Integrated Impact Assessment Report for Clinical Commissioning Policies			
Policy Reference Number	1704		
Policy Title	18F-FDG positron emission tomography (PET-CT) planned radical radiotherapy treatment of oesophageal cancer Proposal **not for routine commission**		
Lead Commissioner	Nigel Andrews	**Clinical Lead**	Wai-Lup Wong
Finance Lead	Craig Charlton	**Analytical Lead**	Craig Charlton

Integrated Impact Assessment – Index		
Section A – Activity	**Section B - Service**	**Section C – Finance**
A1 Current Patient Population & Demography / Growth	B1 Service Organisation	C1 Tariff
A2 Future Patient Population & Demography	B2 Geography & Access	C2 Average Cost per Patient
A3 Activity	B3 Implementation	C3 Overall Cost Impact of this Policy to NHS England
A4 Existing Patient Pathway	B4 Collaborative Commissioning	C4 Overall cost impact of this policy to the NHS as a whole
A5 Comparator (next best alternative treatment) Patient Pathway		C5 Funding
A6 New Patient Pathway		C6 Financial Risks Associated with Implementing this Policy
A7 Treatment Setting		C7 Value for Money
A8 Coding		C8 Cost Profile

A9 Monitoring		

About this Impact Assessment: instructions for completion and explanatory notes
• Each section is divided into themes. • Each theme sets out a number of questions. • All questions are answered by selecting a drop down option or including free text. • Free text boxes are provided to enable succinct relevant commentary to be added which explains the rationale for response or assumption. Please limit responses to 3 sentences of explanatory text. • Data in this document is either drawn from one of the relevant policy documents or a source for the information is provided. • Where assumptions are included where data is not available, this is specified.

Section A - Activity Impact	
A1 Current Patient Population & Demography / Growth	
A1.1 Prevalence of the disease/condition.	Oesophagael cancer is a cancer of the oesophagus (foodpipe). There are approximately 9,000 new cases diagnosed in the UK per annum making it the 13th most common cancer. Incidence rates for oesophagael cancer are highest in people aged 85 to 89 years and the disease is more common in males than females. *Source: Policy Proposition, Section 6*
A1.2 Number of patients currently eligible for the treatment according to the proposed policy commissioning criteria.	3,600 Number of eligible patients is based on the total number of patients treated with radiotherapy with curative intent in 2017. *Source: Policy Proposition, Section 6/ Radiotherapy Dataset (RTDS)*
A1.3 Age group for which the treatment is proposed according to the policy commissioning criteria.	**All ages**
A1.4 Age distribution of the patient population eligible according to the proposed policy commissioning criteria	Oesophageal cancer incidence is strongly related to age, with the highest incidence rates being in older people. 40% of new cases are diagnosed in people aged 75 years and above and peak incidence of the disease is in people aged between 85 to 89 years. *Source: Policy Proposition, Section 6*

A1.5 How is the population currently distributed geographically?	**Evenly** *Source: Policy Proposition, Section 6*
A2 Future Patient Population & Demography	
A2.1 Projected changes in the disease/condition epidemiology, such as incidence or prevalence (prior to applying the new policy) in 2, 5, and 10 years?	**Decreasing** Oesophageal cancer incidence rates are projected to fall by 3% in the UK between 2014 and 2035, to 18 cases per 100,000 people by 2035. This includes an increase for females and a drop for males. *Source: Policy Proposition, Section 6/ Cancer Research UK*
A2.2 Are there likely to be changes in demography of the patient population and would this impact on activity/outcomes?	**Yes** Incidence of the disease is strongly related to age.
A2.3 Expected net increase or decrease in the number of patients who will be eligible for the service, according to the proposed service specification commissioning criteria, per year in years 2-5 and 10? Are these numbers in line with ONS growth assumptions for the age specific population? If not please justify the growth assumptions made.	See section A2.1. **Yes**

