

| Integrated Impact Assessment Report for Service Specifications |  |                 |                    |
|--|--|-----------------|--------------------|
| Service Specification Reference<br>Number                      | 1648   |                 |                    |
| Service Specification Title                                    | DNA Nucleotide Excision Repair Disorders Service (all ages) Proposal <u>for routine commission</u> (source A3.1) |                 |                    |
| Lead Commissioner  | Sarah Watson   | Clinical Lead   | Dr Shehla Mohammed |
| Finance Lead   | Jazz Nandra  | Analytical Lead | Jazz Nandra        |

| Integrated Impact Assessment - Index                |                                |  |  |  |
|---|--------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Section A – Activity                                | Section B - Service            | Section C – Finance  |  |  |
| A1 Current Patient Population & Demography / Growth | B1 Service Organisation        | C1 Tariff  |  |  |
| A2 Future Patient Population & Demography           | B2 Geography & Access          | C2 Average Cost per Patient  |  |  |
| A3 Activity   | B3 Implementation              | C3 Overall Cost Impact of this service specification to NHS England        |  |  |
| A4 Patient Pathway                                  | B4 Collaborative Commissioning | C4 Overall cost impact of this service specification to the NHS as a whole |  |  |
| A5 Service Setting                                  |                                | C5 Funding   |  |  |
| A6 Coding   |                                | C6 Financial Risks Associated with Implementing this service specification |  |  |
| A7 Monitoring                                       |                                | C7 Value for Money   |  |  |
|   |                                | C8 Cost Profile  |  |  |

## About this Impact Assessment: instructions for completion and explanatory notes

- Each section is divided into themes.
- Each theme sets out a number of questions.
- All questions are answered by selecting a drop down option or including free text.
- Free text boxes are provided to enable succinct relevant commentary to be added which explains the rationale for response or assumption. Please limit responses to 3 sentences of explanatory text.
- Data in this document is either drawn from one of the relevant service specification documents or a source for the information is provided.
- Where assumptions are included where data is not available, this is specified.

| Section A - Activity Impact  |   |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|
| A1 Current Patient Population & Demography / Growth  |   |  |  |
| A1.1 Prevalence of the disease/condition.  | NHS England currently commissions a service for Xeroderma Pigmentosa (XP), which is a disorder of DNA repair. This service specification proposes to expand the current XP service specification and encompass two other conditions of DNA disorders: |  |  |
|  | 1. DNA re Cockayne syndrome (CS) is a, rare inherited (autosomal recessive) disorder with an estimated prevalence of ~1 in 500,000; and   |  |  |
|  | 2. Trichothiodystrophy(TTD) is a rare inherited (autosomal recessive) disorders with an estimated prevalence of 1 in a million in Europe pair disorders for which there is currently no recognised centre of expertise.                               |  |  |
|  | Source: Service Specification Proposition section 3.1   |  |  |
| A1.2 Number of patients currently eligible for the service according to the proposed service specification commissioning criteria. | The number of patients is estimated to be 132 in year of implementation (i.e. year 2 in the finance model).   |  |  |
|  | Source: Clinical intelligence/ diagnostic lab data  |  |  |
| A1.3 Age group for which the service is proposed according to the service specification commissioning criteria.                    | All ages Please specify   |  |  |
|  | Treatment is indicated for all patients with a DNA repair disorder. Typically this would be the following age groups:   |  |  |
|  | <b>XP</b> – Patients from birth through to mid/late adulthood,  |  |  |
|  | CS – Predominately paediatric patients, with potentially a few young adults, TTD –  |  |  |

