

Integrated Impact Assessment Report for Clinical Commissioning Policies					
Policy Reference Number	1913	1913			
Policy Title	Stereotactic Ablative Body Radiotherapy (SABR) for Hepatocellular Carcinoma (HCC)				
Proposal	for routine commission(ref A3.1)				
	Integrated Impact Assessment – Index				
Section A – Activity		Section B - Service	Section C – Finance		
A1 Activity		B1 Service Organisation	C1 Tariff		
A2 Existing Patient Pathway		B2 Geography & Access	C2 Average Cost per Patient		
A3 Comparator (next best alternative treatment) Patient Pathway		B3 Collaborative Commissioning	C3 Overall Cost Impact of this Policy to NHS England		
A4 New Patient Pathway			C4 Overall cost impact of this policy to the NHS as a whole		
A5 Treatment Setting			C5 Funding		
A6 Coding			C6 Financial Risks Associated with Implementing this Policy		
			C7 Cost Profile		

About this Impact Assessment: instructions for completion and explanatory notes

- Each section is divided into themes with each theme setting out a number of questions.
- All figures should be provided up to 5 years only.
- The cost per patient methodology is impact against Year 0 rather than incrementally against the previous year.
- All questions are answered by selecting a drop-down option or including free text.
- Free text boxes are provided to enable succinct relevant commentary to be added which explains the rationale for response or assumption. Please limit responses to 3 sentences of explanatory text.

- Data in this document is either drawn from one of the relevant policy documents or a source for the information is provided.
- Where assumptions are included where data is not available, this is specified.
- A bespoke financial model should be developed unless agreed otherwise. This will be worked up against a checklist of inputs/considerations. This will include the approach to regional allocations which will also be outlined in the Commissioning Plan.

	A - Activity Impact
1 Activity To be completed by the Clinical Policy Team	
1.1 Provide the number of patients eligible for the treatment. If ifferent, also provide the number of patients accessing treatment.	150
clude OPCS codes where applicable.	Source: Policy Working Group
	This policy replaces an existing not for routine commissioning policy. Patients have been able to access treatment previously under the SABR Commissioning through Evaluation (CtE) programme. 91 patients were treated under the CtE programme through 7 designated centres.
2 Existing Patient Pathway (complete where additional inform To be completed by the Clinical Policy Team	ation outside the policy proposition is likely to be beneficial)
 2.1 Existing pathway: Describe the relevant currently routinely ommissioned: Treatment or intervention Patient pathway Eligibility and/or uptake estimates. 	 Current treatments for people with HCC include: Surgery; Transarterial chemoembolisation (TACE); Radiofrequency ablation (RFA); or Systemic chemotherapy.

	Source: Policy Proposition
 A2.2 What percentage of the total eligible population is expected to: a) Be clinically assessed for treatment b) Be considered to meet an exclusion criteria following assessment c) Choose to initiate treatment d) Comply with treatment e) Complete treatment? A3 Comparator (next best alternative treatment) Patient Pathwa (NB: comparator/next best alternative does not refer to current)	
To be completed by the Clinical Policy Team	
 A3.1 Next best comparator: Is there another 'next best' alternative treatment which is a relevant comparator? If yes, describe relevant Treatment or intervention Patient pathway Actual or estimated eligibility and uptake 	Not applicable – see section A2.
 A3.2 What percentage of the total eligible population is estimated to: f) Be clinically assessed for treatment g) Be considered to meet an exclusion criteria following assessment h) Choose to initiate treatment i) Comply with treatment 	Not applicable – see section A2.

j) Complete treatment?	
A4 New Patient Pathway To be completed by the Clinical Policy Team	
A4.1 Specify the nature and duration of the proposed new treatment or intervention. For example, e.g patients receive a course of treatment over 6 cycles with the drug being administered via IV infusion on days 1 and 3 of each cycle.	Time limited It is expected that prescription doses of 40-50 Gray (Gy) in 5 fractions of SABR should be delivered in the treatment of HCC. Source: Policy Proposition.
A5 Treatment Setting To be completed by the Clinical Policy Team	
A5.1 How is this treatment delivered to the patient?	 SABR is delivered in an outpatient setting and includes: Appointment 1: Oncologist / Consent Appointment 2: Pre-treatment preparations and planning
	 Appointment 3: Pre-treatment planning checks Treatment: delivered over 5 fractions.

