

Integrated Impact Assessment Report for Clinical Service Specifications

Reference Number	E13/S(HSS)/a		
Title	Revised Specification for Gender Identity Development Service for Children and Adolescents		
Accountable Commissioner	Bernie Stocks	Clinical Lead	Dr Edmund Jessop
Finance Lead	Shekh Motin	Analytical Lead	Charlotte Ellis, Peter Street
Activity Impact			
Theme	Questions	Comments (Include source of information and details of assumptions made and any issues with the data)	
Note to the reader:			
<p><u>Note 1: The activity and additional cost impact of the revised specification for this service is related to bringing forward the time for adolescents to access cross sex hormones from 16 years to 15 years and 10 months - but only for those clients who have been assessed as a) having the competency and autonomy to consent to the treatment and b) which they have been clinically assessed as being in scope for. The proposal is to provide legitimate flexibility on a case by case basis around the timing of clinic appointments around the client's 16th birthday as the current system does not</u></p>			

allow for some individuals to be seen until a number of months after their 16th birthday.

Note 2: The provider of the service has made a commitment to absorb the additional costs of bringing forward the age of access to cross sex hormones from 16 years to 15 years and 10 months as indicated within this document within the contract for 16/17 and beyond. Therefore the cost to NHS England is zero impact and no monies are required from 16/17 prioritisation monies.

K1 Current Patient Population & Demography / Growth	K 1.1 What is the prevalence of the disease/condition? K1.2 What is the number of patients eligible for this treatment under currently routinely commissioned care arrangements?	K1.1 Formal epidemiological studies on Gender Dysphoria (GD) among adolescents of 15 years or older and adults are usually based on the number of people who have been treated at gender identity clinics. Estimates of the prevalence of GD range from a lower estimate of 1:2000 (or about 0.05%) in the Netherlands and Belgium (Conway 2008) to 1.2% in New Zealand (Clark et al 2014). These numbers are based on those who identify as transgender. In England, the number of referrals to the service is increasing, with some 1400 new referrals projected for 15/16. K1.2 The current clinical protocol in England is that the service can prescribe cross-sex hormones to adolescents of 16 years and above who have been assessed as having persistent GD* and have been receiving hormone blockers for a minimum of one year following assessment in the services' Paediatric Endocrine Liaison team via the Early Intervention Clinic. The cross sex hormones are prescribed in addition to the hormone blockers and are introduced on a phased way to achieve the correct level. * To be in scope for cross sex hormones from 16 years plus or minus two months, clients need to have: a) been seen in the service's Paediatric Endocrine Liaison team's Early Intervention Clinic,
---	---	---

	<p>K1.3 What age group is the treatment indicated for?</p> <p>K1.4 Describe the age distribution of</p>	<p>b) received hormone blockers for one year or more and</p> <p>c) been assessed as having persistent GD and</p> <p>d) have expressed the wish to receive cross sex hormones, with the agreement of their family or carers and</p> <p>e) had further assessment and are considered to meet the criteria to receive cross sex hormones.</p> <p>f) been assessed as having the competency and autonomy to consent to the treatment.</p> <p>This impact of this specification is to bring forward the prescribing of cross sex hormones to a limited number of adolescents up to two months before their 16th birthday each year. This will impact on 15* clients in year one (2016/17) with a predicted average annual growth rate of up to 20% over 10 years, (including average 10% referral growth rate plus separate age profile change). This will provide legitimate flexibility on a case by case basis around the timing of clinic appointments around the client's 16th birthday as the current system does not allow for some individuals to be seen until a number of months after their 16th birthday.</p> <p>* 15 patients is the current number of eligible patients in the early intervention group who would be eligible for cross sex hormones by the age of 15 years and 10 months in 16/17. This would be the maximum number as not all patients may wish to proceed with this treatment.</p> <p>K1.3 Adolescent clients two months before their 16th birthday who have had a full assessment as described in K1.2.</p> <p>K1.4 Clients aged between a)15 years and 10 months to b) 16th</p>
--	---	---

