

| Integrated Impact Assessment Report for Clinical Commissioning Policies | | | | | |
|---|----------------|---|--|--|--|
| Policy Reference Number | ID011 | | | | |
| Policy Title | | Idebenone for treating people over 12 years of age with Leber's Hereditary Optic Neuropathy Proposal <u>for routine commission</u> (ref A3.1) | | | |
| Lead Commissioner | Nicola Symes | Nicola Symes Clinical Lead Fion Bremner | | | |
| Finance Lead | Craig Charlton | Analytical Lead | | | |

| Integrated Impact Assessment – Index | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| Section A – Activity Section B - Service Section C – Finance | | | | | |
| A1 Current Patient Population & Demography / Growth | B1 Service Organisation | C1 Tariff | | | |
| A2 Future Patient Population & Demography | B2 Geography & Access | C2 Average Cost per Patient | | | |
| A3 Activity | B3 Implementation | C3 Overall Cost Impact of this Policy to NHS England | | | |
| A4 Existing Patient Pathway | B4 Collaborative Commissioning | C4 Overall cost impact of this policy to the NHS as a whole | | | |
| A5 Comparator (next best alternative treatment) Patient Pathway | | C5 Funding | | | |
| A6 New Patient Pathway | | C6 Financial Risks Associated with Implementing this Policy | | | |
| A7 Treatment Setting | | C7 Value for Money | | | |
| A8 Coding | | C8 Cost Profile | | | |
| A9 Monitoring | | | | | |

About this Impact Assessment: instructions for completion and explanatory notes

- Each section is divided into themes.
- Each theme sets out a number of questions.
- All questions are answered by selecting a drop down option or including free text.
- Free text boxes are provided to enable succinct relevant commentary to be added which explains the rationale for response or assumption. Please limit responses to 3 sentences of explanatory text.
- Data in this document is either drawn from one of the relevant policy documents or a source for the information is provided.
- Where assumptions are included where data is not available, this is specified.

| Section A - Activity Impact | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| A1 Current Patient Population & Demography / Growth | | | | |
| A1.1 Prevalence of the disease/condition. | The policy proposes to not routinely commission the use of idebenone for treating Leber's Hereditary Optic Neuropathy (LHON). The prevalence of LHON is 3.22 to 4.4 people per 100,000 population. Using a mid-point of 3.8 and applying this to population projections for 2018/19 [people aged 12 and over 47,871,600], this gives 2,072 people in England with LHON. This is expected to increase by 38 people per year. | | | |
| | Source: Prevalence : Gorman GS, Schaefer Am et al. Prevalence of nuclear and mitochondrial DNA mutations related to adult mitochondrial disease. Annals of Neurology (2015);77 (5): 753-9. | | | |
| | Incidence: No published incidence figures are available for LHON. Based on the average duration of disease of 55 years (average age at onset between 20 and 30 years), and life expectancy of approx. 80 years (ONS life expectancies 2014-16), the incidence in England is expected to be (2072/55=) 38 patients per year. | | | |
| | Population: ONS population projections for England | | | |
| A1.2 Number of patients currently eligible for the treatment according to the proposed policy commissioning criteria. | Not applicable as the proposition is do not routinely commission. | | | |
| A1.3 Age group for which the treatment is proposed according to the policy commissioning criteria. | Other The age group for which the treatment is proposed is children and adolescents from the age of 12, and all adults. There is no upper age limit for receiving treatment. The summary of product characteristics (SMPc) | | | |

