

**Engagement Report for Clinical Commissioning Policies**

<b>Unique Reference Number</b>	B14X10
<b>Policy Title</b>	Penile prosthesis surgery for end stage erectile dysfunction
<b>Accountable Commissioner</b>	Nicola McCulloch
<b>Clinical Reference Group</b>	Specialised Urology
Which stakeholders were contacted to be involved in policy development?	All CRG registered stakeholders
Identify the relevant Royal College or Professional Society to the policy and indicate how they have been involved	Representatives of relevant Royal College or Professional Societies were contacted for Stakeholder Testing as part of the CRG
Which stakeholders have actually been involved?	All stakeholders listed above were invited to comment
Explain reason if there is any difference from previous question	N/A
Identify any particular stakeholder organisations that may be key to the policy development that you have approached that have yet to be engaged. Indicate why?	CRG stakeholders have identified the British Association of Urological Surgeons (BAUS) who will be invited to respond to the consultation.

**FOR PUBLIC CONSULTATION ONLY**

<p>How have the stakeholders been involved? What engagement methods have been used?</p>	<p>The draft policy was circulated to the full membership of the CRG and registered stakeholders for one week for their views, both to establish whether any amendments to the policy are required, and to understand from their perspective what the key questions to ask at consultation might be.</p> <p>One response was received by CRG stakeholders, from an individual clinician. The response supported the policy but called for further definition of the service arrangements and highlighted a further stakeholder to be consulted. The response also highlighted a further piece of evidence. There was no comment on the 30-day consultation duration.</p>
<p>What has happened or changed as a result of their input?</p>	<p>Stakeholders were invited to comment. See Appendix for detailed stakeholder comments.</p> <p>The PWG has considered the feedback received and note the need to develop a service specification in accordance with the new commissioning policy. The additional stakeholder identified will be consulted during the 30-day public consultation period.</p> <p>No updates were made to the policy proposition. No updates were made to the evidence review as the PWG agreed the highlighted evidence, a letter to an editor, is excluded based on the predetermined inclusion/exclusion criteria.</p>
<p>How are stakeholders been informed of progress with policy development as a result of their input?</p>	<p>This engagement report, along with the updated policy proposition will be involved as part of the public consultation. Stakeholders will be notified and invited to comment further.</p>
<p>What level of wider public consultation is recommended by the CRG for the NPOC Board to agree as a result of stakeholder involvement?</p>	<p>Public consultation for a period of 30 days as supported by stakeholders.</p>