	<p>the patient population taking up treatment?</p> <p>K1.5 What is the current activity associated with currently routinely commissioned care for this group?</p> <p>K1.6 What is the projected growth of the disease/condition prevalence (prior to applying the new policy) in 2, 5, and 10 years</p> <p>K1.7 What is the associated projected growth in activity (prior to applying the new policy) in 2,5 and 10 years</p>	<p>birthday</p> <p>K1.5 The current activity in scope is for those clients who have attended the Paediatric Endocrine Liaison team's Early Intervention Clinic where they have received hormone blockers and then gone on to receive cross sex hormones after their 16th birthday; 17 patients in 15/16.</p> <p>K1.6 Of the GD prevalence per se, that is, of all referrals to the GD clinic, a) the total number of referrals to the PELT's Early Intervention Clinic is small, b) smaller still is the number who are eligible for cross sex hormones and c) only a proportion will be coming up to their 16th birthday.</p> <p>Only 3-5% of total referrals to the GIDS service are in scope for cross sex hormones per annum.</p> <p>Current estimates are that the prevalence of GD may be presumed to be static, although presentation rates are increasing due to increased social acceptance, social and media interest, greater access to information via the internet amongst other factors- which have resulted in 50% increase in new referrals year on year since 2009, although this has risen to 100% in 15/16. (New referrals in 2014/15 were 697 and the projected outturn of new referrals for 15/16 is 1400).</p> <p>K1.7 The policy does not have an implication for the number of young people undertaking the new policy, it only affects the timing within the treatment pathway for physical intervention within the existing treatment pathway.</p>
--	--	--

There are 15 patients who are in scope for cross sex hormones in 16/17 having been to the Early Intervention clinic – the number predicted over the next 10 years if the policy is not implemented is:

	Growth rate (assume average rate of 20% per annum over 10 years – this is based on a) 15% growth in referrals and b) a 5% age profile change, although it is assumed that it will level out over 10 years)	Number in scope for cross sex hormones at 16 years
2016/17		15
2017/18		18
2020/21		31
2025/26		77

However, given the reasons stated in K1.6, demand for this service is increasing as compared to other paediatric services. Growth rates are predicted to continue to rise, although the figure is likely to be less than the 50% per annum since 2009. An average of 20% per annum is estimated over the next ten years. In addition, there could be a profile change in the age of referral based on the experience of the Dutch service, where the number of younger people who enter the service and who are eligible for the Early Intervention Clinic would increase over time as a proportion of the total, and the number referred post 15 years of age decrease. If this referral profile takes place in England, the number of young people who will be eligible for cross sex hormones at 15 years and 10 months will increase year on year rather than decrease.

	<p>K1.8 How is the population currently distributed geographically?</p>	<p>K1.8 Currently more referrals are received from the Midlands and the South*, which are broadly in line with the population base, but this is expected to even out and become more aligned to the population base over time.</p> <p>*The service's referral data for the English population (95% of total) between 2009 to 2015 shows that 35% were from the South, 24% were from the Midlands, 23% were from the North and 13% were from London.</p>
<p>K2 Future Patient Population & Demography</p>	<p>K2.1 Does the new service specification : move to a non-routine commissioning position / substitute a currently routinely commissioned treatment / expand or restrict an existing treatment threshold / add an additional line / stage of treatment / other?</p> <p>K2.2 Please describe any factors likely to affect growth in the patient population for this intervention (e.g. increased disease prevalence, increased survival).</p> <p>K 2.3 Are there likely to be changes in geography/demography of the patient population and would this impact on activity/outcomes? If yes, provide</p>	<p>K2.1 The specification expands current clinical practice by two months to 15 years and 10 months as compared to from the 16th birthday as now, which will provide legitimate flexibility on a case by case basis around the timing of clinic appointments around the client's 16th birthday. The concern is that the current system does not allow for some individuals to be seen until after their 16th birthday.</p> <p>K2.2 Presentation rates are increasing due to increased social acceptance, social and media interest, greater access to information via the internet amongst other factors- which have resulted in 50% increase in new referrals year on year since 2009, although this has risen to 100% in 15/16. (New referrals in 2014/15 were 697 and the projected outturn of new referrals for 15/16 is 1400). Any change in the number of children with features of Autistic Spectrum Disorder (ASD) or who have a diagnosis of ASD or Asperger Syndrome. As a significant proportion of clients have these conditions.</p> <p>K2.3 Presentations are assumed to be related to population size rather than any geographic hot spots per se.</p>