|   | Predominately paediatric patients, with potentially a few young adults.  |                                     |  |  |  |
|---|--|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|
|   |  |                                     |  |  |  |
| A1.4 Age distribution of the patient population eligible according to the proposed service specification commissioning criteria | The average age of survival in affected children with Cockayne syndrome is 12 years, with some surviving into the second decade. The clinical picture in CS can be extremely variable, from a congenital onset at birth to a later onset, which makes the diagnosis and an accurate prognosis difficult. Age distribution in TTD patients ranges from diagnosis close to birth, with some young adults and a small number of older patients. |                                     |  |  |  |
|   | Source: Service speci  | ification section 3.4 evidence base |  |  |  |
|   | Please specify   |                                     |  |  |  |
|   | Click here to enter tex  | t.                                  |  |  |  |
| A1.5 How is the population currently distributed geographically?  | Evenly   |                                     |  |  |  |
|   | If unevenly, estimate regional distribution by %:  |                                     |  |  |  |
|   | North  | enter %                             |  |  |  |
|   | Midlands & East  | enter %                             |  |  |  |
|   | London   | enter %                             |  |  |  |
|   | South  | enter %                             |  |  |  |
|   | Source: Service speci  | fication proposition section 6      |  |  |  |
|   | Please specify   |                                     |  |  |  |
|   |  |                                     |  |  |  |
|   |  |                                     |  |  |  |
|   | •  |                                     |  |  |  |
|   |  |                                     |  |  |  |

| A2.1 Projected changes in the disease/condition epidemiology, such as incidence or prevalence (prior to applying the new service specification) in |   | Increasing          |                            |  |  |
|--|---|---------------------|----------------------------|--|--|
| 2, 5, and 10 years?  | If other, Numbers are small and significant growth is not expected  Source: Service specification proposition section 3.1   |                     |                            |  |  |
| A2.2 Are there likely to be changes in demography of the patient population and would this impact on activity/outcomes?                            | No<br>Please specify  | ,                   |                            |  |  |
|  | In the coming years we expect to see a steady growth of service activity due to a greater awareness, recognition of milder forms of the condition and improved diagnosis/far reach of the service in time rather than increase in prevalence. |                     |                            |  |  |
|  | Source: Servio  | ce specification pr | roposition section 6/other |  |  |
| A2.3 Expected net increase or decrease in the number of patients who will  | YR2 +/-   | 20                  |                            |  |  |
| be eligible for the service, according to the proposed service specification commissioning criteria, per year in years 2-5 and 10?                 | YR3 +/-   | 30                  |                            |  |  |
|  | YR4 +/-   | 40                  |                            |  |  |
|  | YR5 +/-   | 50                  |                            |  |  |
|  | YR10 +/-  | 90                  |                            |  |  |
|  | Source: Service   | ce specification pr | roposition section 3.1     |  |  |
| Are these numbers in line with ONS growth assumptions for the age  | <u>No</u>   |                     |                            |  |  |
| specific population? If not please justify the growth assumptions made.  | The patient numbers are anticipated to be higher than the ONS growth assumptions. For CS, the growth in patient numbers has been estimated to be 10 per annum and for TTD an estimate of 2 per annum.   |                     |                            |  |  |

| A3 Activity  |  |
|--|--|
| A3.1 What is the purpose of new service specification?   | Provide service specification document for a service already commissioned by NHS England in accordance with 'The Manual' but without a published specification   |
|  | Please specify The aim of this service is to provide a nationally integrated multidisciplinary clinical and molecular diagnostic service to co-ordinate care and management of patients with DNA nucleotide excision repair disorders. This service will expand the current XP service to include patients with additional diagnoses of DNA repair including Cockayne Syndrome (Q87.1) and Trichothiodystophy (L67.8). |
| A3.2 What is the annual activity associated with the existing pathway for the eligible population?   | <ul> <li>86 Cockayne syndrome (CS). See A2.3 above</li> <li>16 cases of Trichothiodystrophy (TTD). See A2.3 above</li> <li>Currently there is no unified service for CS/TTD patients and patients are currently seen largely by local paediatric or support services</li> <li>Source: required</li> <li>Please specify</li> <li>Click here to enter text.</li> </ul>   |
| A3.3 What is the estimated annual activity associated with the proposed service specification proposition pathway for the eligible population? | The estimated activity associated with the service specification proposal relates to the number of patients listed below.    YR1   |

