

Engagement Report for Clinical Commissioning Policies

Unique Reference Number	D08X03
Policy Title	Ziconotide (intrathecal delivery) for chronic refractory cancer pain
Accountable Commissioner	Michele Davis
Clinical Reference Group	Specialised Pain Services
Which stakeholders were contacted to be involved in policy development?	Specialised Pain Services CRG membership Specialised Pain Services CRG registered stakeholders
Identify the relevant Royal College or Professional Society to the policy and indicate how they have been involved	Representatives of relevant Royal College or Professional Societies were contacted for Stakeholder Testing as part of the CRG
Which stakeholders have actually been involved?	All of the key stakeholders listed above were invited to comment
Explain reason if there is any difference from previous question	Not applicable
Identify any particular stakeholder organisations that may be key to the policy development that you have approached that have yet to be engaged. Indicate why?	None

FOR PUBLIC CONSULTATION ONLY

<p>How have the stakeholders been involved? What engagement methods have been used?</p>	<p>The draft policy was circulated to the full membership of the CRG and registered stakeholders for one week for their views, both to establish whether any amendments to the policy are required, and to understand from their perspective what the key questions to ask at consultation might be.</p> <p>Three comments were received from CRG-registered stakeholders. One comment was received from CRG members.</p> <p>Key response themes were as follows:</p> <p>(1) Stakeholders identified that a small number of patients would benefit from ziconotide and request that ziconotide be available via Commissioning through Evaluation (CtE)</p> <p>(2) Stakeholders requested additional articles to be considered and edits made to the evidence review</p> <p>(3) Additional stakeholders were identified to include in consultation</p> <p>(4) Stakeholders requested alternative treatments be highlighted in patient pathway</p>
<p>What has happened or changed as a result of their input?</p>	<p>Stakeholders were invited to comment. See Appendix for detailed stakeholder comments.</p> <p>No changes were made to the policy proposition. Commissioning through evaluation (CtE) falls outside the scope of this policy, as do alternative treatments. Additional stakeholders will be invited to comment during consultation.</p> <p>No changes were made to the evidence review as the highlighted evidence falls outside of the scope of this evidence review as it does not meet the inclusion criteria of peer reviewed published evidence.</p>
<p>How are stakeholders being kept informed of progress with policy development as a result of their input?</p>	<p>This engagement report, along with the updated policy proposition will be circulated as part of the public consultation. Stakeholders will be notified and invited to comment further.</p>
<p>What level of wider public consultation is recommended by the CRG for the NPOC Board to agree as a result of stakeholder involvement?</p>	<p>Public consultation for a period of 30 days as supported by stakeholders.</p>