| | states 'The safety and efficacy of Raxone in LHON patients under 12 years of age have not yet been established'. | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| A1.4 Age distribution of the patient population eligible according to the proposed policy commissioning criteria | LHON may affect patients at any age. From the literature, the youngest patient was 4 years old and the oldest was 87 years old; however, most people are affected between 15 to 35 years of age. Onset may arise earlier in men (from age 12). | | | |
| | Source: Company submission and clinical expert consultation comments. | | | |
| | There is no evidence that LHON impacts mortality, therefore the distribution of patients is expected to be equal across the age range. | | | |
| A1.5 How is the population currently distributed geographically? | Evenly | | | |
| | If unevenly, estimate regional distribution by %: | | | |
| | North | | | |
| | Midlands & East | | | |
| | London | | | |
| | South | | | |
| | There are no factors which would suggest that there is geographical variation between regions of England. | | | |
| A2 Future Patient Population & Demography | | | | |
| A2.1 Projected changes in the disease/condition epidemiology, | Increasing | | | |
| such as incidence or prevalence (prior to applying the new policy) in 2, 5, and 10 years? | Changes in incidence and prevalence are based on a constant estimate of cases diagnosed each year (A1.1), adjusted for standard population mortality. This is shown in the table below. | | | |
| | | | | |

| | Projected change in epidemiology | Year 2 | Year 5 | Year 10 | |
|--|--|------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--|
| | Prevalence (a) | 2,148 | 2,262 | 2,452 | |
| | Incidence (b) | 38 | 38 | 38 | |
| | Target population: | | | | |
| | People with disease onset within the last 5 years (9% prevalent population) (a x 9%) | 192 | 202 | 219 | |
| | Incident population (b x 100%) | 38 | 38 | 38 | |
| | Total eligible | 230 | 240 | 257 | |
| | Source: These figures are | based on the | e company submis | ssion (referenced | |
| A2.2 Are there likely to be changes in demography of the patient population and would this impact on activity/outcomes? | Source: These figures are in 1.2 above). No The treatment is not commoured contracted provide demography of the patient Source: Company submission. | nissioned in Eers that would | England and there | fore there are no | |
| population and would this impact on activity/outcomes? | in 1.2 above). No The treatment is not commourrent contracted provide demography of the patient Source: Company submis | nissioned in Eers that would | England and there | fore there are no | |
| population and would this impact on activity/outcomes? A2.3 Expected net increase or decrease in the number of patients | in 1.2 above). No The treatment is not commourrent contracted provide demography of the patient Source: Company submissions YR2 +/- +7 | nissioned in Eers that would | England and there | fore there are no | |
| population and would this impact on activity/outcomes? A2.3 Expected net increase or decrease in the number of patients who will be eligible for the service, according to the proposed service specification commissioning criteria, per year in years 2-5 | in 1.2 above). No The treatment is not commourrent contracted provide demography of the patient Source: Company submiss YR2 +/- +7 YR3 +/- +10 | nissioned in Eers that would | England and there | fore there are no | |
| population and would this impact on activity/outcomes? A2.3 Expected net increase or decrease in the number of patients who will be eligible for the service, according to the proposed | in 1.2 above). No The treatment is not commourrent contracted provide demography of the patient Source: Company submiss YR2 +/- +7 YR3 +/- +10 YR4 +/- +14 | nissioned in Eers that would | England and there | fore there are no | |
| population and would this impact on activity/outcomes? A2.3 Expected net increase or decrease in the number of patients who will be eligible for the service, according to the proposed service specification commissioning criteria, per year in years 2-5 | in 1.2 above). No The treatment is not commourrent contracted provide demography of the patient Source: Company submiss YR2 +/- +7 YR3 +/- +10 | nissioned in Eers that would | England and there | fore there are no | |

| Are these numbers in line with ONS growth assumptions for the age specific population? If not please justify the growth assumptions made. | No The expected change in the number of people eligible for the service is based on incidence assumptions described in A1.2 and A2.1 above, adjusted for the target population (people who have disease onset within the past 5 years) and uptake of the treatment. This is because LHON develops later in life, therefore changes in epidemiology depend on age at diagnosis which could be from age 12 to 25 in men. Women tend to be affected at an older age, often around the time of oestrogen loss. For people receiving treatment, this would have been greatest in the first 2-3 years (prevalent + incident populations) but less every year thereafter as the prevalent population would have been treated. | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|
| A3 Activity | | | | |
| A3.1 What is the purpose of new policy? | Confirm non-routine commissioning position of an additional new treatment | | | |
| A3.2 What is the annual activity associated with the existing pathway for the eligible population? | There are no treatments currently available to treat LHON, therefore patients are only able to access best supportive care (BSC). The annual activity is therefore assumed to be the percentage of the prevalent population with disease onset within the last 5 years plus the incident population. For years 0 to 5 this is as follows: | | | |
| | Year Activity | | | |
| | 0 223 | | | |
| | 1 226 | | | |

