

Engagement Report for Service Specifications

Unique Reference Number	1868
Specification Title	Stroke Thrombectomy Service for Acute Ischaemic Stroke
Lead Commissioner	
Clinical Reference Group	Neurosciences
Which stakeholders were contacted to be involved in service specification development?	<p>The Specification Working Group (SWG) consisted of clinicians with relevant expertise and PPV involvement from relevant patient organisations. Clinical, commissioning and management Members of the Stroke programme, national GIRT clinical leads for stroke.</p> <p>The stroke association have also bene involved in the development of specifications for this treatment.</p> <p>The neuroscience CRG and registered stakeholders.</p>
Identify the relevant Royal College or Professional Society to the specification and indicate how they have been involved	<p>Royal College of Radiologists – member of the working group</p> <p>Society of British Neurosurgeons</p> <p>Association of British Neurologists</p> <p>UK Clinical Pharmacy Association (UKCPA)</p>

<p>Which stakeholders have actually been involved?</p>	<p>As above There were 15 responses to the stakeholder engagement from: 8 clinicians 2 patient organisations 4 clinical organisations 1 miscellaneous</p>
<p>Explain reason if there is any difference from previous question</p>	<p>NA</p>
<p>Identify any particular stakeholder organisations that may be key to the specification development that you have approached that have yet to be engaged. Indicate why?</p>	<p>The British Association of Stroke Physicians – follow up required but as GIRFT and National Stroke Programme are engaged may be represented this way. Will ensure they are notified and invited to comment when public consultation is commenced.</p>
<p>How have stakeholders been involved? What engagement methods have been used?</p>	<p>The draft service specification was circulated to all of the above stakeholders for a period of stakeholder testing lasting 14 days</p>
<p>What has happened or changed as a result of their input?</p>	<p>Several changes to the document have been made these address some recurring concerns:</p> <p>Strengthening of relationship of the service to a neuroscience service for governance, MDT and training Rotational posts, skills maintenance and training addressed within the specification in detail. (More than would usually be included in a service specification) Some aspects of the feedback are covered by other elements of the national implementation of thrombectomy, e.g. training,</p>

	commissioning plan for steady monitored implementation and hours of service, geographical access to thrombectomy.
How are stakeholders being kept informed of progress with specification development as a result of their input?	The amended version will go to public consultation with the engagement report outlining key changes
What level of wider public consultation is recommended by the CRG for the NPOC Board to agree as a result of stakeholder involvement?	One month public consultation to ensure issues raised have been addressed appropriately.