. Journal of Neurooncology 2009a, 95: 211-218
- Hallemeir CL. Pollock BE. Schomberg PJ. et al. Stereotactic radiosurgery for recurrent or unresectable pilocytic astrocytoma International Journal of Radiation Oncology 2012, 83(1): 107-112
- Lizarraga KJ. Gorgulho A. Lee SP. Rauscher G. Selch MT. DeSalles AAF. Stereotactic radiation therapy for progressive residual pilocytic astrocytomas. Journal of Neurooncology 2012, 109: 129-135
- Kano H. Niranjan A. Kondziolka D. et al. Sterotactic radiosurgery for pilocytic astrocytomas part 2: outcomes in pediatric patients. Journal of Neurooncology 2009, 95: 219-229
- 5. Boëthius J. Ulfarsson E. Rähn T. Lippitz B. Gamma knife radiosurgery for pilocytic astrocytomas. Journal of Neurosurgery 2002, 97(Suppl 5): 677-680
- The Brain Tumour Foundation of Canada. Juvenile pilocytic astrocytoma. <u>http://www.braintumour.ca/4886/juvenile-pilocytic-astrocytoma-jpa</u> (Accessed December 2015)
- Marcus KJ. Goumnerova L. Billett AL. et al. Stereotactic radiotherapy for localized lowgrade gliomas in children: final results of a prospective trial. International Journal of Radiation Oncology Biology and Physics 2005, 61(2): 374-379
- NHS England. Population, Intervention, Comparator and Outcomes (PICO) for stereotactic radiosurgery / stereotactic radiotherapy for pilocytic astrocytoma. November 2015
- Jalali R. Dutta D. Kamble R. et al. Prospective assessment of activities of daily living using Modified Barthel's Index in children and young adults with low-grade gliomas treated with stereotactic conformal radiotherapy. Journal of Neurooncology 2008, 90: 321-328
- Hadjipanayis CG. Kondziolka D. Gardner P. et al. Stereotactic radiosurgery for pilocytic astrocytomas when multimodal therapy is necessary. Journal of neurosurgery 2002, 97: 56-64

7 Search Strategy

Table 3: Population, Intervention, Comparator and Outcomes (PICO)

Patients/ population	Patients with pilocytic astrocytoma, residual, recurrent or progressive after previous surgery where further surgery deemed too high risk
Intervention	Stereotactic radiosurgery / stereotactic radiotherapy
Comparison	Surgery Fractionated radiotherapy Proton beam therapy
Outcomes	 Clinical effectiveness Long-term tumour control / cure rate Proportion of patients free from progressive symptoms Proportion of patients with maintenance/ improvement of quality of life Incidence and nature of adverse events Need for repeat or alternative procedures Recovery time after treatment

Search date: 6th November 2015

Databases searched: Medline, Embase, Cochrane, TRIP and NICE Evidence Search Limited to studies published in English from 2000 onwards.

Case reports, conference papers, letters and commentary excluded.

This was a combined search for papers on ependymoma, haemangioblastoma, pilocytic astrocytoma and trigeminal schwannoma, each of which is the subject of a separate evidence review. Only the results for pilocytic astrocytoma are considered in this review.

Embase search strategy

- 1 ependymoma/
- 2 (ependymoma? or ependymal glioma? or subependymal glioma?).ti,ab.
- 3 1 or 2
- 4 hemangioblastoma/
- 5 (h?emangioblastoma? or angioblastoma?).ti,ab.
- 6 4 or 5
- 7 pilocytic astrocytoma/
- 8 (pilocytic astrocytoma? or cystic cerebellar cytoma? or pilomyxoid astrocytoma?).ti,ab.
- 9 7 or 8
- 10 neurilemoma/ and (trigeminal nerve/ or trigeminal nerve disease/)
- 11 (trigeminal adj2 (schwannoma? or neuroilemoma?)).ti,ab.
- 12 10 or 11
- 13 3 or 6 or 9 or 12
- 14 exp stereotactic procedure/
- 15 (stereotactic* or stereotaxic* or sbrt or srt or srs or radiosurg* or radio-surg*).ti,ab.
- 16 (gammaknife or gamma knife or linac or cyberknife or cyber knife).ti,ab.
- 17 14 or 15 or 16
- 18 13 and 17
- 19 case report/
- 20 conference*.pt.
- 21 19 or 20
- 22 18 not 21
- 23 limit 22 to (english language and yr="2000 -Current")