| | 2 230 |
|---|--|
| | 3 233 |
| | 4 237 |
| | 5 240 |
| | Source: Company submission – see A1.1 and A1.2 above BSC includes neuro-ophthalmologist outpatient visits, lifestyle advice, referral to low-vision services and genetic counselling. |
| A3.3 What is the estimated annual activity associated with the proposed policy proposition pathway for the eligible population? | Not applicable, the policy is to not routinely commission. |
| A3.4 What is the estimated annual activity associated with the next best alternative comparator pathway for the eligible population? If the only alternative is the existing pathway, please state 'not applicable' and move to A4. | There are no licensed comparator treatments. Best supportive care is the existing pathway. Source: Company submission. |
| A4 Existing Patient Pathway | |
| A4.1 Existing pathway: Describe the relevant currently routinely commissioned: • Treatment or intervention • Patient pathway • Eligibility and/or uptake estimates. | Patients with onset of LHON usually present to their GP or local A&E department with loss of central vision and or colour contrast, referral is then made to ophthalmology or neurology specialist service in secondary care. Diagnosis is made upon clinical presentation and family history in most cases with genetic confirmation available through mtDNA testing. |

| Upon suspicion or diagnosis of LHON, an individual is referred to a service with expertise in LHON - a neuro-ophthalmologist, neurologist or |
|--|
| expert in mitochondrial disorders in a secondary or tertiary setting. |
| Treatment is currently to offer BSC. |
| The estimated number of people currently receiving RSC in the relevant |

The estimated number of people currently receiving BSC in the relevant population group (includes neuro-ophthalmologist outpatient visits, lifestyle advice, referral to low-vision services and genetic counselling) is summarised in the table below.

| Treatment | % | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 5 | Year 10 |
|-----------|------|--------|--------|--------|---------|
| BSC | 100% | 226 | 230 | 240 | 257 |

Source: Estimates using epidemiology data (see A1.1 above)

A4.2. What are the current (proposed) treatment access and stopping criteria?

There are 19 ophthalmology services for people with inherited eye conditions throughout the UK. This includes one national service at Moorfields Eye hospital in London. In the regions, many services are very small and operate on an infrequent basis without the full establishment of health professional staff. For example, only 5 services see more than 200 patients per year and 5 see fewer than 50.

Service components include:

- Ensuring access to specialist services for people with inherited eye disorders
- Ensuring timely and accurate diagnosis of people with inherited eye disorders – this is via specialist clinical diagnosis confirmed by genetic test results
- Ensuring access to a high quality prevention, treatment and follow up service
- Meeting the needs of the family

Other than idebenone, there are no licensed treatments available. There is no established pathway of care in LHON due to its rarity and lack of treatment options. Treatment is currently to offer BSC (see A4.1 above).