A3 Activity	
A3.1 What is the purpose of new policy?	**<u>Confirm non-routine commissioning position of an additional new treatment</u>**
A3.2 What is the annual activity associated with the existing pathway for the eligible population?	3,600 Number of eligible patients is based on the total number of patients treated with radiotherapy with curative intent in 2017. *Source: Policy Proposition, Section 6/ Radiotherapy Dataset (RTDS)*
A3.3 What is the estimated annual activity associated with the proposed policy proposition pathway for the eligible population?	Not applicable – this intervention is not currently commissioned for this indication and this is policy is for not routine commissioning.
A3.4 What is the estimated annual activity associated with the next best alternative comparator pathway for the eligible population? If the only alternative is the existing pathway, please state 'not applicable' and move to A4.	3,600 *Source: Policy Proposition, Section 6*
A4 Existing Patient Pathway	
A4.1 **Existing pathway:** Describe the relevant currently routinely commissioned: • Treatment or intervention • Patient pathway	Radiotherapy is one possible treatment option for patients with oesophageal cancer. It can be delivered as a standalone treatment or in conjunction with surgery. It is estimated that between 40 – 50% of people undergoing radical treatment for oesophageal cancer will require radical radiotherapy as part of their treatment.

• Eligibility and/or uptake estimates.	Planning the radiotherapy treatment is integral to ensuring that the cancer gets the prescribed dose of radiation while normal body tissues get as little as possible. Currently computed tomography (CT) scans are used to plan the radiotherapy treatment for patients with oesophageal cancer and determine the gross tumour volume. *Source: Policy Proposition, Section 3*
A4.2. What are the current treatment access and stopping criteria?	See section A4.1
A4.3 What percentage of the total eligible population is expected to: a) Be clinically assessed for treatment b) Be considered to meet an exclusion criteria following assessment c) Choose to initiate treatment d) Comply with treatment e) Complete treatment?	a) 100% b) 0% c) 100% d) 100% e) 100% *Source: Policy Proposition, Section 3*
A5 Comparator (next best alternative treatment) Patient Pathway (NB: comparator/next best alternative does not refer to current pathway but to an alternative option)	
A5.1 **Next best comparator**: Is there another 'next best' alternative treatment which is a relevant comparator? *If yes, describe relevant* • *Treatment or intervention*	**No** As per section A4.1 *Source: Policy Proposition, Section 3*

• *Patient pathway* • *Actual or estimated eligibility and uptake*	
A5.2 What percentage of the total eligible population is estimated to: a) Be clinically assessed for treatment b) Be considered to meet an exclusion criteria following assessment c) Choose to initiate treatment d) Comply with treatment e) Complete treatment?	Not applicable.
A6 New Patient Pathway	
A6.1 What percentage of the total eligible population is expected to: a) Be clinically assessed for treatment b) Be considered to meet an exclusion criteria following assessment c) Choose to initiate treatment d) Comply with treatment e) Complete treatment?	Not applicable – this is a not for routine commissioning policy.
A6.2 Specify the nature and duration of the proposed new treatment or intervention.	Not applicable - this is a not for routine commissioning policy.
A7 Treatment Setting	
A7.1 How is this treatment delivered to the patient?	Not applicable - this is a not for routine commissioning policy.

A7.2 What is the current number of contracted providers for the eligible population by region?	Not applicable - this is a not for routine commissioning policy.
A7.3 Does the proposition require a change of delivery setting or capacity requirements?	Not applicable - this is a not for routine commissioning policy.
A8 Coding	
A8.1 Specify the datasets used to record the new patient pathway activity. *expected to be populated for all commissioned activity	Not applicable - this is a not for routine commissioning policy.
A8.2 Specify how the activity related to the new patient pathway will be identified.	Not applicable - this is a not for routine commissioning policy.
A8.3 **Identification Rules for Drugs:** How are drug costs captured?	Not applicable.
A8.4 **Identification Rules for Devices:** How are device costs captured?	Not applicable.