|  | YR4  | 142 patients  |  |
|--|--|---|--|
|  | YR5  | 152 patients  |  |
|  | Source: Fina   | nncial Model  |  |
| A4 Patient Pathway   |  |   |  |
| A4.1 Patient pathway  Describe the current patient pathway and service.  | usually seen<br>the symptom<br>ordinated wit<br>genetics inpu<br>complications<br>managed. | in a variety of different s<br>is of the condition. Their<br>ih multiple clinic attendar<br>ut and diagnosis this can | e specialist management currently and are ervices e.g. paediatric neurology to manage care and clinic attendance is poorly conces for different problems. Without lead to unnecessary investigations, more ne associated co-morbidities are not actively up advice |
| A4.2. What are the current service access and stopping criteria?   |  | o enter text. Service not k here to enter text.   | currently commissioned   |
| A4.3 What percentage of the total eligible population are:  a) Referred b) Meet any existing criteria for care c) Considered to meet any existing exclusion criteria | · ·  | patients have been ident<br>pers.   | rice is not currently commissioned as a ified through data collection based on   |

| <ul> <li>A4.4 What percentage of the total eligible population is expected to:</li> <li>a) Be referred to the proposed service</li> <li>b) Be eligible for care according to the proposed criteria for the service</li> <li>c) Take up care according to the proposed criteria for the service</li> <li>d) Continue care according to the proposed criteria for the service?</li> </ul> | If not known, please specify Numbers of patients a done on the basis of all patients accessing the selbirths.  a) 100% b) 100% c) 100% d) 100% Source: required |             |
|---|---|-------------|
| A4.5 Specify the nature and duration of the proposed new service or intervention.   | Life long For time limited services, specify frequency and/o Click here to enter text.  Source: required  | r duration. |
| A5 Service Setting  |   |             |
| A5.1 How is this service delivered to the patient?  | Select all that apply:  |             |
|   | Emergency/Urgent care attendance  |             |
|   | Acute Trust: inpatient  |             |
|   | Acute Trust: day patient  |             |
|   | Acute Trust: outpatient   |             |
|   | Mental Health provider: inpatient   |             |
|   | Mental Health provider: outpatient  |             |
|   | Community setting   |             |
|   |   |             |

|  | Homecare  |   |  |   |
|--|---|---|--|---|
|  | Other   |   |  |   |
|  | in neurology (paediatric and ac<br>psychotherapy, dermatologica<br>members will be the same for the<br>the service based on the manif | ce over a or dult), dermat I surgery, ar both clinics, festation of e | e or to<br>cology<br>d den<br>other<br>each co | wo day period with consultations r, clinical genetics, ophthalmology, ntistry. While some MDT s will be unique to one branch of condition. This enables the ap between the conditions, whilst |
| A5.2 What is the current number of contracted providers for the eligible                 | NORTH   | 0   |  |   |
| population by region?  | MIDLANDS & EAST   | 0   |  |   |
|  | LONDON  | 0   |  |   |
|  | SOUTH   | 0   |  | ]   |
| A5.3 Does the proposition require a change of delivery setting or capacity requirements? | y yes Please specify: Additional outpatient capacity requirements. Source: Provider discussion  |   |  |   |
| A6 Coding  |   |   |  |   |

| A6.1 Specify the datasets used to record the new patient pathway activity. | Select all that apply:  |             |   |  |  |
|--|---|-------------|---|--|--|
| *expected to be populated for all commissioned activity                    | Aggregate Contract Monitoring*  | $\boxtimes$ |   |  |  |
|  | Patient level contract monitoring   | $\boxtimes$ |   |  |  |
|  | Patient level drugs dataset   |             |   |  |  |
|  | Patient level devices dataset   |             |   |  |  |
|  | Devices supply chain reconciliation dataset   |             |   |  |  |
|  | Secondary Usage Service (SUS+)  | $\boxtimes$ |   |  |  |
|  | Mental Health Services DataSet (MHSDS)  |             |   |  |  |
|  | National Return**   |             |   |  |  |
|  | Clinical Database**   |             |   |  |  |
|  | Other**   | $\boxtimes$ |   |  |  |
|  | **If National Return, Clinical database or other selective reported directly through the Highly Specialised | •           | • |  |  |
| A6.2 Specify how the activity related to the new patient pathway will be   | Select all that apply:  |             |   |  |  |
| identified.  | OPCS v4.8   | $\boxtimes$ |   |  |  |
|  | ICD10   | $\boxtimes$ |   |  |  |
|  | Service function code   | $\boxtimes$ |   |  |  |
|  | Main Speciality code  | $\boxtimes$ |   |  |  |
|  | HRG   | $\boxtimes$ |   |  |  |
|  | SNOMED  |             |   |  |  |
|  |   |             |   |  |  |