| | Source: Clinical expert preliminary policy proposal; NHS UK genetic testing network: Commissioning guide - Ophthalmology services for patients with inherited eye conditions | | |
|---|---|--|--|
| A4.3 What percentage of the total eligible population is expected to: a) Be clinically assessed for treatment b) Be considered to meet an exclusion criteria following assessment c) Choose to initiate treatment d) Comply with treatment e) Complete treatment? | a) 0% b) 0% c) 0% d) 0% e) 0% Currently everybody receives BSC. | | |
| | | | |
| A5 Comparator (next best alternative treatment) Patient Pathwa (NB: comparator/next best alternative does not refer to current pathway but to an a | | | |
| (NB: comparator/next best alternative does not refer to current pathway but to an A5.1 Next best comparator : | | | |
| (NB: comparator/next best alternative does not refer to current pathway but to an | alternative option) | | |
| (NB: comparator/next best alternative does not refer to current pathway but to an a A5.1 Next best comparator : Is there another 'next best' alternative treatment which is a relevant | alternative option) | | |
| (NB: comparator/next best alternative does not refer to current pathway but to an a A5.1 Next best comparator : Is there another 'next best' alternative treatment which is a relevant comparator? | alternative option) | | |
| (NB: comparator/next best alternative does not refer to current pathway but to an an A5.1 Next best comparator: Is there another 'next best' alternative treatment which is a relevant comparator? If yes, describe relevant Treatment or intervention Patient pathway | alternative option) | | |

| c) Choose to initiate treatment | |
|---|--|
| d) Comply with treatment | |
| e) Complete treatment? | |
| c) Complete treatment: | |
| A6 New Patient Pathway | |
| A6.1 What percentage of the total eligible population is expected to: | Not applicable. |
| a) Be clinically assessed for treatment b) Be considered to meet an exclusion criteria following assessment c) Choose to initiate treatment | |
| d) Comply with treatment e) Complete treatment? | |
| A6.2 Specify the nature and duration of the proposed new treatment or intervention. | Not applicable as not routinely commissioned |
| A7 Treatment Setting | |
| A7.1 How is this treatment delivered to the patient? | |
| | Emergency/Urgent care attendance |
| | Acute Trust: inpatient |
| | Acute Trust: day patient |
| | Acute Trust: outpatient |
| | |

| | Mental Health provider: inpatient | | | |
|--|--|--------------|--------------|--------------------------|
| | Mental Health provider: outpatient | | | |
| | Community setting | | | |
| | Homecare | | | |
| | Other | | | |
| | Not applicable as not routine | ely commis | sione | d. |
| A7.2 What is the current number of contracted providers for the | NORTH | 5 | | |
| eligible population by region? | MIDLANDS & EAST | 3 | | |
| | LONDON | 3 | | |
| | SOUTH | 4 | | |
| | Source: http://www.phgfound | dation.org/d | <u>docun</u> | nents/167_1210674534.pdf |
| A7.3 Does the proposition require a change of delivery setting or capacity requirements? | No This policy is to not routinely commission. | | | |
| A8 Coding | | | | |

| A8.1 Specify the datasets used to record the new patient pathway | Aggregate Contract Monitoring * | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| activity. | Aggregate Contract Monitoring * | | | | |
| *expected to be populated for all commissioned activity | Patient level contract monitoring | | | | |
| | Patient level drugs dataset | | | | |
| | Patient level devices dataset | | | | |
| | Devices supply chain reconciliation dataset | | | | |
| | Secondary Usage Service (SUS+) | | | | |
| | Mental Health Services DataSet (MHSDS) | | | | |
| | National Return** | | | | |
| | Clinical Database** | | | | |
| | Other** | | | | |
| | : | · | | | |
| A8.2 Specify how the activity related to the new patient pathway | Not applicable. | | | | |
| will be identified. | OPCS v4.8 | | | | |
| | ICD10 | | | | |
| | Treatment function code | | | | |
| | Main Speciality code | | | | |
| | HRG | | | | |
| | SNOMED | | | | |
| | Clinical coding / terming methodology used by clinical profession | | | | |