A8.5 **Identification Rules for Activity:** How are activity costs captured?	Not applicable - this is a not for routine commissioning policy.
A9 Monitoring	
A9.1 **Contracts** Specify any new or revised data flow or data collection requirements, needed for inclusion in the NHS Standard Contract Information Schedule.	**None**
A9.2 **Excluded Drugs and Devices (not covered by the Zero Cost Model)** For treatments which are tariff excluded drugs or devices not covered by the Zero Cost Model, specify the pharmacy or device monitoring required, for example reporting or use of prior approval systems.	Not applicable.
A9.3 **Business intelligence** Is there potential for duplicate reporting?	Not applicable - this is a not for routine commissioning policy.
A9.4 **Contract monitoring** Is this part of routine contract monitoring?	Not applicable - this is a not for routine commissioning policy.
A9.5 **Dashboard reporting** Specify whether a dashboard exists for the proposed intervention?	Not applicable - this is a not for routine commissioning policy.
A9.6 **NICE reporting**	**No**

Are there any directly applicable NICE or equivalent quality standards which need to be monitored in association with the new policy?	
Section B - Service Impact	
B1 Service Organisation	
B1.1 Describe how the service is currently organised? (i.e. tertiary centres, networked provision etc.)	All people with oesophageal cancer are reviewed by a specialist oesophageal cancer multi-disciplinary team at a specialist oesophageal cancer centre to plan and determine treatment. Radiotherapy treatment for people with oesophageal cancer is provided through designated radiotherapy centres.
B1.2 Will the proposition change the way the commissioned service is organised?	**No**
B1.3 Will the proposition require a new approach to the organisation of care?	**No change to delivery of care**
B2 Geography & Access	
B2.1 Where do current referrals come from?	GP ☐ Secondary care ☒ Tertiary care ☒

	Other ☐
B2.2 What impact will the new policy have on the sources of referral?	**No impact**
B2.3 Is the new policy likely to improve equity of access?	**No impact** – this intervention is not currently commissioned for this indication and this is policy is for not routine commissioning. *Source: Equalities Impact Assessment*
B2.4 Is the new policy likely to improve equality of access and/or outcomes?	**No impact** – this intervention is not currently commissioned for this indication and this is policy is for not routine commissioning. *Source: Equalities Impact Assessment*
B3 Implementation	
B3.1 Will commissioning or provider action be required before implementation of the proposition can occur?	**No action required**
B3.2 **Time to implementation:** Is a lead-in time required prior to implementation?	**No – go to B3.4**
B3.3 **Time to implementation:** If lead-in time is required prior to implementation, will an interim plan for implementation be required?	**No – go to B3.4**

B3.4 Is a change in provider physical infrastructure required?	**No**
B3.5 Is a change in provider staffing required?	**No**
B3.6 Are there new clinical dependency and/or adjacency requirements that would need to be in place?	**No**
B3.7 Are there changes in the support services that need to be in place?	**No**
B3.8 Is there a change in provider and/or inter-provider governance required? (e.g. ODN arrangements / prime contractor)	**No**
B3.9 Is there likely to be either an increase or decrease in the number of commissioned providers? If yes, specify the current and estimated number of providers required in each region	**No change**
B3.10 Specify how revised provision will be secured by NHS England as the responsible commissioner.	Publication and notification of new policy ☒ Market intervention required ☐ Competitive selection process to secure increase or decrease provider configuration ☐ Price-based selection process to maximise cost ☐

	effectiveness | Any qualified provider | ☐ National Commercial Agreements e.g. drugs, devices | ☐ Procurement | ☐ Other | ☐
B4 Place-based Commissioning	
B4.1 Is this service currently subject to, or planned for, place-based commissioning arrangements? (e.g. future CCG lead, devolved commissioning arrangements, STPs)	**No**
Section C – Finance Impact	
C1 Tariff/Pricing	
C1.1 How is the service contracted and/or charged? Only specify for the relevant section of the patient pathway	Not applicable - this is a not for routine commissioning policy.
C1.2 **Drug Costs** Where not included in national or local tariffs, list each drug or combination, dosage, quantity, **list** price including VAT if applicable and any other key information e.g. Chemotherapy Regime. NB discounted prices or local prices must not be included as these are subject to commercial confidentiality and must not be disclosed.	Not applicable.

