

Engagement Report for Clinical Commissioning Policies

Unique Reference Number	B14X06
Policy Title	Urethroplasty for benign urethral strictures in adult men
Accountable Commissioner	Nicola McCulloch
Clinical Reference Group	Specialised Urology (URO)
Which stakeholders were contacted to be involved in policy development?	Specialised Urology CRG membership Specialised Urology CRG registered stakeholders British Association of Urological Surgeons (BAUS) British Association of Genitourinary Reconstructive Surgeons (BAGRS)
Identify the relevant Royal College or Professional Society to the policy and indicate how they have been involved	Representatives of relevant Royal College or Professional Societies were contacted for Stakeholder Testing as part of the CRG
Which stakeholders have actually been involved?	All of the key stakeholders listed above were invited to comment
Explain reason if there is any difference from previous question	Not applicable

FOR PUBLIC CONSULTATION ONLY

Identify any particular stakeholder organisations that may be key to the policy development that you have approached that have yet to be engaged. Indicate why?	None
How have the stakeholders been involved? What engagement methods have been used?	<p>The draft policy was circulated to the full membership of the CRG and registered stakeholders for one week for their views, both to establish whether any amendments to the policy are required, and to understand from their perspective what the key questions to ask at consultation might be.</p> <p>One comment was received from CRG-registered stakeholders. No comments were received from CRG members.</p> <p>Key response themes were as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (1) Stakeholders commented that not all of the relevant evidence has been taken into account (2) Stakeholders requested changes to the patient pathway (3) Stakeholders requested that the choice of urethral dilatation/urethrotomy + CISD as an alternative be taken into account (4) Additional stakeholders were identified to include in consultation
What has happened or changed as a result of their input?	<p>Stakeholders were invited to comment. See Appendix for detailed stakeholder comments.</p> <p>The policy proposition was updated as a result of stakeholder comments to clarify that the diagnosis of urethral stricture is mostly via a cystoscopy, and clarify alternative treatments of urethral dilation / urethrotomy and clean intermittent self dilation (CISD).</p> <p>No updates were made to the evidence review. Evidence raised by stakeholders about the cost effectiveness of urethroplasty will be reviewed and included in the Impact Assessment Report.</p>
How are stakeholders being kept informed of progress with policy development as a result of their input?	<p>This engagement report, along with the updated policy proposition will be circulated as part of the public consultation. Stakeholders will be notified and invited to comment further.</p>
What level of wider public consultation is recommended by the CRG for the NPOC Board to agree as a result of stakeholder involvement?	<p>Public consultation for a period of 30 days as supported by stakeholders.</p>