| A8.3 Identification Rules for Drugs: How are drug costs captured? | Not applicable |
|---|--|
| A8.4 Identification Rules for Devices: How are device costs captured? | Not applicable |
| A8.5 Identification Rules for Activity: How are activity costs captured? A9 Monitoring | Already correctly captured by an existing specialised service line (NCBPS code within the PSS Tool If activity costs are already captured please specify the specialised service code and description (e.g. NCBPS01C Chemotherapy). NCBPS23N OPHTHALMOLOGY CHILDREN NCBPS37Z OPHTHALMOLOGY ADULTS It is unlikely patients with LHON would be specifically identified within the full data set, however this is where activity would be captured. |
| A9.1 Contracts Specify any new or revised data flow or data collection requirements, needed for inclusion in the NHS Standard Contract Information Schedule. | <u>None</u> |
| A9.2 Excluded Drugs and Devices (not covered by the Zero Cost Model) | Not applicable |
| For treatments which are tariff excluded drugs or devices not | Drugs or Device MDS |
| covered by the Zero Cost Model, specify the pharmacy or device monitoring required, for example reporting or use of prior approval | Blueteq |
| systems. | Other prior approval |

| A9.3 Business intelligence | <u>No</u> |
|---|---|
| Is there potential for duplicate reporting? | |
| A9.4 Contract monitoring | <u>No</u> |
| Is this part of routine contract monitoring? | |
| A9.5 Dashboard reporting | <u>No</u> |
| Specify whether a dashboard exists for the proposed intervention? | |
| A9.6 NICE reporting | <u>No</u> |
| Are there any directly applicable NICE or equivalent quality standards which need to be monitored in association with the new policy? | |
| Section B | - Service Impact |
| | |
| B1 Service Organisation | |
| B1.1 Describe how the service is currently organised? (i.e. tertiary centres, networked provision etc.) | Specialised ophthalmology services are provided in a network model that builds on existing strengths and established networks and shared care practices. There would be an operational delivery network model or other network models as appropriate to the particular service. Source: NHS standard contract for specialised ophthalmology (adult) Service specifications specialised ophthalmology D12/S/a; Service specifications spec-ophthalmo-paed (children) |

| B1.2 Will the proposition change the way the commissioned service is organised? | <u>No</u> | |
|---|---|--|
| B1.3 Will the proposition require a new approach to the organisation of care? | No change to delivery of ca | <u>are</u> |
| B2 Geography & Access | | |
| B2.1 Where do current referrals come from? | | |
| | GP | |
| | Secondary care | |
| | Tertiary care | |
| | Other | |
| | department with loss of centre be referred to a neuro-ophthal routes, including from GPs, A | vill usually present to their GP or local A&E ral vision and/or colour contrast. People may almologist as an outpatient via various A&E departments and from other general ry care. Once referred, the person will be tidisciplinary team. |
| B2.2 What impact will the new policy have on the sources of referral? | No impact | |
| B2.3 Is the new policy likely to improve equity of access? | No impact | |

| | - |
|---|--------------------|
| B2.4 Is the new policy likely to improve equality of access and/or outcomes? | No impact |
| B3 Implementation | |
| B3.1 Will commissioning or provider action be required before implementation of the proposition can occur? | No action required |
| B3.2 Time to implementation: Is a lead-in time required prior to implementation? | No - go to B3.4 |
| B3.3 Time to implementation: If lead-in time is required prior to implementation, will an interim plan for implementation be required? | No - go to B3.4 |
| B3.4 Is a change in provider physical infrastructure required? | <u>No</u> |
| B3.5 Is a change in provider staffing required? | <u>No</u> |

| B3.6 Are there new clinical dependency and/or adjacency requirements that would need to be in place? | <u>No</u> | | | | |
|---|---|-----|--|--|--|
| B3.7 Are there changes in the support services that need to be in place? | <u>No</u> | | | | |
| B3.8 Is there a change in provider and/or inter-provider governance required? (e.g. ODN arrangements / prime contractor) | <u>No</u> | | | | |
| B3.9 Is there likely to be either an increase or decrease in the number of commissioned providers? If yes, specify the current and estimated number of providers required in each region | Not applicable as the policy is to not routinely commission | on. | | | |
| B3.10 Specify how revised provision will be secured by NHS | Not applicable | | | | |
| England as the responsible commissioner. | Publication and notification of new policy | | | | |
| | Market intervention required | | | | |
| | Competitive selection process to secure increase or decrease provider configuration | | | | |
| B3.9 Is there likely to be either an increase or decrease in the number of commissioned providers? If yes, specify the current a estimated number of providers required in each region | Price-based selection process to maximise cost effectiveness | | | | |
| | Any qualified provider | | | | |
| | National Commercial Agreements e.g. drugs, devices | | | | |
| B3.7 Are there changes in the support services that need to be in place? B3.8 Is there a change in provider and/or inter-provider governance required? (e.g. ODN arrangements / prime contractors). B3.9 Is there likely to be either an increase or decrease in the number of commissioned providers? If yes, specify the current a estimated number of providers required in each region. | Procurement | | | | |
| | Other | | | | |
| | | | | | |