C1.3 **Device Costs** Where not included in national or local tariff, list each element of the excluded device, quantity, **list or expected** price including VAT if applicable and any other key information. NB: Discounted prices or local prices must not be included as these are subject to commercial confidentiality and must not be disclosed.	Not applicable.
C1.4 **Activity Costs covered by National Tariffs** List all the HRG codes, HRG descriptions, national tariffs (excluding MFF), volume and other key costs (e.g. specialist top up %)	Not applicable - this is a not for routine commissioning policy.
C1.5 **Activity Costs covered by Local Tariff** List all the HRGs (if applicable), HRG or local description, estimated average tariff, volume and any other key costs. Also indicate whether the Local Tariff(s) is/are newly proposed or established and if newly proposed how is has been derived, validated and tested.	Not applicable.
C1.6 **Other Activity Costs not covered by National or Local Tariff** Include descriptions and estimates of all key costs.	Not applicable.
C1.7 Are there any prior approval mechanisms required either during implementation or permanently?	Not applicable.
C2 Average Cost per Patient	
C2.1 What is the estimated cost per patient to NHS England, in years 1-5, including follow-up where required?	Not applicable - this intervention is not currently commissioned for this indication and this is policy is for not routine commissioning. As a result, no financial model has been completed.

Are there any changes expected in year 6-10 which would impact the model?	
C3 Overall Cost Impact of this Policy to NHS England	
C3.1 Specify the budget impact of the proposal on NHS England in relation to the relevant pathway.	**Cost neutral** This intervention is not currently commissioned for this indication and this is policy is for not routine commissioning.
C3.2 If the budget impact on NHS England cannot be identified set out the reasons why this cannot be measured.	Not applicable - this is a not for routine commissioning policy.
C3.3 If the activity is subject to a change of commissioning responsibility, from CCG to NHS England, has a methodology for the transfer of funds been identified, and calculated?	Not applicable - this is a not for routine commissioning policy.
C4 Overall cost impact of this policy to the NHS as a whole	
C4.1 Specify the budget impact of the proposal on other parts of the NHS.	Budget impact for CCGs: **No impact on CCGs**
C4.2 Taking into account responses to C3.1 and C4.1, specify the budget impact to the NHS as a whole.	**Cost neutral**

C4.3 Where the budget impact is unknown set out the reasons why this cannot be measured	Not applicable.
C4.4 Are there likely to be any costs or savings for non-NHS commissioners and/or public sector funders?	**No**
C5 Funding	
C5.1 Where a cost pressure is indicated, state known source of funds for investment, where identified, e.g. decommissioning less clinically or cost-effective services.	Not applicable.
C6 Financial Risks Associated with Implementing this Policy	
C6.1 What are the material financial risks to implementing this policy?	None.
C6.2 How can these risks be mitigated?	Not applicable.
C6.3 What scenarios (differential assumptions) have been explicitly tested to generate best case, worst case and most likely total cost scenarios?	Not applicable.
C6.4 What scenario has been approved and why?	Not applicable.
C7 Value for Money	

C7.1 What published evidence is available that the treatment is cost effective as evidenced in the evidence review?	**There is no published evidence of cost-effectiveness**
C7.2 Has other data been identified through the service specification development relevant to the assessment of value for money?	Not applicable.
C8 Cost Profile	
C8.1 Are there non-recurrent capital or revenue costs associated with this policy?	**No**
C8.2 If yes, confirm the source of funds to meet these costs.	Not applicable.