|   | Clinical coding / terming methodology used by clinical profession   |
|---|---|
| A6.3 Identification Rules for Drugs:    | Not applicable  |
| How are any drug costs captured?        | If already specified in the current NHS England Drug / Devices List, please specify drug name and indication for all that apply:  |
|   | Click here to enter text.   |
|   | If drug(s) NOT already been specified in the current NHS England Drug List please give details of action required and confirm that this has been discussed with the pharmacy lead:                        |
|   | Click here to enter text.   |
| A6.4 Identification Rules for Devices:  | Not applicable  |
| How are device costs captured?          | If device(s) covered by an existing category of HCTED please specify the Device Category (as per the National Tariff Payment System Guidance) for all that apply:   |
|   | Click here to enter text.   |
|   | If device(s) not excluded from Tariff <b>nor</b> covered within existing National or Local prices please specify details of action required and confirm that this has been discussed with the HCTED team. |
|   | Click here to enter text.   |
| A6.5 Identification Rules for Activity: | Not captured by an existing specialised service line  |
| How are activity costs captured?        | If activity costs are already captured please specify the specialised service code and description (e.g. NCBPS01C Chemotherapy).  |
|   | NCBPS24Z- NEUROLOGY   |
|   | NCBPS08O - SPECIALISED DERMATOLOGY  |
|   |   |
|   |   |
|   |   |

| A7.3 Contract monitoring  | <u>No</u>   |
|---|---|
|   | Monitoring via the established processes for Highly Specialised Services via the HSS Informatics lead.  |
| Is there potential for duplicate reporting?   | If yes, please specify mitigation:  |
| A7.2 Business intelligence  | <u>Yes</u>  |
|   | NCBPS24Z – Specialised Dermatology (admitted care)  |
|   | NCBPS24Z – Specialised Dermatology (non-admitted care)  |
| their current status with regard to NHS England specialised services commissioning. | (L67.8) activity will be reported against either:   |
| Please identify any excluded drugs or devices relevant to the service and           | DNA repair including Cockayne Syndrome (Q87.1) and Trichothiodystophy   |
| needed for inclusion in the NHS Standard Contract Information Schedule.             | r lease specify   |
| Specify any new or revised data flow or data collection requirements,               | Yes - other Please specify  |
| A7.1 Contracts  | Voc. other  |
|   | CHOOSE AT ILEM.   |
|   | If the activity is not captured please specify whether the proposed identification rules have been documented and agreed with the Identification Rules team.  Choose an item.       |
|   | Click here to enter text.   |
|   | If the activity is captured but the service line needs amendment please specify whether the proposed amendments have been documented and agreed with the Identification Rules team. |
|   | If activity costs are already captured please specify whether this service needs a separate code. Choose an item.   |
|   | Click here to enter text.   |

| Is this part of routine contract monitoring?                                  | If no, please specify contract monitoring requirement:  |
|---|---|
|   | Inclusion in NHS Standard Contract Information Schedule and service lines monitored. Data will be provided to supplier managers via the HSS informatics lead. |
| A7.4 Dashboard reporting  | No No   |
| Specify whether a dashboard exists for the proposed service?                  | If yes, specify how routine performance monitoring data will be used for dashboard reporting.   |
|   | Click here to enter text.   |
|   | If no, will one be developed?   |
|   | Monitoring of the agreed outcomes will be via the HSS team, no plans to develop a dashboard   |
| A7.5 NICE reporting   | <u>No</u>   |
| Are there any directly applicable NICE or equivalent quality standards        | If yes, specify how performance monitoring data will be used for this purpose.  |
| which need to be monitored in association with the new service specification? | Click here to enter text.   |
| Section   | B - Service Impact  |
| B1 Service Organisation   |   |
| B1.1 Describe how the service is currently organised? (i.e. tertiary          | The XP service is currently a commissioned HSS service from a single provider.  |
| centres, networked provision etc.)  | A service for CS and TTD patients is not currently commissioned.  |
|   | Source: required  |
| B1.2 Will the specification change the way the commissioned service is        | <u>Yes</u>  |
| organised?  | Please specify:   |
|   |   |

