

Engagement Report for Clinical Commissioning Policies

| | |
|---|---|
| Unique Reference Number | 1832 ID019 |
| Policy Title | Mercaptamine hydrochloride for treating corneal cystine crystal deposits caused by cystinosis |
| Accountable Commissioner | Sarah Watson |
| Clinical Lead | Detlef Bockenhaur |
| Clinical Reference Group | Specialised Ophthalmology and Renal Services Clinical Reference Groups. |
| | |
| Which stakeholders were contacted to be involved in policy development? | <p>A policy working group was established in line with NHS England's standard methods.</p> <p>The draft policy proposition was sent to the following groups for comment:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Clinical Reference Group (CRG); and • Registered stakeholders for the CRG |
| Identify the relevant Royal College or Professional Society to the policy and indicate how they have been involved | All of the relevant Royal Colleges and professional societies were invited to take part in stakeholder testing. |
| Which stakeholders have actually been involved? | Specialised Ophthalmology and Renal Services Clinical Reference Groups. 2 responses were received from stakeholders. This included a response from a patient organisation and a response from an individual clinician. |
| Explain reason if there is any difference from previous question | Not all organisations commented on the documents. |
| Identify any particular | None, the main patient and carer representative organisations were |

| | |
|--|---|
| stakeholder organisations that may be key to the policy development that you have approached that have yet to be engaged. Indicate why? | involved throughout the development of the draft policy proposition |
| How have stakeholders been involved? What engagement methods have been used? | <p>Policy working group meeting and subsequent contact for policy development</p> <p>The draft policy proposition was distributed to stakeholders via email for a period of three weeks of stakeholder testing, in preparation for public consultation.</p> <p>Stakeholders were asked to submit their responses via email, using a standard response and in line with NHS England's standard processes for developing clinical commissioning policies.</p> |
| What has happened or changed as a result of their input? | Responses were submitted by 2 stakeholders. However, the responses only indicated the desired level of consultation and no comments about the documents were received. No amendments were made to the documents as a result of stakeholder testing. |
| How are stakeholders being kept informed of progress with policy development as a result of their input? | All stakeholders (including CRG members and registered stakeholders) will be notified when the draft policy proposition goes out to public consultation and will be kept informed of the policy's progress through NHS England's consultation portal website |
| What level of wider public consultation is recommended by the CRG for the NPOC Board to agree as a result of stakeholder involvement? | Up to 12 weeks consultation to include some additional proactive engagement activities during the live consultation period |