

Engagement Report for Service Specifications

Unique Reference Number	B14/S/a
Service Specification	Urological cancers – Specialised kidney, bladder and prostate cancer services
Accountable Commissioner	Nicola McCulloch
Clinical Reference Group	Specialised Urology
Which stakeholders were	Specialised Urology Clinical Reference Group
contacted to be involved	Public Health England
in the specification	British Association of Urological Surgeons (BAUS)
development?	British Association of Urological Nurses (BAUN)
	Royal College of Radiologists (RCR)
	Patient and Public Voice Representatives

Identify the relevant	BAUS and BAUN are the relevant Professional Societies and are affiliated members of the CRG, as are
Royal College or	the RCR. BAUS and BAUN also provided responses prior to and as part of the stakeholder testing. All
Professional Society to	Clinicians were asked to self-report their data to allow minimum numbers to be tested. BAUS also
the policy and indicate	checked minimum numbers with there national databases to ensure further clarity. Each Society also
how they have been	gave feedback on the new configurations.
involved	
Which stakeholders have	Specialised Urology Clinical Reference Group
actually been involved?	Public Health England
	British Association of Urological Surgeons (BAUS)
	British Association of Urological Nurses (BAUN)
	Royal College of Radiologists (RCR)
	Patient and Public Voice Representatives
Explain reason if there is	Not applicable.
any difference from	
previous question	
Identify any particular	None.
stakeholder	
organisations that may	
be key to the service	,O`

specification	
development that you	
have approached that	
have yet to be engaged.	
Indicate why?	
How have stakeholders	The draft service specification was distributed to members of the Specialised Urology CRG and its
been involved? What	registered stakeholders for a period of 1 week of stakeholder testing. Testing was conducted through
engagement methods	the NPoC email account.
have been used?	
	Stakeholder testing asked the following questions:
	Is the revised service specification clear and concise?
	What are your views with regard to the aim and objectives outlined in the specification
	and what the service specification is trying achieve?
	Do you have any further comments on the proposed changes to the document? If Yes,
	please describe below, in no more than 500 words any further comments on the proposed
	service specification as part of this initial 'sense check'.
	If applicable, please declare any conflict of interests relating to this document or service
	area.
What has happened or	The following changes were made:
changed as a result of	
	References now included within the document.

their input?	
How are stakeholders	It should be noted that the CRG contained the main stakeholders for the specification, as such
being kept informed of	stakeholders are kept informed about development through teleconferences and email exchange.
progress with service	, O'
specification	
development as a result	
of their input?	
What level of wider public	It is recommended that the service specification is subject to 30 days of public consultation.
consultation is	
recommended by the	
CRG for the NPOC	
Board to agree as a	
result of stakeholder	
involvement?	.()
	POP-PIURILIA DE LA COMPANIA DEL COMPANIA DE LA COMPANIA DEL COMPANIA DE LA COMPAN