

## Engagement Report for Clinical Commissioning Policies

<b>Unique Reference Number</b>	URN 1618
<b>Policy Title</b>	Keratoprosthesis for corneal blindness
<b>Lead Commissioner</b>	Nicola Symes
<b>Clinical Reference Group</b>	Specialised Ear and Ophthalmology CRG
Which stakeholders were contacted to be involved in policy development?	Corneal Specialists (Consultants) Specialist Nurses Specialist Microbiology CRG Members Ectodermal Dysplasia Society (PPV) UK Keratoconus Group (PPV)
Identify the relevant Royal College or Professional Society to the policy and indicate how they have been involved	Royal College of Ophthalmology  Involved as part of formal stakeholder testing as well as through representation at the CRG where the policy development has been regularly discussed and updated.
Which stakeholders have actually been involved?	Corneal Specialists (Consultants) Specialist Nurses Specialist Microbiology CRG Members Ectodermal Dysplasia Society (PPV)
Explain reason if there is any difference from	N/A

previous question	
Identify any particular stakeholder organisations that may be key to the policy development that you have approached that have yet to be engaged. Indicate why?	N/A
How have stakeholders been involved? What engagement methods have been used?	<p>A range of stakeholders have formed the policy working group, with engagement via teleconference and email.</p> <p>The CRG has been engaged in discussion and update regarding the general development of the policy. This includes a range of stakeholders, clinical representation from all 4 regions, PPV including the RNIB and professional bodies including Royal College of Ophthalmology.</p> <p>Formal 14 day stakeholder testing to all registered stakeholders of the Specialist Ear and Ophthalmology CRG (appendix one).</p> <p>The corneal specialist interest group 'Bowman Club' were engaged informally via email during the development of patient inclusion/exclusion criteria to sense check and to help establish clinical consensus of potential patient numbers suitable for the procedure (appendix two).</p>
What has happened or changed as a result of their input?	<p>Engagement of stakeholders during policy development helped inform the policy writing and content.</p> <p>Responses from 'Bowman Club' members helped inform/support the inclusion/exclusion criteria as well as help inform clinical consensus on likely patient numbers suitable for treatment.</p>
How are stakeholders being kept informed of progress with policy development as	<p>Stakeholders on the Policy Working Group are being kept regularly informed of progress and are fully engaged in discussions.</p> <p>Stakeholders on the CRG are being informed of progress on a quarterly basis.</p>

a result of their input?	
What level of wider public consultation is recommended by the CRG for the NPOC Board to agree as a result of stakeholder involvement?	30 day public consultation is recommended for this policy proposition.

Draft for public consultation