

## Engagement Report for Clinical Commissioning Policies

<b>Unique Reference Number</b>	D12X03
<b>Policy Title</b>	Draft policy proposition for Argus II Retinal Prosthesis
<b>Accountable Commissioner</b>	Nicola Symes
<b>Clinical Reference Group</b>	Specialised Ophthalmology Services
Which stakeholders were contacted to be involved in policy development?	CRG - Specialised Ophthalmology Services RDAG – Rare Diseases Advisory Group
Identify the relevant Royal College or Professional Society to the policy and indicate how they have been involved	The Royal College of Ophthalmologists  The Royal College of Ophthalmologists provided feedback as part of the stakeholder testing phase.
Which stakeholders have actually been involved?	
Explain reason if there is any difference from previous question	
Identify any particular stakeholder organisations that may be key to the policy	

<p>development that you have approached that have yet to be engaged. Indicate why?</p>	
<p>How have stakeholders been involved? What engagement methods have been used?</p>	<p>One-week Stakeholder testing with those stakeholders registered with NHS England Specialised Ophthalmology Services CRG.</p>
<p>What has happened or changed as a result of their input?</p>	<p>Nil – the stakeholder responses from both the Royal College of Ophthalmologists and Rare Diseases Advisory Group were supportive of the proposed policy development. (Non-routine commissioning, with an application for Commissioning through Evaluation)</p>
<p>How are stakeholders being kept informed of progress with policy development as a result of their input?</p>	<p>Via the CRG</p>
<p>What level of wider public consultation is recommended by the CRG for the NPOC Board to agree as a result of stakeholder involvement?</p>	<p>30 day public consultation is proposed.</p>