A5.3 Does the proposition require a change of delivery setting or capacity requirements?	TBC – There is no impact on the delivery of this treatment as a result of this policy. However, capacity requirements will require consideration. This is because this policy expands access beyond the CtE recruitment numbers to enable all eligible patients within this cohort to access treatment.			
A6 Coding				
A6.1 Specify the datasets used to record the new patient pathway	Select all that apply:			
activity.	Aggregate Contract Monitoring	\boxtimes		
*expected to be populated for all commissioned activity	Patient level contract monitoring	\boxtimes		
	Patient level drugs dataset			
	Patient level devices dataset			
	Devices supply chain reconciliation dataset			
	Secondary Usage Service (SUS+)	\boxtimes		
	Mental Health Services DataSet (MHSDS)			
	National Return**	\square		
	Clinical Database**			
	Other**			
	**Radiotherapy Treatment Dataset (RTDS)			
A6.2 Specify how the activity related to the new patient pathway will be identified.	Aggregate Contract Monitoring.			

A6.3 Identification Rules for Devices: How are device costs captured?	Not applicable		
A6.4 Identification Rules for Activity: How are activity costs captured? (e.g., are there first and follow up outpatient appointments?)	Already correctly captured by an existing specialised service line (NCBPS code within the PSS Tool		
	NCBPS01R Radiotherapy		
Section B	- Service Impact		
To be completed b	y the Lead Commissioner		
B1 Service Organisation			
B1.1 Describe how the service is currently organised? (i.e. tertiary centres, networked provision etc.)	Radiotherapy services are currently accessed through tertiary centres. As part of the CtE programme, 7 centres offered SABR treatment including for this indication. However, a total of 25 centres offer SABR treatment across England.		
	Source: SABR Commissioning through Evaluation Programme		
B1.2 Will the proposition change the way the commissioned service is organised?	TBC		
	If the policy is approved, it is anticipated that in the first-year treatment will be provided by the CtE participating centres. However, it is anticipated that treatment provision will be expanded over the coming years, in line with the commitments for radiotherapy outlined in the Long Term Plan.		

B2 Geography &	& Access
----------------	----------

B2.1 How is the service currently accessed (e.g., self referral, referral from GP, secondary care, other)	Secondary care and/or tertiary care.
B2.2 What impact will the new policy have on the sources of referral?	Increase
	This policy expands access beyond the CtE recruitment numbers to enable all eligible patients within this cohort to access treatment.
B2.3 Is the new policy likely to improve equity ¹ of access?	Increase
	See section B2.2.
B2.4 Is the new policy likely to improve equality ¹ of access and/or outcomes?	Increase
	This policy has been developed in line with the results of a CtE programme and an evidence review. This policy expands access beyond the CtE recruitment numbers to enable all eligible patients within this cohort to access treatment.
B3 Commissioning Responsibility	

¹ https://www.england.nhs.uk/wp-content/uploads/2016/02/nhse-specific-duties-equality-act.pdf 8

B3.1 Is this service currently subject to, or planned for, place- based commissioning arrangements? (e.g. new service (NHS England responsibiliy), future CCG lead, devolved commissioning arrangements, STPs)	No change - NHSE			
Section C - Finance Impact To be completed by the Finance Lead with the exception of C1.2				
C1 Tariff/Pricing				
C1.1 How is the service contracted and/or charged?	Select all	that apply:		
Only specify for the relevant section of the patient pathway	Drugs	Not separately charged – part of local or national tariffs		
		Excluded from tariff – pass through		
		Excluded from tariff – other		
	Devices	Not separately charged – part of local or national tariffs		
		Excluded from tariff (excluding HCTED programme) – pass through		
		Excluded from tariff (excluding HCTED) - other		
		Via HCTED model		
		Paid entirely by National Tariffs	\boxtimes	
		Paid entirely by Local Tariffs		
	Activity	Partially paid by National Tariffs		
		Partially paid by Local Tariffs		

			· · · · · ·
		Part/fully paid under a Block arrangement	
		Part/fully paid under Pass-Through arrangements	
		Part/fully paid under Other arrangements	
C1.2 Drug Costs <i>(to be completed by the Clinical Policy Team)</i> Where not included in national or local tariffs, list each drug or combination, dosage, quantity, list price including VAT if applicable and any other key information e.g. Chemotherapy Regime, homecare costs. Provide a basis for this assumption. NB discounted prices or local prices must not be included as these are subject to commercial confidentiality and must not be disclosed.	Not applica	ble.	
C1.3 Device Costs (<i>to be completed by LC</i>) Where not included in national or local tariff, list each element of the excluded device, quantity, list or expected price including VAT if applicable and any other key information. NB: Discounted prices or local prices must not be included as these are subject to commercial confidentiality and must not be disclosed.	Not applica	ble.	
C1.4 Activity Costs covered by National Tariffs (to be completed by Finance) List key HRG codes and descriptions, national tariffs (excluding MFF), volume and other key costs (e.g. specialist top up %). Include details of first and follow up outpatients appointment etc.	Radiation T Treatment Either 3, 5	and 3 x HRG SC41Z Preparation for Intensity Modulated Therapy, with Technical Support @ £1,317	