	<p>details</p> <p>K2.4 What is the resulting expected net increase or decrease in the number of patients who will access the treatment per year in year 2, 5 and 10?</p>	<p>K2.4 This is estimated to be, based on the number of people in the service now who would be in scope (the same number of people as are in the system now, plus growth – it is just that they are being seen earlier than currently planned):</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="992 435 1977 1161"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="992 435 1207 783"></th> <th data-bbox="1207 435 1491 1161">Growth rate (assume average rate of 20% per annum over 10 years – this is based on a) 15% growth in referrals and b) a 5% age profile change, although it is assumed that it will level out over 10 years)</th> <th data-bbox="1491 435 1666 783">Number in scope for cross sex hormones at 15 years and 10 months</th> <th data-bbox="1666 435 1977 783">Total number of additional appointments needed (1 extra appointments per patient)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="992 783 1207 879">1 year (2016/17)</td> <td data-bbox="1207 783 1491 1161"></td> <td data-bbox="1491 783 1666 879">15</td> <td data-bbox="1666 783 1977 879">15</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="992 879 1207 967">2 years (2017/18)</td> <td data-bbox="1207 879 1491 1161"></td> <td data-bbox="1491 879 1666 967">18</td> <td data-bbox="1666 879 1977 967">18</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="992 967 1207 1070">5 years (2020/21)</td> <td data-bbox="1207 967 1491 1161"></td> <td data-bbox="1491 967 1666 1070">31</td> <td data-bbox="1666 967 1977 1070">31</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="992 1070 1207 1161">10 years (2025/26)</td> <td data-bbox="1207 1070 1491 1161"></td> <td data-bbox="1491 1070 1666 1161">77</td> <td data-bbox="1666 1070 1977 1161">77</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Growth rate (assume average rate of 20% per annum over 10 years – this is based on a) 15% growth in referrals and b) a 5% age profile change, although it is assumed that it will level out over 10 years)	Number in scope for cross sex hormones at 15 years and 10 months	Total number of additional appointments needed (1 extra appointments per patient)	1 year (2016/17)		15	15	2 years (2017/18)		18	18	5 years (2020/21)		31	31	10 years (2025/26)		77	77
	Growth rate (assume average rate of 20% per annum over 10 years – this is based on a) 15% growth in referrals and b) a 5% age profile change, although it is assumed that it will level out over 10 years)	Number in scope for cross sex hormones at 15 years and 10 months	Total number of additional appointments needed (1 extra appointments per patient)																			
1 year (2016/17)		15	15																			
2 years (2017/18)		18	18																			
5 years (2020/21)		31	31																			
10 years (2025/26)		77	77																			
K3 Activity	<p>K3.1 What is the current annual activity for the target population covered under the new service specification Please provide details in accompanying excel sheet</p>	<p>K3.1 Total new referrals forecast outturn for 2015/16 is 1400 patients. In 2016/17, referrals are expected to increase by some 20% to 1560, of which up to 15 clients are expected to be in scope for cross sex hormones at 15 years and 10 months.</p>																				

	<p>K3.2 What will be the new activity should the new service specification be implemented in the target population? Please provide details in accompanying excel sheet</p> <p>K3.3 What will be the comparative activity for the 'Next Best Alternative' or 'Do Nothing' comparator if policy is not adopted? Please details in accompanying excel sheet</p>	<p>K3.2 - see K2.4</p> <p>K3.3 Continue with psychological counselling or hormone blockers alone until the 16th birthday.</p>
<p>K4 Existing Patient Pathway</p>	<p>K4.1 If there is a relevant currently routinely commissioned treatment, what is the current patient pathway? Describe or include a figure to outline associated activity.</p> <p>K4.2 What are the current treatment access criteria?</p>	<p>K4.1 No formalised commissioned activity under the age of 16 for the prescribing of cross sex hormones.</p> <p>K4.2</p> <p>K4.2.1 Criteria for acceptance into the general GIDS service:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Referrals will be accepted from a range of professionals including CAMHS professionals, GPs, secondary care clinicians including paediatricians and gynaecologists, schools and colleges of further education. • Referrals will be accepted if there is evidence of features consistent with a diagnosis of GD and identified risk is being managed locally; • If, after assessment, it is apparent that the young person does not fulfil the criteria for a diagnosis of GD, or it is concluded that there are no issues with gender identity development, the case will be closed and the young person referred back to their GP or other referring healthcare