|   | Centralised expertise with development of a national clinical network to provide outreach support for patients at their local hospitals and in their home, school and work environments. The service will be expected to establish a clinical network to support the patient pathway, improve awareness of DNA repair disorders amongst referrers and help develop equitable access for patients.  Source: service spec page 5                  |
|---|---|
| B1.3 Will the specification require a new approach to the organisation of | Implement a new model of care   |
| care?   | Please specify:   |
|   | Patients seen in the service will have laboratory proven diagnosis of a DNA nucleotide excision repair disorder or, if laboratory data is not available, a referral from a hospital consultant where the clinical diagnosis of a DNA nucleotide excision repair disorder needs to be excluded. Standard international diagnostic clinical criteria will apply. Stopping points would be a diagnosis confirming an alternate condition or death. |
|   | One-stop multidisciplinary clinics will take place over a one or two day period. Patients will be offered an annual review, tailored to their diagnosis and specific needs. Care will be delivered in partnership with the patient support groups (e.g. Amy and Friends for CS, and the XP Support Group for XP patients).  |
|   | A national clinical network for this service will be developed to provide outreach support for patients at their local hospitals and in their home, school and work environments. The clinical nurse specialists will play a key role in managing the network and smoothing the transition between local and specialist care. This is the basis on which the current HSS XP service is delivered.   |
| B2 Geography & Access   |   |
| B2.1 Where do current referrals come from?                                | Select all that apply: Not currently commissioned, future referrals would   |

|   | be:   |   |  |
|---|---|---|--|
|   | GP  | $\boxtimes$   |  |
|   | Secondary care  | $\boxtimes$   |  |
|   | Tertiary care   | $\boxtimes$   |  |
|   | Other   | $\boxtimes$   |  |
|   | Please specify:   |   |  |
|   | Paediatricians, paediatric  | neurologist   | s, GPs, patient support group.   |
| B2.2 What impact will the new service specification have on the sources of referral?        | No impact   |   |  |
| orrelettal:   | Please specify:   |   |  |
| B2.3 Is the new service specification likely to improve equity of access?                   | Increase Please specify: Most of forms of CS/TTD management needs and |   | ole systems and lead to complex<br>disorders.  |
|   | It is expected that a speci<br>access to specialised car              | alist service<br>e, enhance<br>illance and a<br>lace. | would significantly improve equity of patient care, streamline management and anticipatory monitoring for recognised |
| B2.4 ls the new service specification likely to improve equality of access and/or outcomes? | _   |   | ountry will be informed of the new model of<br>the information to Royal College of                                   |
|   | _   |   | roups as well as patient support groups and  |

|   | As part of a managed clinical network, the liaison nurses, in conjunction with the host team, will provide advice and guidance to clinicians at an individual patient level regarding referral queries, access criteria and patient management.  Source: Equalities Impact Assessment   |
|---|---|
| B3 Implementation   |   |
| B3.1 Will commissioning or provider action be required before implementation of the proposition can occur?                                    | Procurement action  Please specify:  • It is anticipated based on initial advice from the Commercial team that a formal procurement process will be required given the estimated value of the new service.  • It is proposed that that a Prior Information Notice could be run to ascertain market interest to provide a national DNA Repair service. |
| B3.2 Time to implementation: Is a lead-in time required prior to implementation?  | Yes - go to B3.3  If yes, specify the likely time to implementation: 6 months expected post procurement. The key factor influencing the lead in time would be consultant job planning to co-ordinate the involvement of multiple specialities.  |
| B3.3 <b>Time to implementation:</b> If lead-in time is required prior to implementation, will an interim plan for implementation be required? | No - go to B3.4  If yes, outline the plan: Click here to enter text.  |
| B3.4 ls a change in provider physical infrastructure required?  | No No   |