| B4 Place-based Commissioning | | | |
|--|--------------|--|---|
| B4.1 Is this service currently subject to, or planned for, place-based commissioning arrangements? (e.g. future CCG lead, devolved commissioning arrangements, STPs) | <u>No</u> | | |
| Section C | - Finance In | npact | |
| C1 Tariff/Pricing | | | |
| C1.1 How is the service contracted and/or charged? | Not applie | cable | _ |
| Only specify for the relevant section of the patient pathway | | Not separately charged – part of local or national tariffs | |
| | Drugs | Excluded from tariff – pass through | |
| | | Excluded from tariff - other | |
| | | Not separately charged – part of local or national tariffs | |
| | Devices | Excluded from tariff (excluding ZCM) – pass through | |
| | | Excluded from tariff (excluding ZCM) – other | |
| | | Via Zero Cost Model | |
| | | Paid entirely by National Tariffs | |
| | Activity | Paid entirely by Local Tariffs | |
| | Activity | Partially paid by National Tariffs | |
| | | Partially paid by Local Tariffs | |
| | | | |

| | Part/fully paid under a Block arrangement | |
|--|--|--|
| | Part/fully paid under Pass-Through arrangements | |
| | Part/fully paid under Other arrangements | |
| C1.2 Drug Costs Where not included in national or local tariffs, list each drug or | Not applicable as the position is to not routinely commission. | |
| combination, dosage, quantity, list price including VAT if applicable and any other key information e.g. Chemotherapy Regime. | | |
| NB discounted prices or local prices must not be included as these are subject to commercial confidentiality and must not be disclosed. | | |
| C1.3 Device Costs | Not applicable. | |
| Where not included in national or local tariff, list each element of the excluded device, quantity, list or expected price including VAT if applicable and any other key information. | | |
| NB: Discounted prices or local prices must not be included as these are subject to commercial confidentiality and must not be disclosed. | | |
| C1.4 Activity Costs covered by National Tariffs List all the HRG codes, HRG descriptions, national tariffs (excluding MFF), volume and other key costs (e.g. specialist top up %) | Not applicable as the position is to not routinely commission. | |
| C1.5 Activity Costs covered by Local Tariff List all the HRGs (if applicable), HRG or local description, estimated average tariff, volume and any other key costs. Also | Not applicable. | |

| indicate whether the Local Tariff(s) is/are newly proposed or established and if newly proposed how is has been derived, validated and tested. | |
|--|---|
| C1.6 Other Activity Costs not covered by National or Local Tariff Include descriptions and estimates of all key costs. | Not applicable. |
| C1.7 Are there any prior approval mechanisms required either during implementation or permanently? | <u>No</u> |
| C2 Average Cost per Patient | |
| C2.1 What is the estimated cost per patient to NHS England, in years 1-5, including follow-up where required? | Not applicable. |
| Are there any changes expected in year 6-10 which would impact the model? | |
| C3 Overall Cost Impact of this Policy to NHS England | |
| C3.1 Specify the budget impact of the proposal on NHS England in relation to the relevant pathway. | Cost neutral The policy is to not routinely commission. |