		<p>professional, with advice regarding appropriate support.</p> <p>K4.2.2 Criteria for referral to the Paediatric Endocrine Liaison Team for hormone blockers in the early stages of puberty and/or under the age of fifteen.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The adolescent has been presenting with long term and persistent GD and the intensity and distress has increased with puberty;• The adolescent presents as relatively stable psychologically as evaluated through clinical observation and questionnaires,• There is support from the family or carers,• In some cases, the referral to the paediatric clinic is made for the purpose of physical assessment e.g. to exclude a disorder of sex development or other endocrine conditions,• To provide information about physical development in order to allay some anxieties in the adolescent patient and the family.• Young people under 16 should be assessed able to give informed consent and have the appropriate autonomy to make decisions <p>K4.2.3 Criteria for prescribing cross-sex hormones</p> <p>There is a diagnostic template which is used to assess the readiness for intervention with cross-sex hormones, which has been agreed in conjunction with the NHS England adult gender identity service teams and includes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• diagnosis of GD• the client is aged 16 years plus or minus one or two months depending on the date of the endocrine clinic follow up appointment• the client is able to give informed consent• impact on fertility has been discussed and the implications are understood
--	--	---

	<p>K4.3 What are the current treatment stopping points?</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • some evidence of presentation coherent with gender identity, for example deed poll name change • the client is engaged in or taking steps to secure, meaningful activity such as education or employment, accepting that societal limitations may affect this • there is support for the client from the family or carers or social support if the client is a 'Looked After Child', and the Local Authority been consulted • the client is in good physical health • associated difficulties such as self-harm are not escalating or are being actively monitored and managed by local healthcare professionals. This will be assessed on a case by case basis • the client has not smoked for a minimum of three months • the client is therapeutically engaged with the service • at least two clinicians agree on the suitability of the client receiving cross-sex hormones <p>K4.3 Stopping points:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. If there are any concerns about the client's physical health such as low bone density 2. If the family /young person does not attend regular follow ups at the Paediatric Endocrine Liaison Clinic and/or the GIDS general clinics as agreed in their care plan. 3. If the client is having a significant psychotic or other significant mental health disorder that is not adequately controlled as this may reduce their ability to manage the emotional issues that may arise from the changes in hormone levels from the hormone treatments and may impact on their capacity to consent.
--	---	---

		<p>4. If there are physical contraindications that require further investigation.</p> <p>5. If the client decides to cease treatment for any reason</p>
<p>K5 Comparator (next best alternative treatment) Patient Pathway</p>	<p>K5.1 If there is a 'next best' alternative routinely commissioned treatment, what is the current patient pathway? Describe or include a figure to outline associated activity.</p> <p>K5.2 Where there are different stopping points on the pathway please indicate how many patients out of the number starting the pathway would be expected to finish at each point (e.g. expected number dropping out due to side effects of drug, or number who don't continue to treatment after having test to determine likely success). If possible please indicate likely outcome for patient at each stopping point.</p>	<p>K5.1 Continue with psychological counselling or hormone blockers alone until the 16th birthday.</p> <p>K5.2 For the stopping points described in K4.3, 0.5% of 40 people are likely to stop cross sex hormone treatment in any one year, which equates to one person every two years.</p>
<p>K6 New Patient Pathway</p>	<p>K6.1 Describe or include a figure to outline associated activity with the patient pathway for the proposed new service specification</p> <p>K6.2 Where there are different stopping points on the pathway please indicate how many patients out of the number starting the pathway would be</p>	<p>K6.1 Number in scope for cross sex hormones at 15 years and 10 months See K2.4</p> <p>K6.2 Not applicable</p>

<p>K9 Monitoring</p>	<p>K9.1 Do any new or revised requirements need to be included in the NHS Standard Contract Information Schedule? If so, these must be communicated to CTownley@nhs.net, ideally by end of October to inform following year's contract</p> <p>K9.2 If this treatment is a drug, what pharmacy monitoring is required?</p> <p>K9.3 What analytical information /monitoring/ reporting is required?</p> <p>K9.4 What contract monitoring is required by supplier managers? What changes need to be in place?</p> <p>K9.5 Is there inked information required to complete quality dashboards and if so is it being incorporated into routine performance monitoring?</p> <p>K9.6 Are there any directly applicable NICE quality standards that need to be monitored in association with the new policy?</p> <p>K9.7 Do you anticipate using Blueteq</p>	<p>K9.1 No</p> <p>K9.2 Standard monitoring via senior pharmacist as now</p> <p>K9.3 As now</p> <p>K9.4 As now, no change</p> <p>K9.5 No</p> <p>K9.6 No</p> <p>K9.7 Not at present</p>
--------------------------	--	---