|  | Please specify:   |
|--|---|
|  | We would expect the service to be delivered within existing facilities.   |
| B3.5 ls a change in provider staffing required?  | Yes Please specify:   |
|  | Some reworking of job plans is expected to be required if the service is commissioned as an extension to the current HSS XP service   |
| B3.6 Are there new clinical dependency and/or adjacency requirements that would need to be in place?                     | Yes Please specify:   |
|  | Patients with DNA Nucleotide Excision Repair disorders require input from many services including paediatrics, genetics, dermatology, ophthalmology (virtually all patients with CS have visual retinopathy and cataracts are present in 30% of patients), nephrology, neurology, audiology, endocrinology and paediatric dentistry. Experienced and specialised dermatology input is required to address the skin problems photosensitivity), which cause significant morbidity in these conditions. Inefficient (increased management of UV exposure protection from lack of expertise leads to unnecessary and avoidable complications. If skin and eye tumours are diagnosed late in XP the prognosis is worse and instigation of UV protection has a dramatic effect on reducing the incidence of tumours. |
| B3.7 Are there changes in the support services that need to be in place?   | Yes Please specify: Some though limited, we would be a need to ensure support services were all in place prior to commencement of the service.  |
| B3.8 ls there a change in provider and/or inter-provider governance required? (e.g. ODN arrangements / prime contractor) | No Please specify: Click here to enter text.  |

| B3.9 Is there likely to be either an increase or decrease in the number of commissioned providers? If yes, specify the current and estimated number of providers required in each region | No change Please complete the table:  |                          |                             |                          |
|--|---|--------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|
|  | Region  | Current no. of providers | Future State expected range | Provisional or confirmed |
|  | North   | 0                        | 0                           | <u>P</u>                 |
|  | Midlands &<br>East  | 0                        | 0                           | <u>P</u>                 |
|  | London  | 1                        | 1                           | <u>P</u>                 |
|  | South   | 0                        | 0                           | <u>P</u>                 |
|  | Total   | 1                        | 1                           | <u>C</u>                 |
|  | Please specify There is expec   |                          | onal provider of the clini  | cal service.             |
| B3.10 Specify how revised provision will be secured by NHS England as  | Select all that apply:  |                          |                             |                          |
| the responsible commissioner.  | Publication and notification of new service specification                           |                          |                             |                          |
|  | Market interv   | $\boxtimes$              |                             |                          |
|  | Competitive selection process to secure increase or decrease provider configuration |                          |                             | $\boxtimes$              |
|  | Price-based effectiveness   |                          |                             |                          |
|  | Any qualified   |                          |                             |                          |
|  | National Commercial Agreements e.g. drugs, devices                                  |                          |                             |                          |
|  | Procurement   | :                        |                             | $\boxtimes$              |
|  |   |                          |                             | <u> </u>                 |

|   | Other         |   |  |  |
|---|---------------|---|--|--|
|   | Please spec   | cify:   |  |  |
|   |               | N process to gauge interest in the market and subject to the eived, a procurement process to select capable providers maken |  |  |
|   | bo arraortar  | Con.  |  |  |
| B4 Place-based Commissioning  |               |   |  |  |
| B4.1 Is this service currently subject to, or planned for, place-based                        | <u>No</u>     |   |  |  |
| commissioning arrangements? (e.g. future CCG lead, devolved commissioning arrangements, STPs) | Please spec   | •   |  |  |
|   | Click here to | o enter text.   |  |  |
| Section C - Finance Impact  |               |   |  |  |
|   |               |   |  |  |
| C1 Tariff/Pricing   |               |   |  |  |
|   |               |   |  |  |
| C1.1 How is the service contracted and/or charged?  | Select all t  | hat apply:  |  |  |
| Only specify for the relevant section of the patient pathway                                  |               | Not separately charged – part of local or national tariffs  |  |  |
|   | Drugs         | Excluded from tariff – pass through   |  |  |
|   |               | Excluded from tariff - other  |  |  |
|   | Devices       | Not separately charged – part of local or national tariffs  |  |  |
|   |               | Excluded from tariff (excluding ZCM) – pass through   |  |  |
|   |               | Excluded from tariff (excluding ZCM) – other  |  |  |
|   |               |   |  |  |