| C3.2 If the budget impact on NHS England cannot be identified secont the reasons why this cannot be measured. | t Not applicab | le. | | | |
|--|--|---|-----------------|-----------|--|
| C3.3 If the activity is subject to a change of commissioning responsibility, from CCG to NHS England, has a methodology for the transfer of funds been identified, and calculated? | Not applicab | le. | | | |
| C4 Overall cost impact of this policy to the NHS as a whole | 1 | | | | |
| C4 Overall cost impact of this policy to the NHS as a whole | | | | | |
| C4.1 Specify the budget impact of the proposal on other parts of | No impact of Budget impa | ct for providers: | | | |
| C4.1 Specify the budget impact of the proposal on other parts of | No impact of Budget impa | on CCGs | | | |
| C4.1 Specify the budget impact of the proposal on other parts of the NHS. C4.2 Taking into account responses to C3.1 and C4.1, specify the | No impact of Budget impact of No impact of | on CCGs on providers: on providers I The policy is to no | ot routinely co | mmission. | |
| C4.1 Specify the budget impact of the proposal on other parts of he NHS. C4.2 Taking into account responses to C3.1 and C4.1, specify the | No impact of Budget impact of No impact of Cost neutral | on CCGs on providers: on providers I The policy is to no | ot routinely co | mmission. | |
| C4.1 Specify the budget impact of the proposal on other parts of the NHS. C4.2 Taking into account responses to C3.1 and C4.1, specify the | No impact of Budget impact of No impact of Cost neutral Please specific | on CCGs on providers: on providers I The policy is to notify: | ot routinely co | mmission. | |
| C4.1 Specify the budget impact of the proposal on other parts of the NHS. C4.2 Taking into account responses to C3.1 and C4.1, specify the | No impact of Budget impact of No impact of Cost neutral Please specific | on CCGs act for providers: on providers I The policy is to notify: £000s | ot routinely co | mmission. | |
| C4.1 Specify the budget impact of the proposal on other parts of the NHS. C4.2 Taking into account responses to C3.1 and C4.1, specify the budget impact to the NHS as a whole. | No impact of Budget impact of No impact of N | n CCGs act for providers: n providers I The policy is to notify: £000s 0 | ot routinely co | mmission. | |

| C4.3 Where the budget impact is unknown set out the reasons why this cannot be measured | Not applicable. |
|---|--|
| C4.4 Are there likely to be any costs or savings for non-NHS commissioners and/or public sector funders? | <u>No</u> |
| C5 Funding | |
| C5.1 Where a cost pressure is indicated, state known source of funds for investment, where identified, e.g. decommissioning less clinically or cost-effective services. | The policy is to not routinely commission idebenone, therefor there will not be a cost pressure. |
| C6 Financial Risks Associated with Implementing this Policy | |
| C6.1 What are the material financial risks to implementing this policy? | No material financial risks have been identified as a result of implementing this policy. |
| C6.2 How can these risks be mitigated? | Not applicable. |
| C6.3 What scenarios (differential assumptions) have been explicitly tested to generate best case, worst case and most likely total cost scenarios? | Not applicable. |
| C6.4 What scenario has been approved and why? | Not applicable. |
| | |

| C7.1 What published evidence is available that the treatment is cost effective as evidenced in the evidence review? | A cost-effectiveness evidence review has not been undertaker | <u>1.</u> : |
|--|---|-------------|
| C7.2 Has other data been identified through the service specification development relevant to the assessment of value for money? | Not applicable. | |
| | Available pricing data suggests the treatment is equivalent cost compared to current/comparator treatment | |
| | Available pricing data suggests the treatment is lower cost compared to current/comparator treatment | |
| | Available clinical practice data suggests the new treatment has the potential to improve value for money | |
| | Other data has been identified | |
| | No data has been identified | |
| | The data supports a high level of certainty about the impact on value | |
| | The data does not support a high level of certainty about the impact on value | |
| | | |
| C8 Cost Profile | | |
| C8.1 Are there non-recurrent capital or revenue costs associated with this policy? | <u>No</u> | |

| C8.2 If yes, confirm the source of funds to meet these costs. | Not applicable. |
|---|-----------------|
| | |