MANAGEMENT IN CONFIDENCE



CPAG Summary Report for Clinical Panel – 1605 Bendamustine with rituximab for first line treatment of advanced indolent non-Hodgkin's lymphoma (all ages)

The	Benefits of the	Proposition	
No	Outcome measures	Grade of evidence	Summary from evidence review
1.	Survival	Not measured	There is insufficient data at this time to identify any difference between the treatments in overall survival.
2.	Progression free survival	There is a survival benefit [B]	Progression free survival is the time between the first treatment and one of the following; progressive disease, relapse after response or death from any cause. Bendamustine with rituximab (BR) has a superior effect on progression free survival (median 69.5 vs 31.2 months) compared to cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, vincristine, prednisolone and rituximab (R-CHOP) This is likely to represent a benefit to patients although this evidence comes from an un-blinded study (a study where participants and/or researchers are aware of the intervention they receive).
3.	Mobility	Not measured	
4.	Self-care	Not measured	

5.	Usual activities	Not measured	
6.	Pain	Not measured	
7.	Anxiety / Depression	Not measured	
8.	Replacement of more toxic treatment	Not measured	
9.	Dependency on care giver / supporting independence	Not measured	
10.	Safety	Adverse events	An adverse event (AE) is any untoward
		identified [B]	medical occurrence in a patient who
			has been given a treatment which does
			not necessarily have a causal
			relationship with this treatment.
		. (C
			BR has a different side effect profile to
			R-CHOP/R-CVP (cyclophosphamide,
			vincristine, prednisolone and rituximab)
			greatly reducing the incidence of
			alopecia (hair loss) and peripheral
			neuropathy (nerve damage).
			BR caused less leukopenia (decreased
			number of white blood cells) and
			neutropenia (decreased number of
			neutrophils - white blood cells which
			helps with the immune system) but
			more lymphocytopenia (decreased
			number of lymphocytes – white blood
			cells which helps with the immune
			system).
			BR has a higher risk of drug

			hypersensitivity and skin rash. The risk of secondary malignancies for B-R and R-CHOP/R-CVP does not appear to be different.
11.	Delivery of intervention	Not measured	

Othe	health outcome r	neasures determined	by the evidence review
No	Outcome measure	Grade of evidence	Summary from evidence review
1.	Complete	Grade B	A complete response (CR) is when
	response		there is no detectable disease
			following a course of treatment. It
			does not always mean the disease
			has been cured but is the best
		+. C1	result that can be reported and that
			there is no evidence of disease.
			There was no significant difference
	K C	Q	in CR when patients were treated
			with BR compared to R-CHOP/R-
		*	CVP.In terms of initial outcome to
			induction treatment, patients on
			either treatment would be expected
	(0,		to experience a similar level of
			effectiveness.
2.	Overall response	Grade B	The overall response rate (ORR) to
			a treatment is the percentage of
			patients with either a complete
			response or partial response (PR)
			following treatment. Where CR is as
			described above and PR is a

			decrease in tumour size or the amount of cancer detected in the
			body following treatment.
			Overall response rate to BR was greater than R-CHOP/R-CVP, these
			rates were: BR = 97%, R-CHOP/R-CVP = 91% (p = 0.0102).
			These data from a double blinded
			study indicate a statistical difference but one that in clinical terms may be small.
3.	Quality of Life	Grade C	BR showed some advantages in
	,		Quality of Life compared to R-
		110	CHOP/R-CVP but the clinical
		10,	significance of the benefits was
			small, and the differences between the groups were not statistically
			significant at all points in time.
	40		
	CX		