	or other equivalent system to guide access to treatment? If so, please outline. See also linked question in M1 below	
Service Impact		
Theme	Questions	Comments (Include source of information and details of assumptions made and any issues with the data)
L1 Service Organisation	<p>L1.1 How is this service currently organised (i.e. tertiary centres, networked provision)</p> <p>L1.2 How will the proposed service specification change the way the commissioned service is organised?</p>	<p>L1.1 There is a single national designated provider which subcontracts with an acute provider for the Paediatric Endocrine Liaison Clinics</p> <p>L1.2 There will be no change to the current arrangements</p>
L2 Geography & Access	<p>L2.1 Where do current referrals come from?</p> <p>L2.2 Will the new service specification change / restrict / expand the sources of referral?</p> <p>L2.3 Is the new service specification likely to improve equity of access?</p> <p>L2.4 Is the new service specification likely to improve</p>	<p>L2.1 GP's, secondary care paediatric consultants</p> <p>L2.2 Referrals should still come from the same source.</p> <p>L2.3 Yes</p> <p>L2.4 Yes it will reduce the wait experienced by some clients who are competent to consent to treatment.</p>

	equality of access / outcomes?	
L3 Implementation	<p>L3.1 Is there a lead in time required prior to implementation and if so when could implementation be achieved if the service specification is agreed?</p> <p>L3.2 Is there a change in provider physical infrastructure required?</p> <p>L3.3 Is there a change in provider staffing required?</p> <p>L3.4 Are there new clinical dependency / adjacency requirements that would need to be in place?</p> <p>L3.5 Are there changes in the support services that need to be in place?</p> <p>L3.6 Is there a change in provider / inter-provider governance required? (e.g. ODN arrangements / prime contractor)</p>	<p>L3.1 Yes, this will be phased in over first six months in 2016/17</p> <p>L3.2 No</p> <p>L3.3 Yes. Increase in the clinics and clinical nurse specialist time needed.</p> <p>L3.4 No.</p> <p>L3.5 No</p> <p>L3.6 No</p>

	<p>L3.7 Is there likely to be either an increase or decrease in the number of commissioned providers?</p> <p>L3.8 How will the revised provision be secured by NHS England as the responsible commissioner (e.g. publication and notification of new policy, competitive selection process to secure revised provider configuration)</p>	<p>L3.7 No change.</p> <p>L3.8. Given the commitment by the single national provider to absorb the costs from 16/17 and beyond as an efficiency improvement, the NHS England contract with the single provider of the service (which sub-contracts with an acute provider for the assessment of clients for the prescribing of cross sex hormones) will not need to increase to reflect this service change.</p>
L4 Collaborative Commissioning	L4.1 Is this service currently subject to or planned for collaborative commissioning arrangements? (e.g. future CCG lead, devolved commissioning arrangements)?	L4.1 No
Finance Impact		
Theme	Questions	Comments (Include source of information and details of assumptions made and any issues with the data)
M1 Tariff	M1.1 Is this treatment paid under a national prices*, and if so which?	M1.1 There is a block contract for the GIDS service, although there is separate funding stream within the contact for an outpatient appointment and assessment in the Paediatric Endocrine Liaison

	<p>M1.2 Is this treatment excluded from national prices?</p> <p>M1.3 Is this covered under a local price arrangements (if so state range), and if so are you confident that the costs are not also attributable to other clinical services?</p> <p>M1.4 If a new price has been proposed how has this been derived / tested? How will we ensure that associated activity is not additionally / double charged through existing routes</p> <p>M1.5 is VAT payable (Y/N) and if so has it been included in the costings?</p> <p>M1.6 Do you envisage a prior approval / funding authorisation being required to support implementation of the new service specification?</p>	<p>clinic at a cost of £472 per attendance.</p> <p>M1.2 Yes it is excluded</p> <p>M1.3 Covered in the block contract although the drug costs are only for the first two months it is then pricked up by those GPs willing to prescribe. Where this isn't the case, NHS England will pay for the costs for these patients.</p> <p>M1.4 Not applicable</p> <p>M1.5 No</p> <p>M1.6 No</p>
<p>M2 Average Cost per Patient</p>	<p>M2.1 What is the revenue cost per patient in year 1?</p>	<p>M2.1 As the provider is to absorb the costs, there is no cost to NHS England, the cost to the provider will be (for up to 15 extra patients in year 1 2016/17), there will be a cost of an extra £472 per patient for each of one extra clinic attendance = $(15 \times 1) \times £472 = £7,080$ in 2016/17</p>