|   |   | Via Zero Cost Model                             |             |
|---|---|---|-------------|
|   |   | Paid entirely by National Tariffs               |             |
|   |   | Paid entirely by Local Tariffs                  |             |
|   |   | Partially paid by National Tariffs              | $\boxtimes$ |
|   | Activity  | Partially paid by Local Tariffs                 |             |
|   |   | Part/fully paid under a Block arrangement       | $\boxtimes$ |
|   |   | Part/fully paid under Pass-Through arrangements |             |
|   |   | Part/fully paid under Other arrangements        |             |
| C1.2 Drug Costs   | N/A   |   |             |
| Where not included in national or local tariffs, list each drug or combination, dosage, quantity, <b>list</b> price including VAT if applicable and any other key information e.g. Chemotherapy Regime. |   |   |             |
| NB discounted prices or local prices must not be included as these are subject to commercial confidentiality and must not be disclosed.   |   |   |             |
| C1.3 Device Costs   | N/A   |   |             |
| Where not included in national or local tariff, list each element of the excluded device, quantity, <b>list or expected</b> price including VAT if applicable and any other key information.            |   |   |             |
| NB: Discounted prices or local prices must not be included as these are subject to commercial confidentiality and must not be disclosed.  |   |   |             |
| C1.4 Activity Costs covered by National Tariff  | The associated activity will be captured on hospital and national systems but excluded from chargeable activity under this arrangement. |   |             |
| List all the HRG codes, HRG descriptions, national tariffs (excluding MFF), volume and other key costs (e.g. specialist top up %)   |   |   |             |
|   |   |   |             |

| C1.5 Activity Costs covered by Local Tariff  | Not applicab                                     | le.  |   |
|--|--|--|---|
| List all the HRGs (if applicable), HRG or local description, estimated average tariff, volume and any other key costs. Also indicate whether the Local Tariff(s) is/are newly proposed or established and if newly proposed how is has been derived, validated and tested. |  |  |   |
| C1.6 Other Activity Costs not covered by National or Local Tariff  | 1  |  | or XP services of £625k at GSTT. As there are   |
| Include descriptions and estimates of all key costs.   | most likely conditions added to the and to estab | ontract mechanism wou<br>current value of the XP | ervices in this service specification. The ld be that the block figures for CS\TTD be contract if the service is awarded to GSTT these services as a whole. The contract based on activity. |
| C1.7 Are there any prior approval mechanisms required either during implementation or permanently?   | No Please specify: Click here to enter text.     |  |   |
| C2 Average Cost per Patient  |  |  |   |
| C2.1 What is the estimated cost per patient to NHS England, in years 1-5,  | YR1  | £0   |   |
| including follow-up where required?  | YR2  | £14,237  |   |
|  | YR3  | £14,237  |   |
|  | YR4  | £14,237  |   |
|  | YR5  | £14,237  |   |
| Are there any changes expected in year 6-10 which would impact the model?  | If yes, please                                   | e specify:                                       | <del></del>   |
|  |  |  |   |

| C3.1 Specify the budget impact of the proposal on NHS England in   | <u>Cost pressure</u>   |
|--|--|
| relation to the relevant pathway.  | Please specify:  |
|  | Year 1: £0   |
|  | Year 2: £249.5k  |
|  | Year 5: £180.9k  |
| C3.2 If the budget impact on NHS England cannot be identified set out the reasons why this cannot be measured.   | An assumption has been made, that CS/TTD patients are receiving some form of care as this is a group of quite disabled patients, often children, in either a local/specialist centre. In order to derive a benchmark comparison, a number of additional assumptions were made about the current pathway and then compared with the costs to set this service up on an ongoing basis. |
|  | Therefore, the estimate for the cost pressure is based on these assumptions made on the current patient pathway. However, as a proxy the average cost per patient for an XP patient is equal to c£6,250 per patient (£625k/100 patients).  |
|  | The average cost per patient for the CS/TTD cohort is estimated to be £4,435 in year 2 (implementation of service specification) and subsequently reduces each year to an average of £3,381 in year 5 per patient. Furthermore, the average reduces to £2,818 per patient in year 10.  |
| C3.3 If the activity is subject to a change of commissioning responsibility, from CCG to NHS England, has a methodology for the transfer of funds been identified, and calculated? | Not applicable   |