C1.5 Activity Costs covered by Local Tariff (to be completed by <i>Finance</i>) List all the HRGs (if applicable), HRG or local description, estimated average tariff, volume and any other key costs. Also indicate whether the Local Tariff(s) is/are newly proposed or established and if newly proposed how is has been derived, validated and tested.	Not applicable.
C1.6 Other Activity Costs not covered by National or Local Tariff (to be completed by Finance) Include descriptions and estimates of all key costs.	Not applicable.
C1.7 Are there any prior approval/notification mechanisms required either during implementation or permanently?	<u>No</u>
C2 Average Cost per Patient	
C2.1 What is the average cost per patient per year for 5 years, including follow-up where required?	 Model assumptions: A maximum of three different sites treated with: 70% of patients (1,540) with one site treated 20% of patients (440) with two sites treated 10% of patients (220) with three sites treated The average cost of each patient is £2,842
C3 Overall Cost Impact of this Policy to NHS England	

C3.1 Specify the budget impact of the proposal on NHS England in relation to the relevant pathway. Use list prices where drugs and		Cost pressure		
devices are included. Commercial in confidence discounts are not	Year 1	£426.3k		
included therefore the actual cost pressure may be lower than stated.	Year 2	£429.1k		
	Year 3	£434.8k		
	Year 4	£437.6k		
	Year 5	£437.6k		
	The cost shown above is the gross impact of the SABR treatment only, costed at national tariffs. Outpatient appointment costs are not expected to significantly change from the current pathway and have therefore not been included.			
C3.2 If the budget impact on NHS England cannot be identified set out the reasons why this cannot be measured.	Not applicable.			
C3.3 If the activity is subject to a change of commissioning responsibility, from CCG to NHS England, has a methodology for the transfer of funds been identified, and calculated?	Not applicat	ble.		
C4 Overall cost impact of this policy to the NHS as a whole				
C4.1 Specify the budget impact of the proposal on other parts of the NHS.	Budget impact for CCGs: No impact on CCGs			
	Budget impact for providers: <u>No impact on providers</u>			

C4.2 Taking into account responses to C3.1 and C4.1, specify the budget impact to the NHS as a whole.	Cost pressure			
	Year 1 £426.3k			
	Year 2 £429.1k			
	Year 3 £434.8k			
	Year 4 £437.6k			
	Year 5 £437.6k			
C4.3 Are there likely to be any costs or savings for non-NHS commissioners and/or public sector funders?	No			
C5 Funding				
C5.1 Where a cost pressure is indicated, state known source of funds for investment, where identified, e.g. decommissioning less clinically or cost-effective services.	CPAG prioritisation reserves.			
C6 Financial Risks Associated with Implementing this Policy				
C6.1 Describe the parameters used to generate the low, mid and high case scenarios for patient numbers and activity. Specify the range.	The projected number of patients is reasonably robust due to the previous CtE programme, and therefore it has not been viewed as necessary to model a range of scenarios. As the treatment is based on a cost per patient, the overall cost will vary directly in line with the number of patients.			
	10			

	For the purpose of this policy, the use of SABR has been calculated as an additional line of treatment, however, it is possible that in some patients the treatment may avoid the use of RFA or systemic cancer treatments.
C6.2 What scenario has been recommended and why? What would be the impact of a discounted scenario?	Not applicable.
C7 Cost Profile	
C7.1 Factors which impact on costs	None.

The full integrated impact assessment should be used for all clinical commissioning policies and for policy statements which are proposing a for routine commissioning position. The rapid impact assessment template should be used for urgent policy statements and for policy statements which are proposing not for routine commissioning

Appendix A – Current Patient Population & Demography / Growth (for Public Health Lead to complete)

		Source	Please specify any further detail
Number of patients who meet the proposed commissioning criteria and who would be treated if the proposal is approved per year.	150	Policy Working Group	
Age group for which the treatment is proposed according to the proposed criteria	Adults	Policy proposition	

Age distribution of the patient population eligible according to the proposed criteria	Not applicable.	Policy proposition
How is the population currently geographically distributed	Evenly	Policy proposition
What are the growth assumptions for the disease / condition?	ONS growth only.	Policy proposition
Is there evidence of current inequalities in access to service or outcomes?	Yes. See Section B2 of Impact assessment.	Impact assessment
Is there evidence that implementing the policy/service specification will improve current inequities of access or outcomes?	Yes. See Section B2 of Impact assessment.	Impact assessment