	<p>M2.2 What is the revenue cost per patient in future years (including follow up)?</p>	<p>M2.2 For the extra patients seen, the costs will be as now but there may be a growth in the number of patients that this affects each year as follows:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="1267 316 1839 1114"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="1267 316 1458 635">Year of impact</th> <th data-bbox="1458 316 1648 635">Number in scope for cross sex hormones at 15 years and 10 months</th> <th data-bbox="1648 316 1839 635">Cost per annum at £472 for 1 extra appointment per client £</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="1267 635 1458 727">1 year (2016/17)</td> <td data-bbox="1458 635 1648 727">15</td> <td data-bbox="1648 635 1839 727">£7,080</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="1267 727 1458 855">2 years (2017/18)</td> <td data-bbox="1458 727 1648 855">18 extra versus baseline</td> <td data-bbox="1648 727 1839 855">£8,496</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="1267 855 1458 983">5 years (2020/21)</td> <td data-bbox="1458 855 1648 983">31 extra versus baseline</td> <td data-bbox="1648 855 1839 983">£14,632</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="1267 983 1458 1114">10 years (2025/26)</td> <td data-bbox="1458 983 1648 1114">77extra versus baseline</td> <td data-bbox="1648 983 1839 1114">£36,344</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Year of impact	Number in scope for cross sex hormones at 15 years and 10 months	Cost per annum at £472 for 1 extra appointment per client £	1 year (2016/17)	15	£7,080	2 years (2017/18)	18 extra versus baseline	£8,496	5 years (2020/21)	31 extra versus baseline	£14,632	10 years (2025/26)	77extra versus baseline	£36,344
Year of impact	Number in scope for cross sex hormones at 15 years and 10 months	Cost per annum at £472 for 1 extra appointment per client £															
1 year (2016/17)	15	£7,080															
2 years (2017/18)	18 extra versus baseline	£8,496															
5 years (2020/21)	31 extra versus baseline	£14,632															
10 years (2025/26)	77extra versus baseline	£36,344															
<p>M3 Overall Cost Impact of this Policy to NHS England</p>	<p>M3.1 Indicate whether this is cost saving, neutral, or cost pressure to NHS England?</p> <p>M3.2 Where this has not been identified, set out the reasons why this cannot be measured?</p>	<p>M3.1 Neutral as the provider is to absorb the cost as an efficiency measure.</p> <p>M3.2 It can be measured.</p>															
<p>M4 Overall cost</p>	<p>M4.1 Indicate whether this is cost saving, neutral,</p>	<p>M4.1 This would be cost neutral to NHS England.</p>															

<p>impact of this policy to the NHS as a whole</p>	<p>or cost saving for other parts of the NHS (e.g. providers, CCGs)</p> <p>M4.2 Indicate whether this is cost saving, neutral, or cost pressure to the NHS as a whole?</p> <p>M4.3 Where this has not been identified, set out the reasons why this cannot be measured?</p> <p>M4.4 Are there likely to be any costs or savings for non NHS commissioners / public sector funders?</p>	<p>M4.2. cost pressure – but Provider to absorb as an efficiency offer.</p> <p>M4.3 It can be measured</p> <p>M4.4 GPs/primary care will incur the cost for up to two additional months treatment per client, but for those few GPs affected (x only 15 nationally in 16/17), they are likely to have only one patient each. The cost to GPs* is likely to be up to £13 per patient as a one off cost for testosterone (for female to male clients) and up to £7 per patient as a one off cost for oestrogen (for male to female clients).</p> <p>*Testosterone (female to male clients) - Estradiol valerate tablets assuming 4mg daily =£80/year approx Oestrogen (male to female) - Sustanon 250mg x three weekly =£43/year.</p>
<p>M5 Funding</p>	<p>M5.1 Where a cost pressure is indicated, state known source of funds for investment, where identified</p>	<p><i>None for NHS England</i></p>
<p>M6 Financial Risks Associated with</p>	<p>M6.1 What are the material financial risks to implementing this service specification ?</p>	<p>No material financial impact is expected</p>

Implementing this Policy	<p>M6.2 Can these be mitigated, if so how?</p> <p>M6.3 What scenarios (differential assumptions) have been explicitly tested to generate best case, worst case and most likely total cost scenarios.</p>	<p><i>n/a</i></p> <p><i>n/a</i></p>
M7 Value for Money	<p>M7</p> <p>M7.2 What issues or risks are associated with this assessment?</p>	<p><i>M7.2 none</i></p>
M8 Cost Profile	<p>M8.1 Are there non-recurrent capital or revenue costs associated with this service specification?</p> <p>M8.2 If so, confirm the source of funds to meet these costs.</p>	<p>None for NHS England.</p>

FOR PUBLIC CONSULTATION ONLY