| C4.1 Specify the budget impact of the proposal on other parts of the NHS.   | Budget impact for CCGs:      |
|---|------------------------------|
|   | <u>Cost neutral</u>          |
|   | Budget impact for providers: |
|   | Cost neutral                 |
|   | Please specify:              |
|   |                              |
|   |                              |
| C4.2 Taking into account responses to C3.1 and C4.1, specify the budget     | Cost neutral                 |
| impact to the NHS as a whole.   | Please specify:              |
|   |                              |
|   |                              |
| C4.3 Where the budget impact is unknown set out the reasons why this        | Not applicable               |
| cannot be measured  |                              |
|   |                              |
| C4.4 Are there likely to be any costs or savings for non-NHS                | <u>No</u>                    |
| commissioners and/or public sector funders?                                 | Please specify:              |
|   |                              |
|   |                              |
|   |                              |
| C5 Funding  |                              |
|   |                              |
| C5.1 Where a cost pressure is indicated, state known source of funds for    | CPAG Prioritisation Reserve  |
| investment, where identified, e.g. decommissioning less clinically or cost- |                              |
| effective services.   |                              |
|   |                              |
|   |                              |
| C6 Financial Risks Associated with Implementing this Service specific       | cation                       |
|   |                              |

| C6.1 What are the material financial risks to implementing this service specification?   | Risk associated with the financial impact include current currently poor data quality and coding. There are risks in a agreeing a block contract include a) the assumed patient cohort (86 – CS and 16 – TTD) do not materialise and the contract is overpaid by NHSE. Or conversely that b) the patient cohort is greater than the assumed patient cohort resulting in a contract underpayment on a per patient basis. This risk is shared equally between NHSE and the provider. Activity for this group of patients will be recorded against an established activity line if the service is procured from the existing XP provider. A contract model will need to be established which allows for the service to be set up but which also reflects some of the uncertainty over the time period to establish the service to the activity levels set out in the financial model. |
|--|--|
| C6.2 How can these risks be mitigated?   | Audit of data quality and commissioner responsibility. A flexible contract model will need to be agreed to allow for the likelihood of a longer lead in line to establish a service to steady state.   |
| C6.3 What scenarios (differential assumptions) have been explicitly tested to generate best case, worst case and most likely total cost scenarios? | In the absence of reliable and accurate data, a number of assumptions have been made with regard to the current pathway in order to derive a benchmark comparison that reasonably reflects the associated activity with this cohort of patients.   |
| C6.4 What scenario has been approved and why?  | The highest point of the expected cohort has been modelled as this is the most likely number of patients each year (excluding backlog).  |
| C7 Value for Money   |  |
| C7.1 What published evidence is available that the service is cost effective as evidenced in the evidence review?                                  | Published evidence indicates service specification has the potential to be cost-effective  |

|  | Please specify:  |             |  |
|--|--|-------------|--|
|  | As set out in the evidence section of the service specification. Previous fin analysis of the cost effectiveness of the similar XP service has been comp |             |  |
| C7.2 Has other data been identified through the service specification development relevant to the assessment of value for money? | Select all that apply:   |             |  |
|  | Available pricing data suggests the service specification is equivalent cost compared to current/comparator service specification                        | $\boxtimes$ |  |
|  | Available pricing data suggests the service is lower cost compared to current/comparator treatment   |             |  |
|  | Available clinical practice data suggests the new service specification has the potential to improve value for money                                     |             |  |
|  | Other data has been identified   |             |  |
|  | No data has been identified  |             |  |
|  | The data supports a high level of certainty about the impact on value  |             |  |
|  | The data does not support a high level of certainty about the impact on value  |             |  |
|  | Please specify: Not applicable   |             |  |
| C8 Non-Recurrent Costs   |  |             |  |
| C8.1 Are there non-recurrent revenue costs associated with this service specification?   | <u>No</u>  |             |  |
|  | If yes, please specify and indicate whether these would be incurred or past through to NHS England:  | sed         |  |
|  | Click here to enter text.  |             |  |

|  | If the costs are to be passed through to NHS England please indicate whether this has been taken into account in the budgetary impact.  Choose an item.                  |
|--|--|
| C8.2 Are there any non-recurrent provider capital costs associated with the service specification? | No  If yes, please specify and indicate with there is a separate source of funding identified (commissioners cannot reimburse capital costs).  Click here to enter text. |